# Appendix 1

# STATISTICAL NOTES AND TABLES

# STATISTICAL NOTES

# 1. Time taken to obtain a therapeutic abortion (Chapter 7)

The multiple regression<sup>1</sup> relating to the time taken to obtain an abortion included variables which related to a woman's demographic characteristics (age, religion, level of education and marital status) and the use of health services (the time taken to see a physician, the number of physicians who were consulted, and the time from the initial medical contact to the abortion operation).

The results of the multiple regression excluded all variables which contributed less than 1 percent to the  $r^2$  of the dependent variable (the total length of the pregnancy). In all cases the simple r indicated a positive relationship, i.e., that the delay in obtaining the induced abortion was increased if the variables increased in value. The results were:

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Time taken by a woman to see a physician Number of physicians seen about a	123	.123
pregnancy	097	.220
abortion operation	515	.735

for 73.5 percent of the variance.

For the women in this study who obtained therapeutic abortions in Canadian hospitals, almost three-quarters (73.5 percent) of the delay was attributable to health system factors. The relative importance of the demographic characteristics was, in all cases, below the 1 percent r² level. These results indicate that the impact of demographic characteristics of women seeking abortion on the length of time taken must be gauged by the ways in which these factors influence their access to the appropriate pathways in the medical care delivery system. While the group only included women who had obtained therapeutic abortions, the findings indicate that the delays which they had as a direct result of their demographic characteristics were negligible. It was the factors which occurred after a physician had been initially consulted which accounted for a significant proportion of the time, factors which went well beyond the individual attributes of the women who were involved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All references to regression imply multiple linear regression in Statistical Notes.

# 2. Physicians' attitudes toward abortion (Chapter 9)

To investigate the factors which might be associated with the attitudes of physicians toward induced abortion, an analysis which was comparable to the method used in the national population survey (Statistical Note 3) was used. The questions which were asked in the national physician survey were similar to those asked in the national population survey, but provided for more detailed replies. The questions asked were in the form "would you support a request for a therapeutic abortion under specified conditions" which were:

- 1. detrimental to the physical health of the mother;
- 2. detrimental to the mental health of the mother;
- 3. possibility of physical deformity in the baby;
- 4. pregnancy the result of rape or incest;
- 5. an economic inability to support the child;
- 6. to prevent the birth of an illegitimate child;
- 7. whenever an application is made for a therapeutic abortion during the first trimester of a pregnancy.

The first six of the conditions were divided into: (a) first trimester; (b) beyond first trimester length of gestation.

A three-point scale was used to evaluate the responses (0=refusal; 1=first-trimester support; 2=beyond first-trimester support) only after analogous procedures using point dichotomous (YES/NO) coding had been run. The point dichotomous coding was more rigourous than the use of the three-point scale, but the use of the three-point scale was felt to be more directly aligned to the original phrasing of the questions.

The results of the factor analysis of the attitudes of physicians toward induced abortions were comparable to the results of the national population survey. The first four conditions constituting the physical-mental health factor accounted for 17.9 percent of the variance, while the three social indications held 82.1 percent of the variance. The comparison between the findings of the two analyses was:

	FACTOR 1	FACTOR 2
	Social	Physical-mental
National	82.3	16.7
Physicians	82.1	17.9

The two factors were defined (mathematically) in essentially the same way.

A series of follow-up analyses was done to complement the results of the factor analysis technique. The first of these was the reconstruction of the two factor-scales in a form which would allow their use as dependent variables for

multiple regression analytic techniques. The independent variables (used here as well as throughout this statistical note) were:

- 1. Religion;
- 2. Region of residence;
- 3. Marital status;
- 4. Sex;
- 5. Primary language spoken;
- 6. Type of medical practice;
- 7. Organization of practice;
- 8. Age;
- 9. Size of the community of practice;
- 10. Specialty training (general practitioner, obstetrician-gynaecologist).

Where the original variables were nominal or ordinal, standard dummy-variable techniques were used. Consequently, religion, marital status, sex, language, region of residence, type and organization of medical practice were reconstructed in a point-dichotomous fashion, while the age of the respondent and the size of the community of practice were left in their original categorical form. As a result of this recoding, 16 technically separate variables were derived and used. The previously established criterion was used of excluding any and all variables which did not make at least a 1 percent contribution to the final r<sup>2</sup> of the dependent variable. The cumulative r<sup>2</sup> in each instance was based solely on these variables.

The first regression was run on the social health factor. The results were:

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.147	.147
Age of physician	.036	.183
Quebec residence	.016	.199

For this and other results in this section, n = 2,570

# for 19.9 percent of the variance.

Investigation of the simple r revealed that all of the three "variables", if present, decreased the likelihood of support for induced abortion (regarding age, the older the physician, the less the likelihood of support).

The second analysis considered indications involving the physical-mental health factor.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.040	.040
Protestant	.021	.061

Two factors accounted for 6.1 percent of the variance. The simple r revealed that Catholic physicians were not likely to support induced abortions on these grounds, while Protestant physicians were more likely to do so. The low r<sup>2</sup> (6.1 percent) revealed, however, that while a relationship existed, 93.9 percent of the support for induced abortion on physical-mental health indications was not related to the religion of the physician (Catholic or Protestant).

The third analysis found that four variables related to the indication of "mental health" interpreted in relation to abortion accounted for 9.8 percent of the variance.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.032	.032
Quebec residence	.045	.077
Age of physician	.011	.088
Jewish	.010	.098

The zero-order correlation (simple r) revealed that Catholic physicians and older physicians felt the indication of mental health was interpreted too liberally, while Jewish physicians and physicians who practiced in Quebec felt the issue was interpreted too restrictively. (The mathematical independence of these variables indicated that: e.g., Quebec Catholic physicians were more likely to find the interpretation more restrictive than Catholic physicians in other parts of the country.)

Abortion as a human right was the fourth analysis. Three variables accounted for 11.8 percent of the variance.

r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
.067	.067
.041	.108
.010	.118
	.067 .041

The simple r revealed that Catholic physicians and older physicians were more likely to disagree with the statement, while Quebec physicians in the national physician survey were more likely to agree.

The fifth analysis involving three variables which dealt with the view of physicians whether abortion lowers the value of human life accounted for 12.1 percent of the variance.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.074	.074
Quebec residence	.027	.101
Age of physician	.020	.121

The simple r revealed that Catholic, Quebec residents and older physicians tended to agree with this statement.

Three variables accounted for 18.5 percent of the variance in the responses to the question about whether abortion is preferable to an unwanted child.

r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
.155	.155
.017	.172
.013	.185
	.017

The simple r revealed that Catholics, older physicians and Quebec residents were more likely to disagree with this statement.

The single variable which accounted for 1.2 percent of the variance was related to whether physicians said they were willing to serve on a therapeutic abortion committee.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.012	.012

However, the age of the physician was 0.9 percent in both cases. Being Catholic or an older physician showed a trend toward being less willing to serve on a therapeutic abortion committee.

In the remaining analyses considered in this statistical note, the seven general indications (four physical-mental health and three social health) were considered individually in relation to the extent of their association with physician attributes.

## 1. Detrimental to the physical health of the mother.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.117	.117
Age of physician	.041	.158
Anglophone	.019	.177

Three variables accounted for 17.7 percent of the variance. The simple r showed that older physicians and Catholic physicians were less likely to support this indication, while anglophone physicians were more likely to do so.

# 2. Detrimental to the mental health of the mother.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.148	.148
Age of physician	.022	.170

Two variables accounted for 17.0 percent of the variance. Younger physicians and Catholic physicians were less likely to support this indication.

# 3. Possibility of physical deformity in the baby.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.108	.108
Age of physician	.033	.141
Quebec residence	.020	.161

Three variables accounted for 16.1 percent of the variance. Catholics, older physicians and Quebec residents were less likely to support this indication.

# 4. Pregnancy the result of rape or incest.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.115	.115
Age of physician	.021	.136
Quebec residence	.014	.150

Three variables accounted for 15.0 percent of the variance. Catholics, older physicians and Quebec residents were less likely to support this indication.

# 5. An economic inability to support the child.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.088	.088
Age of physician	.017	.105

Two variables accounted for 10.5 percent of the variance. Catholics and older physicians were less likely to support this indication.

# 6. To prevent the birth of an illegitimate child.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.040	.040
Jewish	.016	.056

Two variables accounted for 5.6 percent of the variance. For most physicians none of their attributes which were included in the analysis were related to their responses to this indication. There was a trend toward Catholic physicians being less likely to support this indication while the reverse held for Jewish physicians.

# 7. Whenever an application is made for a therapeutic abortion during the first trimester of a pregnancy.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Jewish	.022	.022
Ouebec residence	.011	.033

Two variables accounted for 3.3 percent of the variance. There was a trend for Jewish physicians and physicians living in Quebec to be more likely to support this indication.

8. Whenever an application is made for a therapeutic abortion.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Jewish	.021	.021
Cathoric	.010	.031
Quebec residence	.017	.048

Three variables accounted for 4.8 percent of the variance. As was the case for support for the other six indications, most of the variance was unaccounted for (95.2 percent). Jewish physicians and physicians living in Quebec were more likely to support this indication while Catholic physicians were less likely to do so.

9. In your opinion is the current abortion legislation: (1) too liberal; (2) about right; (3) too restrictive; (4) no opinion.

Independent Variable	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Catholic	.031	.031
Quebec residence	.070	.101
Age of physician	.019	.120

Three variables accounted for 12.0 percent of the variance. Catholic physicians and physicians who were older were more likely to state that the abortion legislation was too liberal while more Quebec physicians found it was too restrictive.

From the preceding analyses of multiple regression, the r<sup>2</sup> component fluctuated from a low of 3.3 percent to a high of 19.9 percent (excluding the question relating to the willingness to serve on a therapeutic abortion committee). What emerged sharply was the low r<sup>2</sup> in all cases. The results of the regression technique indicate that while the social and demographic attributes of physicians in the national survey such as age, religion and province of residence had a part in the "prediction" of certain of their attitudes toward induced abortion, the majority of the differences (from a minimum of 80.1 percent to over 96 percent) were not related to their stated views about these issues or in the extent of their support or non-support of indications for induced abortion. In terms of the variables which were used, the physicians in the national physician survey had attitudes toward induced abortion which were demographically transcendent, i.e., they cut across the attributes which are often assumed to be related to stated views of the medical profession toward induced abortion. For the physicians in the national physician survey, what these findings mean is that their opinions on this issue are not readily categorized in terms of their personal or medical practice attributes.

# 3. Public attitudes toward abortion (Chapter 11)

To determine what factors might be related to the attitudes toward induced abortion of the individuals in the national population survey, the factor analysis technique which was used was that of the iterated principal components variety, using the varimax criterion to control orthogonal rotation. The results of the factor analysis showed that the two positions of "abortion on demand" and "never willing to support abortion" were polar to each other, but also defined an endpoint to a second dichotomization, i.e., the "polar" versus the "usual" view of support to induced abortion. The remaining questions (which were run separately to determine the impact of excluding the "polar" position) fell into two major clusters.

The first factor accounted for 80.6 percent of the variance. Its principal components were: the support of an abortion request with gestation under 12 weeks; the support for abortion to prevent illegitimate birth; and the support of abortion for reasons of financial hardship. This factor was labelled the social health indication factor. The second factor (which accounted for 19.4 percent of the variance in the replies to the questions) was labelled physical and mental health indication factor. The principal components of this factor were the questions which indicated: support for abortion if the pregnancy was the result of rape or incest; support for abortion if the baby might be physically deformed; and support if the continuation of the pregnancy might endanger the physical or mental health of the mother (asked as two separate questions).

The repetition of this factor analysis, leaving out the questions designated as "polar" produced the following results. The social factor on the restricted set of attitudinal questions accounted for 83.3 percent of the total variance of the remaining seven attitudinal questions, while the physical-mental health factor was reduced to 16.7 percent. To isolate the regional variants of these attitude clusters, individual factor analyses were generated for each of the five geographical regions in the country. The five regional factor analyses produced the following results.

Region	Percent Variance Due to Social Health Indication Factor	Percent Variance Due to Physical-Mental Health Indication Factor
Maritimes	82.5	17.5
Quebec	81.7	18.3
Ontario	83.8	16.2
Prairies	80.8	19.2
British Columbia	83.3	16.7
CANADA	83.3	16.7

n = 4,128

It was assumed that the factors might be useful to distinguish demographically distinct groups in the population. For reasons of parsimony, only the national sample was used, with the regional variation of attitudes being included through the use of a dummy variable multiple-regression approach to the analysis of variance within these factors.

Although this approach was statistically significant, what was found was intuitively irrelevant. Using the two generated factors of social and physical-mental support for abortion as the dependent variables, attempts were made to predict the variations in these factors by the use of multiple regression. The dummy variable technique was used to indicate the sex, region, religion, and language of the respondents, while the variables of age (coded as "year of birth"), community size, and educational experience were entered as they were. Using this approach, the total  $r^2$  for the social health factor was 5.5 percent, while that for the physical-mental health factor was 5.4 percent.

The combination of the factor analytic results with the multiple regression results indicated that while two distinct attitudinal groups existed in relation to the abortion issue, the attitudes themselves cut across the demographic lines of demarcation which are often assumed to "explain" or "to account for" the reasons why individuals hold a specific viewpoint. In both cases, the "accepted" or "stereotypic" relationships were found, and found to be of high statistical significance. But in each case, this high significance was more an artifact of the sample size than a reflection of the utility of these demographic factors as predictors of the attitudes which were held. In both cases, nearly 95 percent of the "reasons" for holding one of the two positions about the issue of induced abortion could not be traced back to the traditionally employed assumptions regarding this issue.

# 4. Sexual behaviour (Chapter 14)

To isolate the principal factors associated with the frequency of coitus of individuals in the national population survey, the statistical technique used was multiple regression. This approach used dummy variable replacements for those variables which did not meet the assumption of interval level data. All of the socio-economic variables available were used, as well as those relating to the use of contraception. The results reported were produced by an additional application of the multiple regression technique, this time excluding all variables which made up less than a 1 percent contribution to the final  $r^2$  of the model. All of the terms of the model were linear except for the variable which designated the interaction of age and marital status. This interaction indicated that the impact of age could be most adequately gauged (relating to the frequency of sexual intercourse) if the individual was married.

The contributions to the overall variation were:

	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Age-married (interaction)	.391	.391
Use of birth control pills	.029	.420
No use of contraceptives (any type)	.015	.435
No need for contraceptives	.011	.446-

which yielded a total of 44.6 percent of the variance of the frequency of sexual intercourse.

No checks were made to allow for a distinction between heterosexual and homosexual contacts or to measure the extent of extra-marital intercourse. What this model provides is an estimate (44.6 percent) of the importance of: (a) the availability of a sex partner; and (b) the reliability of the contraceptive method perceived by the participants.

# 5. Sterilization and induced abortion (Chapter 14)

To analyze the multiple effects for women in the national patient survey of their marital status, their age and the number of previous live births, a multiple regression analysis was performed. Because a considerable degree of interaction between the age of those patients who had abortions and the number of live births was expected, a multiplicative model was adopted. As a further step in the analysis, partial correlations of sterilization and demographic factors were examined. Each of these analyses supported the conclusion that the number of previous live births was the major demographic factor determining whether a woman having an induced abortion was to be sterilized.

The multiple regression analysis was done in several steps beginning with age, number of live births and the dichotomized married-other marital status variables introduced alone. During the second stage these variables were cross multiplied and introduced into the equations. The cross-multiplied products were highly skewed, but had higher correlation coefficients and F-ratios with the dependent variable than did the single predictor items.

The proportion of explained variance in sterilization, which can be estimated by the square of the multiple-correlation coefficient (r²), was not greatly increased in the interactive model. The three single criterion variables accounted for 27.0 percent of the variance in sterilization compared to 29.2 percent in the more complex model. The interaction between live births and age was evident and this variable contributed most significantly to the explanation of sterilization in the mixed single criterion—multiplicative items equation. The introduction of the multiple criterion items to estimate the degree of interaction between the single criterion predictors reduced the influence of age and number of live births to below the 1 percent level of contribution to the total r². When the effects of interaction were controlled, the independent influence of marital status was seen more clearly:

	г² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Live births	.240	.240
Age	.020	.260
Married-other	.010	.270

for 27.0 percent of the variance.

	r² (contrib)	r² (cum)
Live births-age	.270	.270
Age-married	.011	.281
Married-other	.011	.292

for 29.2 percent of the variance.

For the women having therapeutic abortions from whom information was obtained in the national patient survey, the analysis emphasizes the interaction of their age and the number of their previous live births in the decision about their surgical sterilization.

Investigation of the zero-order correlation (simple r) indicates that the likelihood of sterilization is augmented with increases in all of these variables. Interpreted more literally, among the women in the national patient survey, there was a greater likelihood of sterilization among older women, those women who had more live births, and women who were widowed, divorced or separated. The interaction model showed that the number of previous live births, age and age-married variables did not alter from this pattern. (Increases in both the number of live births and a woman's age, or older married women are more likely to be sterilized.) The second (interaction) model contained all of the original variables. The absence of the "age" and "live births" variables indicated that the inclusion of the interaction variables reduced their contribution to a value below 1 percent of the total r<sup>2</sup>.

# STATISTICAL TABLES

TABLE 1

NATIONAL HOSPITAL SURVEY AND HOSPITAL SITE VISITS BY COMMITTEE

	Eligible Hospitals		
Region of Country	Response to National Hospital Survey	Site Visited by Committee	
	percen	it	
Newfoundland	90.9	27.3	
Prince Edward Island	83.3	33.3	
Nova Scotia	87.5	29.2	
New Brunswick	62.5	25.0	
Quebec	63.4	29.7	
Ontario	85.9	29.6	
Manitoba	79.5	20.5	
Saskatchewan	91.3	34.7	
Alberta	81.7	20.7	
British Columbia Yukon and	70.0	22.5	
Northwest Territories	66.6	33.3	
CANADA	77.4	25.0	

TABLE 2

CANADIAN POPULATION AND NATIONAL POPULATION SURVEY CHARACTERISTICS

Population Characteristics	Canadian Population <sup>1</sup>	Canadian Institute Sample	Abortion Study Sample <sup>2</sup>
Age			
18-29 years	31.1	29	31.5
30-49 years	34.8	40	40.8
50 years and over	<b>34</b> .1	31	27.7
Area		<u>.</u>	
Atlantic	9.6	10	10.5
Quebec	28.0	28	29.1
Ontario	35.8	36	34.4
Prairies	16.5	16	15.0
British Columbia	10.1	10	11.0
Community Size			
Over 100,000	48	47	46.4
10,000-100,000	17	17	18.1
Under 10,000 rural and farm	35	36	35.5
Sex		-	
Male	50.1	50	46.2
Female	49.9	50	53.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Canadian Institute of Public Opinion uses 1971 Canadian Census information as the basis for population sampling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Excludes 554 individuals between ages 15-17 years in a special sub-sample.

TABLE 3

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, CANADA AND PROVINCES, 1970-1974

		1. Total Population (1,000s)	<ol> <li>Population women 15-44 (1,000s)</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Married women 15-44 (1,000s)</li> </ol>	4. Marriages	<ol> <li>Marriage Rates (per 1,000 population)</li> </ol>
		ıtion )	vomen 15-44	nen 15-44		ites )
		1970 1971 1972 1973 1974	1970 1971 1972 1973 1974	1970 1971 1972 1973	1970 1971 1972 1973	1970 1971 1972 1973 1974
	Сапада	21,297.0 21,568.3 21,820.5 22,094.7 22,446.3	4,550.8 4,656.1 4,766.5 4,890.5	2,858.8 2,917.3 2,967.2 N.A.	188,428 191,324 200,470 199,064 198,824	8.8 9.9 9.0 9.0 9.0
	Nfid.	517.0 522.1 532.0 540.8 542.5	103.9 106.1 110.3 114.0	63.5 65.1 67.6	4,466 4,685 5,106 5,048 4,276	8 9.0 9.0 9.3 7.9
	P.E.I.	110.0 111.6 113.0 114.9	20.7 21.3 22.2 23.2	12.3 12.6 13.0	913 961 1,013 1,014 990	8.8 9.0 8.8 5.5
STATIS	N.S.	782.0 789.0 794.5 804.8 813.2	157.2 160.1 163.8 169.0	97.6 99.2 100.0	6,800 6,883 7,291 7,273 7,112	8.9 9.2 9.0 7.8
STATISTICS CANADA	N.B.	627.0 634.6 643.0 651.9 661.8	126.8 129.9 133.6 138.5	76.3 78.1 80.7	5,696 6,149 6,455 6,357 6,108	9.1 9.7 10.0 9.8 9.2
ADA	One.	6,013.0 6,027.8 6,050.5 6,081.4 6,134.3	1,354.2 1,371.7 1,394.9 1,418.8	789.2 796.4 804.2	49,606 49,695 53,830 51,943 51,532	8.8.8.8. 2.9.8.8.4.
	Ont.	7,551.0 7,703.1 7,823.9 7,938.9 8,093.9	1,619.7 1,668.6 1,710.9 1,755.4	1,061.8 1,091.1 1,111.3	68,874 69,590 72,278 72,371 72,371	9.0 9.0 9.2 9.1
	Man.	983.0 988.2 991.5 997.8 1,011.0	199.3 202.2 204.6 208.4	125.8 127.2 128.2	9,008 9,127 9,181 9,196 9,231	9.2 9.3 9.2 9.1
	Sask.	941.0 926.2 916.3 908.1	180.3 178.5 178.2 178.4	114.4 112.5 110.9	7,317 7,813 7,877 7,847 7,988	7. 8. 8. 8. 8. 4. 8. 8. 8.
	Alta.	1,595.0 1,627.9 1,653.9 1,683.6 1,713.9	339.3 351.0 360.7 371.9	223.2 230.3 234.9	15,285 15,614 16,345 16,280 16,691	9.6 9.9 9.9 7.9
	B.C.	2,128.0 2,184.6 2,247.0 2,315.0 2,395.2	438.8 455.3 475.3 500.5	287.6 297.1 307.5	20,026 20,389 20,659 21,303 21,734	4.6.9.9.9.9.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1
	Yukon	17.0 18.4 18.9 19.7	3.8 4.4 4.3	7.1 7.7 8.0	201 166 181 206 190	12.6 9.0 9.5 10.3
	N.W.T.	33.0 34.8 36.0 37.8	6.8 7.7 8.0		236 252 254 226 256	7.2 7.2 7.1 5.9 6.8

TABLE 3---Continued

ļ	TO THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	Canada	da Nfld.	ld. P.E.I.		N.S.	N.B.	Que.	Ont.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.	Yukon	⊬ × Z
9	Median Age of Brides	1970 21 1971 21 1972 22 1973 21	21.4 20 21.3 20 22.3 21 21.2 20 21.3 20	20.7 2.20.6 2.21.2 2.0.5 2.0.6 2.12	21.3 21.5 21.8 21.1	21.2 21.1 21.8 22.0 21.0	20.9 20.8 21.5 20.6 20.6	22.1 22.0 22.6 21.9 21.8	21.3 21.3 22.4 21.2 21.2	21.1 21.0 21.9 20.9 20.9	20.6 20.5 21.1 20.3 20.8	20.8 20.7 21.9 20.7 20.8	21.1 21.0 22.5 21.1 21.2	20.6 21.3 22.9 21.6 22.4	20.5 20.9 22.4 20.4 21.0
7.	Median Age of Grooms	1970 23 1971 23 1972 24 1973 23	23.5 23 23.5 22 24.1 23 23.5 22 23.5 22	23.0 22.9 23.2 23.2 22.7 22.6 22.6	23.2 23.1 23.5 23.3 23.1	22.8 23.1 23.7 23.1 23.1	23.0 22.9 23.4 22.8 22.7	24.0 24.0 24.1 23.9 23.9	23.4 24.2 23.4 23.4 23.5	23.3 23.2 23.8 23.1 23.1	22.9 23.8 23.4 22.6 22.9	23.0 23.1 23.9 23.0 23.0	23.4 23.5 24.6 23.6 23.7	23.9 24.2 25.2 24.8 25.2	23.0 23.1 24.1 23.7 23.8
∞ <b>i</b>	Live Births	1970 371,988 1971 362,187 1972 347,319 1973 343,373 1974 345,604	88 12,539 87 12,767 19 12,898 73 11,906 04 10,236	39 1,957 67 2,103 98 2,010 06 1,886 36 1,939		4,159 1 4,250 1 3,536 1 3,289 1 2,941 1	11,545 12,187 11,806 11,425 11,444	91,757 89,210 83,603 84,057 85,626	134,724 130,395 125,060 123,776 124,228	18,248 18.031 17,398 16,964 17,308	16,443 16,054 15,473 14,806 15,083	31,967 30.545 29,282 29,288 29,812	36,861 34,852 34,563 34,352 35,450	451 506 451 420 495	1,337 1,287 1,239 1,204 1,042
9.	Crude Birth Rate (per 1,000 population)	1970 17 1971 16 1972 15 1973 15	17.5 24 16.8 24 15.9 24 15.5 22 15.4 18	24.3 18 24.5 18 24.2 17 22.0 16 18.9 16	18.8 17.8 16.4	18.1 18.1 17.0 16.5 15.9	18.4 19.2 18.4 17.5	15.3 14.8 13.8 13.8 14.0	17.8 16.9 16.0 15.6 15.3	18.6 18.2 17.6 17.0 17.1	17.5 17.3 16.9 16.3	20.0 18.8 17.7 17.4	17.3 16.0 15.4 14.8	26.5 27.5 23.9 21.3 25.5	40.5 37.0 34.4 31.9 27.8
10.	Total Fertility Rate	1970 2,331 1971 2,187 1972 2,024 1973 1,931 1974 1,832	31 N.A.A. 32 N.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A	A. 2,807 A. 2,909 A. 2,606 A. 2,270 A. 2,219		2,571 2,503 2,302 2,147 2,002	2,640 2,667 2,460 2,237 2,136	1,974 1,878 1,727 1,683 1,657	2,401 2,221 2,051 1,960 1,884	2,654 2,540 2,384 2,241 2,179	2,730 2,688 2,554 2,391 2,385	2,674 2,434 2,244 2,153 2,110	2,380 2,135 2,002 1,874 1,819	3,135 3,229 2,775 2,518 3,106	5,419 4,761 4,364 3,994 3,474

TABLE 3—Continued

STATISTICS CANADA

			Canada	Nfld.	P.E.I.	N.S.	Ä.B.	Que.	Ont.	Мап.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.	Yukon	N.W.T.
11.	11. Gross Reproduction Rate	1970 1971 1972 1973	1.132 1.060 0.982 0.937 0.891	4444 2222	1.371 1.375 1.237 1.060 1.060	1.249 1.212 1.133 1.050 0.968	1.288 1.294 1.176 1.091 1.054	0.956 0.908 0.836 0.812 0.806	1.168 1.078 0.993 0.952 0.915	1.287 1.243 1.160 1.081	1.329 1.322 1.251 1.170	1.296 1.171 1.087 1.047	1.152 1.032 0.979 0.912 0.884	1.474 1.468 1.329 1.271	2.444 2.308 2.103 1.858
12.	General Fertility	1970 1971 1972 1973	71.2 67.7 63.4 61.5 60.6	4444 22222	84.0 88.0 81.0 73.1	79.4 73.4 70.2 66.7	80.6 83.2 78.7 73.9 71.9	60.2 57.8 53.3 52.8 52.6	72.8 68.4 64.1 62.1 60.6	79.9 78.0 74.6 71.9	79.6 78.5 76.0 72.9	83.6 77.4 72.3 70.4 69.6	73.3 67.0 63.9 60.8 59.8	107.4 115.0 96.0 87.5 105.3	180.7 160.9 149.3 140.0
13.	Stillbirths 20+ weeks (28+ weeks)*	1970 1971 1972 1973	4,708 4,399 3,950 3,634 3,579	137* 158 121 151 151	23 24 27 19 31	198 172 141 141 148	171 171 170 153 147	1,203 1,070 888 814 798	1,679 1,576 1,534 1,362 1,335	245 222 226 194 190	223 205 173 177 169	392 332 293 242	407 442 356 339 364	**************************************	26* 19 14 13
<del>7.</del>	14. Infant Deaths	1970 1971 1972 1973	7,001 6,356 5,938 5,339 5,192	273 293 267 230 181	44 39 30 34 48	245 265 228 206 185	227 204 204 173 173	1,888 1,640 1,500 1,378 1,291	2,271 1,990 1,908 1,740 1,666	344 316 329 272	368 325 300 261 313	612 548 511 416 449	623 653 580 575 572	16 13 7 7	91 63 64 45
15.	15. Infant Death Rates per 1,000 live births	1970 1971 1972 1973	18.8 17.5 17.1 15.5	21.8 22.9 20.7 19.3	22.0 21.9 19.4 15.9	17.3 18.6 16.8 15.5	19.7 16.7 17.3 15.1 15.1	20.6 18.4 17.9 16.4 15.1	16.9 15.3 15.3 14.1	18.9 17.5 18.9 16.4 15.7	22.4 20.2 19.4 17.6 20.7	19.1 17.9 17.5 14.2 15.1	16.9 18.7 16.8 16.7	35.5 25.7 26.6 16.7 24.2	68.1 49.0 48.4 37.4 42.2

TABLE 3—Continued

STATISTICS CANADA

N.W.T.	-   2   -	50 50 50 50 50 50	20.9 17.9 18.5 16.6 19.2	32 36 36 35 35	38.2 27.6 24.0 21.4 33.0
Yukon	-	13 9 9 8 8	28.8 11.9 13.1 9.5 10.1	10 10 5 11	30.8 19.5 21.9 11.8 21.8
B.C.	₹ <b>9</b> 4 <b>5</b> €	422 459 381 380 364	11.4 13.2 11.0 11.1 10.3	670 727 567 576 576	18.0 20.7 16.3 16.6 16.6
Alta.	4644-	433 388 364 266 278	13.5 12.7 12.4 9.1 9.3	687 588 550 433 422	21.3 19.1 18.6 14.7
Sask.	w -1 v -1 w	258 222 208 180 191	15.7 13.8 13.4 12.2 12.6	411 358 325 300 304	24.7 22.1 20.8 20.1 19.9
Man.	4 7 1	240 204 210 169 176	13.2 11.3 12.1 10.0	409 341 351 296 274	22.2 18.7 20.0 17.3 15.7
Ont.	27 28 14 14	1,711 1,424 1,392 1,238 1,163	12.7 10.9 11.1 10.0 9.4	2,845 2,476 2,405 2,127 2,039	20.9 18.8 19.1 17.0
Que.	22 20 18 10 12	1,352 1,190 1,057 995 924	14.7 13.3 12.6 11.8 10.8	2,153 1,866 1,615 1,532 1,540	22.3 20.7 19.2 18.1 16.7
N.B.	2	160 145 147 122 122	13.9 11.9 12.5 10.7	276 273 269 245 239	23.6 22.2 22.5 21.2 20.6
N.S.	01   -	180 180 129 135 115	12.7 12.6 9.5 10.2 8.9	319 287 224 226 217	22.3 19.9 16.4 16.9 16.6
P.E.I.	-	38 37 26 19 30	19.4 17.6 12.9 10.1 15.5	51 57 44 30 49	25.8 26.8 21.7 15.8 24.9
Nfld.	S-22-	182 207 174 164 118	14.5 16.2 13.5 13.8 11.5	305 333 282 291 228	24.1 25.8 21.7 24.1 22.0
Canada	75 66 54 37 35	5,017 4,485 4,117 3,692 3,506	13.5 12.4 11.9 10.8	8,192 7,352 6,672 6,087 5,835	21.8 20.1 19.0 17.6 16.7
	1970 1971 1972 1973	1970 1971 1972 1973	1970 1971 1972 1973	1970 1971 1972 1973	1970 1971 1972 1973
	16. All Pregnancy Related Deaths	17. Neonatal Deaths	18. Neonatal Death Rates (per 1,000 live births)	9. Perinatal Deaths	). Perinatal Death Rates (per 1,000 total births)
!	16	17	<u>~</u>	19.	20.

TABLE 3—Concluded

	N.W.T.	44 45 57	N.A. N.A. 1.3 2.0	X.X A.A. 6.2 4.4
	Yukon	6 8 76 63	0.4 0.4 3.8 3.3	1.6 2.0 10.9 17.3 14.6
	B.C.	2,901 7,045 8,179 9,176 10,024	4.6.6.4.4.0.6.4.4.0.6.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.	6.6 15.5 16.8(1) 18.3(1) 19.0
	Alta.	1,154 3,116 3,887 4,047 4,391	0.7 1.9 2.4 2.4 2.6	3,4 8.9 10.2 10.9
	Sask.	215 756 1,043 1,219 1,176	0.2 0.8 1.1 1.3	1.2 5.7 6.8 6.5
	Мап.	238 827 1,178 1,259 1,411	0.2 0.8 1.2 1.3	1.2 4.1 5.6 6.0 6.6
	Ont.	5,568 16,173 20,272 22,603 24,795	0.7 2.1 2.6 2.8 3.1	3.4 9.7 11.6(1) 12.9(1) 13.7
VDA	Que.	534 1,881 2,847 3,141 4,453	0.1 0.3 0.5 0.7	0.4 1.4 2.0(1) 2.2 3.1
STATISTICS CANADA	8. Z	72 146 183 341 440	0.1 0.2 0.3 0.5	0.6 1.1 1.3 2.5 3.1
STATIST	S.S.	261 643 837 932 1,062	0.3 0.3 1.2 1.3	1.7 4.0 5.0(1) 5.5 6.1
	P.E.I.	17 39 41 50	0.2 0.3 0.4 0.4	0.8 1.8 2.0 1.8 2.1
	Nfld.	25 78 133 193 184	0.1 0.2 0.4 0.3	0.2 0.7 1.1 1.7
	Canada	11,152 30,923 38,853 43,201 48,136	0.5 1.4 1.8 2.0 2.1	2.5 8.8 9.5 5.5
	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1970 1971 1972 1973	1970 1971 1972 1973	1970 1971 1972 1973 1974
		21. Abortions	22. Abortion Rates (per 1,000 total population)	23. Abortion Rates (per 1,000 women 15-44)

(1) Estimated figures.

\*Provisional figures.

TABLE 4

INDEX OF CHANGE IN SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, CANADA AND PROVINCES, 1970-1974 (CONSIDERING IN EACH CASE THE FIGURES FOR BASE YEAR 1970=100)

			Canada	Nfid.	P.E.I.	N.S.	N.B.	Quebec	Ont.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.	Yukon	T W Z
1.	1. Total Population	1970 1971	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0 102.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		1972	102.5	102.9	102.7	101.6	102.6	100.6	103.6	100.9	97.4	103.7	105.6	111.2	109.1
		1974	105.4	104.9	106.1	104.0	105.6	102.0	107.2	103.0	96.4	107.5	112.6	114.1	113.6
2	2. Population women 15-44	1970	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		1972	104.7	106.2	107.2	104.2	105.4	103.0	105.6	102.7	98.8	106.3	108.3	113.2	113.2
		1973 1974	107.5	109.7	112.1	107.5	109.2	104.8	108.4	104.6	6.86	9.601	114.1	115.8	117.6
3,	Married women 15-44	1970	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	
		1971	102.1	102.6	102.5	101.7	102.4	100.9	102.8	101.1	98.3	103.2	103.3	109.0	_
		1972	103.8	106.5	105.7	103.4	105.8	101.9	104.7	101.9	6.96	105.2	106.9	112.	
		1973	Ä.	Ä.	Z.	∢ Z	Z.A.	Z. Ą.	Ϋ́ Y	N.A.	Z.A	Ą. Z	N.A.	Y.Z	
		1974	Z.A.	Ä.	Ä.	Ä.	N.A.	Z.A.	Z.A.	Z. Ą	Ÿ.	Ÿ.	N.A.	Z.	
4.	4. Marriages	1970	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		1971	101.5	104.9	105.3	101.2	108.0	100.2	101.0	101.3	106.8	102.2	101.8	82.6	106.8
		1972	106.4	114.3	111.0	107.2	113.3	108.5	104.9	101.9	107.7	106.9	103.2	90.0	107.6
		1973	105.6	113.0	111.1	107.0	111.6	104.7	105.1	102.1	107.2	106.5	106.4	102.5	95.8
		1974*	105.5	95.7	108.4	104.6	107.2	103.9	105.6	102.5	109.2	109.2	108.5	94.5	108.5

TABLE 4—Continued

The second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a section in the second section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section is a section in the		Canada	Nfld.	P.E.I.	S.Z.	N.B.	Quebec	Ont.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.	Yukon	N.W.T.
5. Marriage Rates (per 1,000 population)	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.0 101.1 104.5 102.3 101.1	100.0 104.7 111.6 108.1 91.9	100.0 103.6 108.4 106.0 102.4	100.0 97.8 103.4 101.1 97.8	100.0 106.6 109.9 107.7 101.1	100.0 100.0 108.5 103.7 102.4	100.0 100.0 102.2 101.1	100.0 100.0 101.1 100.0 98.9	100.0 107.7 110.3 110.3	100.0 100.0 103.1 101.0	100.0 98.9 97.9 97.9	100.0 71.4 75.4 81.7	100.0 100.0 98.6 81.9 94.4
6. Live Births	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.0 97.4 93.4 92.3 92.9	100.0 101.8 102.9 95.0 81.6	100.0 107.5 102.7 96.4 99.1	100.0 100.6 95.6 93.9 91.4	100.0 105.6 102.3 99.0 99.1	100.0 97.2 91.1 91.6	100.0 96.8 92.8 91.9 92.2	100.0 98.8 95.3 93.0	100.0 97.6 94.1 90.0	100.0 95.6 91.6 91.6	100.0 94.5 93.8 93.2 96.2	100.0 112.2 100.0 93.1	100.0 96.3 92.7 90.1
7. Crude Birth Rate (per 1,000 population)	1970 1971 1972 1973 1974*	100.0 96.0 90.9 88.6 88.6	100.0 100.8 99.6 90.5 77.8	100.0 105.6 100.0 92.1 93.3	100.0 100.0 93.9 91.2 87.8	100.0 104.3 100.0 95.1 94.0	100.0 96.7 90.2 90.2 91.5	100.0 94.9 89.9 87.6 86.0	100.0 97.8 94.6 91.4 91.9	100.0 98.9 96.6 93.1	100.0 94.0 88.5 87.0 87.0	100.0 92.5 89.0 85.5 85.5	100.0 103.8 90.2 80.4 96.2	100.0 91.4 84.9 78.8 68.6
8. Total Fertility Rate	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.0 93.8 86.8 82.8 78.6		100.0 103.6 92.8 80.9 79.1	100.0 97.4 89.5 83.5 77.9	100.0 101.0 93.2 84.7 80.9	100.0 95.1 87.5 85.3 83.9	100.0 92.5 85.4 81.6 78.5	100.0 95.7 89.8 84.4 82.1	100.0 98.5 93.6 87.6 87.4	100.0 91.0 83.9 80.5 78.9	100.0 89.7 84.1 78.7 76.4	100.0 103.0 88.5 80.3	100.0 87.9 80.5 73.7
9. Gross Reproduction Rate	e 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974	100.0 93.6 86.7 82.8 78.7	KKKKK KKKKK KKKKK	100.0 100.3 90.2 77.3 76.4	100.0 97.0 90.7 84.1 77.5	100.0 100.5 91.3 84.7 81.8	100.0 95.0 87.4 84.9 84.3	100.0 92.3 85.0 81.5 78.3	100.0 96.6 90.1 84.0 81.3	100.0 99.5 94.1 88.0 88.0	100.0 90.4 83.9 80.8 79.7	100.0 89.6 85.0 79.2 76.7	100.0 99.6 90.2 86.2 100.1	100.0 94.4 86.0 76.0 66.8

TABLE 4—Continued

			Canada	Nfld.	P.E.L	N.S.	N.B.	Quebec	Ont.	Мап.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.	Yukon	N.W.T.
10.	10. General Fertility Rate	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.0 95.1 89.0 86.4 85.1	4 4 4 4 4 2 2 2 2 2	100.0 104.8 96.4 87.0 86.2	100.0 99.1 92.4 88.4 84.0	100.0 103.2 97.6 91.7 89.2	100.0 96.0 88.5 87.7	100.0 94.0 88.0 85.3 83.2	100.0 97.6 93.4 90.0 89.7	100.0 98.6 95.5 91.6	100.0 92.6 86.5 84.2 83.3	100.0 91.4 87.2 82.9	100.0 107.1 89.4 81.5 98.0	100.0 89.0 82.6 77.5
Ė	11. Stillbirths 20+ (*28+)	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.0 93.4 83.9 77.2 76.0	100.0* 115.3 88.3 110.2	100.0 104.3 117.4 82.6 134.7	100.0 86.9 71.2 71.2	100.0 100.0 99.4 89.5 86.0	100.0 88.9 73.8 67.6	100.0 93.9 91.4 81.1	100.0 90.6 92.2 79.2 77.6	100.0 91.9 77.6 79.4 75.8	100.0 84.7 74.7 68.4	100.0 108.6 87.5 83.3 89.4	100.0* 200.0 175.0 75.0 250.0	100.0* 73.1 53.8 50.0 69.2
12.	12. Infant Deaths	1970 1971 1972 1973 1974	100.0 90.8 84.8 76.3	100.0 107.3 97.8 84.2 66.3	100.0 107.0 90.7 69.8 79.1	100.0 108.2 93.1 84.1 75.5	100.0 89.9 89.9 76.2	100.0 86.9 79.4 73.0 68.4	100.0 87.6 84.0 76.6	100.0 91.9 95.6 80.8 79.1	100.0 88.3 81.5 70.9 85.1	100.0 89.5 83.5 68.0 73.4	100.0 104.8 93.1 92.3	100.0 81.2 75.0 43.8 75.0	100.0 69.2 65.9 49.5 48.4
13.	. Infant Death Rates (per 1,000 live births)	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.0 93.1 91.0 82.4 79.8	100.0 105.0 95.0 88.5 81.2	100.0 99.5 88.2 72.3 79.5	100.0 107.5 97.1 89.6 82.7	100.0 84.8 87.8 76.6	100.0 89.3 86.9 79.6 73.3	100.00 90.5 90.5 83.4 79.3	100.0 92.6 100.0 86.8 83.1	100.0 90.2 86.6 78.6 92.4	100.0 93.7 91.6 74.3 79.1	100.0 110.7 99.4 98.8 95.3	100.0 72.4 74.9 47.0 68.2	100.0 72.0 71.1 54.9 62.0
4.	All Pregnancy Related Deaths	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.0 88.0 72.0 49.3	100.0 20.0 40.0 40.0 20.0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	350.0 350.0 50.0 50.0	50.0 50.0 50.0	100.0 90.9 81.8 45.5 54.5	100.0 92.6 51.9 51.9	100.0 50.0 25.0	100.0 66.7 166.7 33.3 100.0	100.0 75.0 100.0 100.0 25.0	100.0 120.0 80.0 100.0	1111	100.0

TABLE 4—Continued

			Canada	Nfld.	P.E.I.	N.S.	Z.B.	Quebec	Omt.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.	Yukon	N.W.T.
15.	15. Neonatal Deaths	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.0 89.4 82.1 73.6	100.0 113.7 95.6 90.1	100.0 97.4 68.4 50.0	100.0 100.0 71.7 75.0	100.0 90.6 91.9 76.3	100.0 88.0 78.2 73.6	100.0 83.2 81.4 72.4	100.0 85.0 87.5 70.4	100.0 86.0 80.6 69.8	100.0 89.6 84.1 61.4	100.0 108.8 90.3	100.0 46.2 46.2 30.8	100.0 82.1 82.1 71.4
16.	<ol> <li>Neonatal Death Rates (per 1,000 live births)</li> </ol>	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.00 91.8 87.9 79.7	100.0 111.7 92.9 94.9	78.9 100.0 90.6 66.6 51.9	100.0 99.4 74.8 79.9	76.3 100.0 85.9 89.8 77.0	85.3 90.5 85.8 80.3	68.0 100.0 86.0 87.6 78.8	73.3 100.0 86.0 91.8 75.7	74.0 100.0 88.1 85.4 77.5	64.2 100.0 93.8 91.8 67.1	86.3 100.0 115.0 96.3 96.6	38.5 100.0 41.1 45.5 33.0	71.4 100.0 85.3 88.1 79.3
17.	Perinatal Deaths	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.0 89.7 81.4 74.3	100.0 109.2 92.5 95.4 74.8	100.0 111.8 86.3 58.8 96.1	100.0 90.0 70.2 70.8 68.0	100.0 98.9 97.5 88.8 86.6	100.0 86.7 75.0 71.2 66.9	100.0 87.0 84.5 74.8	100.0 83.4 85.8 72.4 67.0	100.0 87.1 79.1 73.0 74.0	100.0 85.6 80.1 63.0 61.4	100.0 108.5 84.6 86.0 86.1	100.0 71.4 71.4 35.7 78.6	100.0 69.2 57.7 50.0 67.3
18.	Perinatal Death Rates (per 1,000 live births)	1970 1971 1972 1973	100.0 92.2 87.2 80.7 76.6	100.0 107.1 90.0 100.0 91.3	100.0 103.9 84.1 61.2 96.5	100.0 89.2 73.5 75.8 74.4	100.0 94.1 95.3 89.8 87.3	100.0 92.8 86.1 81.2 74.9	100.0 90.0 91.4 81.3	100.0 84.2 90.1 77.9	100.0 89.5 84.2 81.4 80.6	100.0 89.7 87.3 69.0 65.7	100.0 115.0 90.6 92.2 89.4	100.0 63.3 71.1 38.3 70.8	100.0 72.3 62.8 56.0 86.4

TABLE 4—Concluded

			-			;			1						
			Canada	Nild.	P.E.I.	N.N.	Z. B.	One.	Ont.	Man.	Sask.	Alta.	B.C.	Yukon	N.W.T
19.	<ol> <li>Abortions</li> </ol>	1970	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Z
		1971	277.3	312.0	229.4	246.4	202.8	352.2	290.5	347.5	351.6	270.0	242.8	133 3	Z
		1972	348.4	532.0	264.7	320.7	254.2	533.1	364.1	495.0	485.1	336.8	281.9	800.0	Z
		1973	387.4	772.0	241.2	357.1	473.6	588.2	405.9	529.0	567.0	350.7	316.3	1.266.7	Z
		1974	431.6	736.0	294.1	406.9	611.1	833.9	445.3	592.9	547.0	380.5	345.5	0.050,1	∢ Z
20.	20. Abortion Rates	1970	100.0	N.A.	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100 0	100	0001	000	2
	(per 1,000	1971	280.0	Z.	150.0	266.7	200.0	300.0	300,0	400.0	400.0	271.4	228.6	100.0	( < Z Z
	total population)	1072	360.0	N.A.	200.0	333.3	300.0	500.0	371.4	0.009	550.0	342.9	252.0	625.0	Z
		1973	400.0	N.A.	200.0	400.0	500.0	500.0	400.0	650.0	650.0	342.9	285.7	950.0	Z
		1974	420.0	N.A.	200.0	433.3	700.0	700.0	442.9	700.0	650.0	371.4	300.0	825.0	Z
21.	21. Abortion Rates	1970	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.001	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1001	100	0.001	7
	(per 1,000	1971	268.0	350.0	225.0	235.3	183.3	350.0	285.3	341.7	350.0	261.8	234.8	125.0	Z Z
	women in 15-44 yrs.)	1972	328.0	550.0	250.0	294.1	216.7	500.0	341.2	466.7	475.0	300.0	524 5	681.3	. Z
		1973	352.0	850.0	225.0	323.5	416.7	550.0	379.4	500.0	566.7	320.6	277.3	081:3	Z
		1974	380.0	800.0	262.5	358.8	516.7	775.0	402.9	550.0	541.7	335.3	287.9	912.5	Z

\*Provisional figures.

Table 5

NUMBER OF HOSPITALS BY ABORTION RANGES AND BY PROVINCE, 1970 AND 1974

Area		Total	0	1-20	21-50	51-100	101-200	201-400	Over 400
					Num	ber of Hosp	oitals	·	
Newfoundland	1970 1974	4 6	2 1	2 4		_	<u> </u>		<del></del>
Prince Edward Island	1970 1974	2 2	_	<b>2</b> 1		_	. —	<u> </u>	<del></del>
Nova Scotia	1970 1974	6 12	2 1	3 5		1 2	1		<u> </u>
New Brunswick	1970 1974	7 8	4 3	3 2	<u> </u>	1			_
Québec	1970 1974	16 27	6 12	8 7	<u> </u>	2 2	<u> </u>	1	3
Ontario*	1970 1974	48 110	10 21	21 24	9 18	4 11	1 15	1 6	2 15
Manitoba	1970 1974	4 9		3 2	<u> </u>	1 1		_	
Saskatchewan	1970 1974	8 10		5 4	<b>2</b> 1		_		<del></del>
Alberta	1970 1974	18 25	6	6 12	<b>4</b> 1	2 3	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4
British Columbia	1970 1974	29 54		17 10	8 11	1 14	2 3	4	1 7
Yukon	1970 1974	1 1		1		<u> </u>			_
Northwest Terri- ories	1970 1974	<u> </u>	_		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_		
CANADA	1970 1974	143 265	31 46	71 71	23 38	11 37	3 25	1 15	3 33

<sup>\*</sup>For the year 1974, the province of Ontario reported by hospital for the period April to December only.

Table 6  $\label{eq:table 6} \mbox{NUMBER OF HOSPITALS BY ABORTION RANGES AND BY PROVINCE,} \\ 1970 \mbox{ AND } 1974 \mbox{}$ 

Area		Total	0	1-20	21-50	51-100	101-200	201-400	Оvег 400
1,7				P	ercent dis	stribution o	f hospitals		
Newfoundland	1970 1974	100 100	50 17	50 67	_		17	-	_
Prince Edward Island	1970 1974	100 100	_	100 50	<u> </u>	_	_		
Nova Scotia	1970 1974	100 100	33 18	50 42	<del>-</del>	17 17		_	8
New Brunswick	1970 1974	100 100	57 38	43 25	12	12		12	_
Québec	1970 1974	100 100	38 44	50 26	<u> </u>	12 7	<del>_</del>	<u> </u>	11
Ontario*	1970 1974	100 100	21 19	44 22	19 16	8 10	2 14	2 5	4 14
Manitoba	1970 1974	100 100	33	75 22	11	25 11	_		22
Saskatchewan	1970 1974	100 100	12 —	62 40	25 10	20			10
Alberta	1970 1974	100 100	33	33 48	22 4	11 12	16	4	16
British Columbia	1970 1974	100 100	9	59 18	28 20	3 26	7 6	7	3 13
Yukon	1970 1974	100 100		100	_	100	_	_	
Northwest Terri- tories	1970 1974	100	_	_	 100	 - <del></del> -	<del></del>		_
CANADA	1970 1974	100 100	22 17	50 27	16 14	8 14	2 9	1 6	2 12

<sup>\*</sup>For the year 1974, the province of Ontario reported by hospital for the period April to December only.

Table 7

NUMBER OF ABORTIONS BY ABORTION RANGES AND BY PROVINCE, 1970 AND 1974.

Area		Total	1-20	21-50	51-100	101-200	201-400	Over 400
· ·			***	Num	ber of abor	tions		
Newfoundland	1970 1974	9 158	9 18	_		140	_	_
Prince Edward Island	1970 1974	12 45	12 13	32	_			
Nova Scotia	1970 1974	111 1,065	13 68	49	98 155	111	_	682
New Brunswick	1970 1974	31 415	31 35	24	72		 284	
Québec	1970 1974	181 4,460	27 58	32	154 146	102	354	 3,768
Ontario*	1970 1974	2,249 18,629	157 249	256 609	261 774	123 2,454	261 1,560	1,191 12,983
Manitoba	1970 1974	109 1,417	27 11	42	82 53	_		1,311
Saskatchewan	1970 1974	91 1,144	23 47	68 27	<u> </u>		488	 437
Alberta	1970 1974	318 4,462	29 86	136 36	153 242	631	 215	3,252
British Columbia	1970 1974	1,260 10,084	94 121	279 353	77 942	328 460	 1,248	482 6,960
Yukon	1970 1974	4 63	4		<del>-</del>	<u>-</u>	_	
Northwest Territories	1970 1974	41	_	<del>-</del>	_	_	_	_
CANADA	19 <b>7</b> 0 1974	4,375 41,983	426 706	739 1,245	825 2,592	451 3,898	261 4,149	1,673 29,393

<sup>\*</sup> For the year 1974, the province of Ontario reported by hospital for the period April to December only.

Table 8
.
NUMBER OF ABORTIONS BY ABORTION RANGES AND BY PROVINCE,
1970 AND 1974.

Area		Total	1-20	21-50	51-100	101-200	201-400	Over 400
	Percent distribution of abortions  1970							
Newfoundland			100		<u>-</u>	_	<del></del>	_
Prince Edward Island				71		00	<del>-</del>	00
Nova Scotia				5		10	_	64
New Brunswick				6	<del>-</del>		<del></del>	_
Québec				<u> </u>			8	 84
Ontario*								53 70
Manitoba				<del>_</del> 3				92
Saskatchewan					<del>-</del>		<del>-</del>	38
Alberta								73
British Columbia								38 69
Yukon			100	_	 100	_		_
Northwest Territories	1970 1974	100	_	100	_	_	_	_
CANADA	1970 1974	100 100	10 2	17 3	19 6	10 9	6 10	38 70

<sup>\*</sup>For the year 1974, the province of Ontario reported by hospital for the period April to December only.

Table 9

RESIDENCE OF WOMEN OBTAINING INDUCED ABORTION ON IN-HOSPITAL BASIS BY LOCATION OF HOSPITALS IN NEW BRUNSWICK, 1974

		Ind	luced Abor	tion by Resid	lence				
Census	L	ocal	Not	Local	T	otal			
District	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%			
1		0.0	5	100.0	5	100.0			
2		0.0	8	100.0	8	100.0			
3	_	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0			
4	_	0.0	12	100.0	12	100.0			
5	*******	0.0	9	100.0	9	100.0			
6		0.0	14	100.0	14	100.0			
7	2	22.2	7	77.8	9	100.0			
8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0			
9	16	94.1	1	5.9	17	100.0			
10	10	83.3	2	16.7	12	100.0			
11	_	0.0	_	0.0		0.0			
12	87	100.0		0.0	87	100.0			
13	54	98.2	1	1.8	55	100.0			
TOTAL	176	73.9	62	26.1	238	100.0			

TABLE 10

RESIDENCE OF WOMEN OBTAINING INDUCED ABORTIONS ON IN-HOSPITAL BASIS BY LOCATION OF HOSPITALS IN QUEBEC, 1974

Census	Induced	Abortion by R	esidence	Canana	Induced	Abortion by R	esidence
District	Local	Not Local	Total	Census District	Local	Not Local	Total
1	_	7	7	31		11	11
2	_	18	18	32	_	5	5
3	1	1	2	33	_	2	2
4	_	1	1	34	_	2	2
5	_	6	6	35		i	1
6		2	2	36	1	3	4
7		8	8	37	_	19	19
8		1	i	38	_	2	2
9		8	8	39	_	1	1
0		153	153	40		6	6
1	_	6	6	41		1	1
2		21	21	42	_	46	46
3		16	16	43	_	6	6
4	_	21	21	44	_	3	3
5	_	2	2	45	_	5	5
6		13	13	46	_	3	3
7		2	2	47	_	14	14
8		6	6	48		13	13
9	_	2	2	49		1	İ
0	_	10	10	50		6	6
1		15	15	51	1	12	13
2	···	8	8	52	16	22	38
3	_	3	3	53		2	2
4	2,113	2	2,115	54		8	8
5		3	3	55		7	7
:6		11	11	56	_	3	3
7		1	1	57	_	54	54
8		7	7	58	_	6	6
9		1	1	59		6	6
0	_	38	38				
TOTAL PERCEN	NTAGE	2,132 ( 76.3 (	local) local)	663 (not loca 23.7 (not loca			

Residence unknown for 118 induced abortion patients.

TABLE 11

RESIDENCE OF WOMEN OBTAINING INDUCED ABORTIONS ON IN-HOSPITAL BASIS BY LOCATION OF HOSPITAL IN SASKATCHEWAN, 1974

			Abortion b	y Residence	;	
Census	Loc	al	Not	Local	Tota	al
District	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
B1	_	0	21	100.0	21	100.0
1	_	0	9	100.0	9	100.0
2	12	70.5	5	29.5	17	100.0
3		0	17	100.0	17	100.0
4		0	9	100.0	9	100.0
5		0	12	100.0	12	100.0
6	12	14.1	73	85.9	85	100.0
7	56	78.9	15	21.1	71	100.0
8	_	0	30	100.0	30	100.0
9	5	19.2	21	80.8	26	100.0
10	_	0	12	100.0	12	100.0
11	293	99.0	3	1.0	296	100.0
12		0	28	100.0	28	100.0
13	_	0	29	100.0	29	100.0
14	_	0	38	100.0	38	100.0
15	56	56.0	44	44.0	100.0	100.0
16	15	31.3	33	68.7	48	100.0
17	8	22.2	28	77.8	36	100.0
18	_	0	9	100.0	9	100.0
TOTAL	457	51.2	436	48.8	893	100.0

TABLE 12

RESIDENCE OF WOMEN OBTAINING INDUCED ABORTIONS ON IN-HOSPITAL BASIS BY LOCATION OF HOSPITALS IN BRITISH COLUMBIA, 1974

			Abortion by	y Residence		
Census	Lo	cal	Not	Local	Tot	al
District	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
NR	_	0	81	100.0	81	100.0
NS		0	i	100.0	1	100.0
1	13	50.0	13	50.0	26	100.0
2	16	43.2	21	56.8	37	100.0
3	148	94.9	8	5.1	156	100.0
4	75	96.2	3	3.8	78	100.0
5	67	78.8	18	21.2	85	100.0
6	103	72.5	39	27.5	142	100.0
7	15	62.5	9	37.5	24	100.0
8	45	90.0	5	10.0	50	100.0
9	69	92.0	6	8.0	75	100.0
10	38	38.4	61	61.6	99	100.0
11	49	80.3	12	19.7	61	100.0
12	85	92.4	7	7.6	92	100.0
13	14	56.0	11	44.0	25	100.0
14	72	91.1	7	8.9	79	100.0
15	2,855	99.5	15	0.5	2,870	100.0
	104	92.0	9	8.0	113	100.0
17	43	93.5	3	6.5	46	100.0
	42	0	23	100.0	23	100.0
	17	73.9	6	26.1	23	100.0
	6	22.2	21	77.8	27	100.0
20	48	90.6	3	9.4	51	100.0
22	40 47	85.5	8	14.5	55	100.0
23			12	28.6	42	100.0
24	30	71.4	37	68.5	54	100.0
25	17	31.5			12	100.0
26		0	12	100.0	12	100.0
28	6	50.0	6	50.0		
29	54	87.0	8	13.0	62	100.0
TOTAL	4.036	89.7	465	10.3	4,501	100.0

TABLE 13

LEGAL STATUS OF INDUCED ABORTION:
OPINIONS OF WOMEN AND MEN

# NATIONAL POPULATION SURVEY

		Leg	al Status of	Induced Ab	ortion	n					
Characteristics of		Women									
Individuals	Legal	Illegal	Don't Know	Legal	Illegal	Don't Know					
AGE		percent		M-1,1,1,1,1	percent						
19 years & under	31.4	48.2	20.4	32.3	46.5	21.2					
20-29 years	41.9	46.3	11.8	39.1	50.6	10.3					
30-39 years	41.3	46.6	12.1	42.2	48.4	9.4					
40-49 years	33.5	50.1	16.4	41.0	50.6	8.4					
50-59 years	30.0	48.1	21.9	37.7	51.8	10.5					
60 years & older	27.8	43.3	28.9	30.3	55.7	14.0					
Education	*										
elementary	20.8	52.8	26.4	23.7	59.0	17.3					
high school	35.9	47.2	16.9	34.0	50.8	15.2					
technical college	46.8	47.6	5.6	41.0	52.2	6.8					
college/university	51.8	39.0	9.2	54.8	40.7	4.5					
Language		#1./L									
English	45.9	35.8	18.3	45.1	42.3	12.6					
French	16.9	69.0	14.1	22.1	67.4	10.5					
MARITAL STATUS		****	<del></del>								
single	31.7	51.2	17.1	36.3	46.8	16.9					
married	36.9	46.8	16.3	37.7	52.1	10.2					
widowed, divorced,		70.0	10.5	37.1	32.1	10.2					
separated	38.4	40.9	20.7	36.5	49.2	14.3					
Region			<del></del>								
Maritimes	33.7	47.7	18.6	30.2	55.0	14.8					
Quebec	16.9	68.6	14.5	22.0	67.9	10.1					
Ontario	46.2	35.7	18.1	45.3	40.6	14.1					
Prairies	32.0	47.2	20.8	43.3							
British Columbia	60.0	26.2	13.8	52.4	46.8 33.4	12.0 14.2					
RELIGION											
Catholic	36.4	36.4	27.3	20.2							
			27.2	29.2	54.2	16.6					
lewish	23.9	59.8	16.3	25.7	61.6	12.7					
Protestant	48.5	33.4	18.1	46.0	41.6	12.4					
AVERAGE	35.9	47.3	16.8	37.5	50.3	12.2					

TABLE 14
OPINIONS ON THE ACCESSIBILITY OF ABORTION TREATMENT SERVICES

NATIONAL POPULATION SURVEY

			Acc	essibility	of Service	s							
		WOM	EN			MEN							
Characteristics of Individuals	Too Accessible	Adequately Accessible	Too Inaccessible	No Opinion	Too Accessible	Adequately Accessible	Too Inaccessible	No Opinion					
19 years & under	10.5 10.0 11.3 13.6 11.6	perce 15.6 19.8 21.1 17.1 14.2	16.2 21.7 18.9 12.1 12.4	57.7 48.5 48.7 57.2 61.8	4.4 7.4 7.5 9.3 9.1	perce 14.6 17.5 18.4 21.3 17.9	14.3 22.1 21.3 19.8 14.8	66.7 53.0 52.8 49.6 58.2					
50-59 years	12.0	12.5	5.7	69.8	10.5	11.5	14.2	63.8					
EDUCATION elementary	8.0 11.1 11.2 15.8	9.7 19.1 18.4 22.5	10.0 16.2 17.6 20.7	72.3 53.6 52.8 41.0	8.4 7.5 5.9 9.1	13.3 14.4 22.4 24.8	12.6 18.7 17.6 22.4	65.7 59.4 54.1 43.6					
LANGUAGE EnglishFrench	11.7 8.9	21.0 11.8	13.5 22.6	53.8 56.7	7.1 6.6	18.0 17.0	15.9 24.0	59.0 52.4					
MARITAL STATUS single married widowed, divorced, separated	9.5 12.6 8.1	17.5 17.2 19.7	19.1 15.2 12.8	53.9 55.0 59.4	4.2 9.7 8.1	17.2 17.4 12.9	18.6 17.4 29.0	60.0 55.5 50.0					
REGION Maritimes Quebec Ontario Prairies British Columbia	8.1 6.8 13.5 12.7 16.3	11.6 12.2 20.4 14.4 31.7	22.7 24.7 12.4 11.4 4.4	57.6 56.3 53.7 61.5 47.6	7.4 6.9 7.5 10.0 8.1	14.7 17.3 16.5 12.8 25.1	15.3 26.4 15.7 13.6 14.2	62.6 49.4 60.3 63.6 52.6					
RELIGION Catholic Jewish Protestant	11.1 9.0 11.2	12.6 0.0 23.5	17.8 45.5 12.6	58.5 45.5 52.7	9.3 0.0 7.1	15.2 16.6 18.6	19.2 41.7 14.3	56.3 41.7 60.0					
AVERAGE	11.2	17.7	16.1	55.0	7.7	17.3	18.4	56.6					

Table 15
OPINIONS OF THE ABORTION LAW

# NATIONAL POPULATION SURVEY

			Opini	ons of the	Abortion	Law		
<del></del>	<del></del>	WOM	IEN			MEN	١	
Characteristics			ïve				š.	
of	rai	<b>#</b> -	ġ		60	= +	<u>;</u> ;	~
Individuals	Too Liberal	About Right	Too Restrictive	Don't Know	Too Liberal	About Right	Too Restrictive	Don't Know
AGE		perc	ent	<del></del>		регсег	——— nt	
19 years & under	11.3	29.0	19.7	40.0	7.8	19.6	31.4	41.2
20-29 years	11.9	22.9	38.4	26.8	11.6	19.4	44.0	25.0
30-39 years	17.7	28.0	29.7	24.6	9.2	28.8	42.2	19.8
40-49 years	19.1	27.1	23.8	30.0	17.9	23.9	36.6	21.6
50 years & older	23,1	17.9	21.0	38.0	15.7	25.4	32.0	26.9
EDUCATION				14				
elementary	15.6	14.3	14.6	55.5	17.7	21.1	21.1	40.1
high school	15.9	27.1	25.6	31.4	12.0	21.6	34.4	32.0
technical college	17.8	29.0	37.1	16.1	7.9	28.1	44.3	19.7
college/university	15.0	29.7	40.3	15.0	12.5	26.1	47.4	14.0
Language				-	-		· · · · · ·	
English	15.5	28.3	26.8	29.4	11.1	24.7	38.0	26.2
French	16.0	21.0	27.3	35.7	14.2	22.1	33.1	30.6
MARITAL STATUS								
single	11.5	26.0	28.1	34.4	8.7	18.9	38.6	33.8
married	18.4	24.6	26.2	30.8	15.2	25.2	34.5	25.1
widowed, divorced,								
separated	13.8	25.0	22.8	38.4	4.9	26.2	36.1	32.8
Region								***************************************
Maritimes	12.1	24.2	26.3	37.4	15.0	22.5	33.7	28.8
Quebec	14.8	20.9	28.5	35.8	13.7	21.7	35.5	29.1
Ontario	17.4	25.7	24.8	32.1	9.5	23.4	37.2	29.9
Prairies	15.4	27.2	23.8	33.6	16.5	21.3	32.5	29.7
British Columbia	18.8	31.8	27.8	21.4	10.9	28.0	38.9	22.2
RELIGION								
Catholic	18.2	22.9	23.3	35.6	16.1	22.1	28.9	32.9
Jewish	9.1	0.0	81.8	9.1	4.2	33.3	41.7	20.8
Protestant	13.9	29.3	26.0	30.8	10.5	25.5	38.4	25.6
AVERAGE	16.2	24.9	26.5	32.4	12.8	23.0	36.6	27.6

Table 16
DISTRIBUTION OF PHYSICIANS BY SPECIALTY, 1974\*

	Medical Specialty						
Province	Family Medicine	Obstetrics- Gynae- cology	General Surgery	Total			
Newfoundland	344	13	35	392			
Prince Edward Island	73	5	8	86			
Nova Scotia	714	25	95	834			
New Brunswick	360	25	62	447			
Quebec	3,680	347	638	4,665			
Ontario	6,265	503	850	7,618			
Manitoba	866	53	100	1.019			
Saskatchewan	625	28	58	711			
Alberta	1,312	100	143	1,555			
British Columbia	2,153	118	253	2,524			
Yukon, Northwest Territories	47	2	5	54			
CANADA	16,439	1,219	2,247	19,905			

<sup>•</sup> Canada Health Manpower Inventory, 1975 (Ottawa: Health and Welfare Canada, 1976).

TABLE 17
DISTRIBUTION OF PHYSICIANS BY SPECIALTY
PER 1000 POPULATION 1974\*

	Medical Specialty						
Province		Obstetrics-					
	Family Medicine	Gynae- cology	General Surgery	Total			
Newfoundland	1:1587	1:41993	1:15600	1:1393			
Prince Edward Island	1:1613	1:23552	1:14720	1:1369			
Nova Scotia	1:1142	1:32604	1: 8580	1: 977			
New Brunswick	1:1861	1:26804	1:10808	1:1499			
Quebec	1:1676	1:17770	1: 9665	1:1322			
Ontario	1:1305	1:16253	1: 9618	1:1073			
Manitoba	1:1178	1:19240	1:10197	1:1001			
Saskatchewan	1:1483	1:33123	1:15990	1:1304			
Alberta	1:1332	1:17479	1:12223	1:1124			
British Columbia	1:1134	1:20698	1: 9660	1: 968			
Yukon, Northwest Territories	1:1217	1:28605	1:11442	1:1059			
CANADA	1:1378	1:18579	1:10079	1:1138			

<sup>\*</sup> Ratios calculated from Canada Health Manpower Inventory, 1975 (Ottawa: Health and Welfare Canada, 1976).

Table 18
INDICATIONS FOR INDUCED ABORTION:
OPINIONS OF WOMEN

## NATIONAL POPULATION SURVEY

Characteristics of Individuals	Indications for Induced Abortion									
	Danger to Life	Rape, Incest	Mental Health	Deformity of Foetus	On Request Less Than 12 Weeks	Economic Circumstances	Illegitimacy	Anytime On Request	Never	
	ದ್ದಿ	중토	Σř	ಕ್ರಹ	22,2	<u> д</u> С	<u> </u>	₹ō	Ž	
					percent					
AGE										
19 years & under.	67.6	64.0	56.3	45.8	23.5	19.6	18.5	16.1	14.0	
20-29 years	77.4	66.3	63.6	58.8	31.1	28.0	17.4	20.5	7.2	
30-39 years	74.8	66.2	61.5	57.6	27.0	22.9	17.0	18.1	7.7	
40-49 years	70.8	60.1	56.7	54.4	20.4	20.7	18.1	13.6	13.3 13.5	
50-59 years	68.4	59.4	60.2	51.2	17.2 13.5	17.2 16.3	18.4 16.3	10.7 9.1	18.8	
60 years & older	57.2	43.3	49.5	43.3	13.3	10.3	10.3	9.1	10.0	
EDUCATION										
elementary	57.8	44.1	47.1	44.7	15.5	13.1	13.7	12.5	21.6	
high school	72.9	65.5	60.4	54.3	23.3	22.2	18.1	14.8	9,9	
technical college	83.2	66.4	72.0	60.8	32.0	23.2	20.8	20.0	4.8	
college/university	73.0	64.3	60.9	54.3 	35.7	30.0	19.1	27.7	7.8	
Language										
English	72.7	65.1	60.6	51.0	28.7	23.6	19.6	17.5	8.0	
French	69.7	58.3	57.5	57.8	15.1	20.5	16.1	14.3	16.1	
MARITAL STATUS										
single	67.3	62.8	57.6	46.0	25.7	23.0	18.3	18.7	12.8	
married	73.5	62,6	59.4	56.5	23.0	20.8	17.3	14.5	10.8	
widowed, divorced,										
separated	64.1	55.1	57.6	51.4	24.1	23.7	19.6	17.6	11.4	
REGION										
Maritimes	72.0	57.5	50.0	43.5	21.0	19.0	17.5	15.0	10.5	
Quebec	68.5	58.6	57.3	59.3	16.3	20.1	16.6	14.2	16.1	
Ontario	68.2	61.5	57.5	47.5	27.6	21.0	17.4	17 <i>.</i> 4	10.8	
Prairies	74.3	61.7	60.5	52.1	20.6	21.5	17.4	14.1	9.3	
British Columbia	78.1	72.8	70.2	62.7	38.6	31.1	22.8	20.2	3.9	
Religion					,					
Catholic	64.5	55.2	52.3	49.6	15.8	16.5	14.2	12.9	17.3	
Jewish	81.8	72.7	81.8	72.7	63.6	54.5	36.4	54.5	0.0	
Protestant	77.7	68.8	64.8	55.9	29.9	26.0	21.1	17.0	5.1	
AVERAGE	71.0	61.7	58.9	53.2	23.7	21.8	17.6	15.8	11.4	
					·					

Note: Non-accumulative as more than one category could be selected.

TABLE 19
INDICATIONS FOR INDUCED ABORTION:
OPINIONS OF MEN

## NATIONAL POPULATION SURVEY

Characteristics of Individuals	Indications for Induced Abortion									
	Danger to Life	Rape, Incest	Mental Health	Deformity of Foetus	On Request Less than 12 Weeks	Economic Circumstances	Illegitimacy	Anytime On Request	Never	
		**			percent			·		
AGE										
19 years & under.	60.5	58.1	49.8	40.2	27.2	15.6	18.9	31.2	9.6	
20-29 years	70.5	63.1	60.8	51.4	32.0	27.7	16.7	26.1	6.8	
30-39 years	75.3	65.6	64.9	56.8	29.5	26.9	19.5	20.5	7.8	
40-49 years	68.0	56.7	56.7	51.6	25.8	21.5	22.9	22.2	10.9	
50-59 years	65.4	55.6	57.1	48.8	21.0	18.0	20.0	19.5	10.7	
50 years & older	56.5	47.0	45.2	45.7	22.6	14.3	20.0	15.2	16.1	
EDUCATION			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		****					
elementary	56.9	47.1	45.1	43.5	18.0	13.3	17.6	11.4	19.2	
high school	66.0	58.8	55.3	48.3	26.7	20.1	19.0	24.3	9.5	
technical college	70.0	61.9	61.4	54.3	31.0	25.2	21.0	27.6	6.2	
college/university.	75.0	65.7	66,9	55.7	36.1	31.6	22.3	26.8	5.1	
Language			-							
English	68.0	61.6	59.7	32.6	24.0	21.5	26.3	7.2		
French	65.8	56.2	53.8	51.1	19.9	19.2	18.5	19.4	13.9	
MARITAL STATUS					**	·		-		
single	63.6	58.2	54.4	45.8	32.1	23.1	18.5	29.3	8.3	
married	68.4	58.8	57.4	51.6	24.8	21.4	19.9	19.7	10.6	
widowed, divorced,							17,7	17.1	10.0	
separated	69.8	54.0	60,3	50.8	33.3	17.5	25.4	27.0	7.9	
REGION										
Maritimes	65.3	49.2	53.4	39.9	26.4	16.1	15.0	18.7	13.5	
Quebec	65.5	56.5	53.3	51.4	19.4	19.0	17.7	10.0	13.4	
Ontario	67.0	60.0	58.2	50.7	33.7	26.0	22.1	27.0	7.6	
Prairies	67.7	59.9	56.0	47.5	26.8	20.2	19.1	21.4	10.1	
British Columbia .	68.1	65,3	62.0	52.6	33.3	23.5	21.6	27.2	2.3	
RELIGION			<u></u>			<del></del> -				
Catholic	64.7	53.7	51.0	46.3	19.6	16.1	14.7	16.9	15.3	
Jewish	52.0	48.0	48.0	44.0	32.0	28.0	28.0	52.0	4.0	
Protestant	69.2	62.8	61.3	52.5	33.6	24.9	23.6	26.9	5.4	
AVERAGE	66.8	58.7	56.6	49.4	27.3	21.7	19.3	23.2	9.8	

Note: Non-accumulative as more than one category could be selected.

# Appendix 2

#### REFERENCES

- Adams, D., Therapeutic Abortion: An Annotated Bibliography (Hamilton: McMaster University Medical Centre, 1973)
- Allingham, J.D.; Balakrishnan, T.R.; and Kantner, J.F., "The End of Rapid Increase in the Use of Oral Anovulants? Some Problems in the Interpretation of Time Series of Oral Use Among Married Women", *Demography* 7 (1970):31-41
- "Time Series of Growth in Use of Oral Contraceptives and the Differential Diffusion of Oral Anovulants", *Population Studies* 23 (1969):43-51
- Andison, A.W., "Conception Control", Manitoba Medical Review 47 (1967):96-99
- Bacon, H., "Psychiatric Aspects of Therapeutic Abortion", Canada's Mental Health 17 (1969):18-21
- Balakrishnan, T.R.; Allingham, J.D.; and Kantner, J.F., "Analysis of Oral Contraceptive Use Through Multiple Decrement Life Table Techniques", *Demography* 7 (1970):459-465
- Balakrishnan, T.R.; Kantner, J.F.; and Allingham, J.D., Fertility in a Canadian Metropolis (Montreal and London: McGill-Queen's University Press, 1975)
- Barrett, M.; and Fitz-Earle, M., "Student Opinion on Legalized Abortion at the University of Toronto", Canadian Journal of Public Health 64 (1973):294-299
- Bell, L.; Brock, B.; Carson, S.; et al., "Contraceptive Knowledge Among Undergraduates at a Canadian University", American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 124 (1976):499-503
- Black, E.F.E., "Abortion and Sterilization", Manitoba Bar News 33 (1961):33
- Bogg, R., "Therapeutic Abortion at the University of Alberta Hospital: A Sociological Analysis" (Ball State University, Muncie, Indiana, 1973)
- Bordeleau, J.M., "Psychiatric Consultation and Interruption of Pregnancy", Union Médicale du Canada 102 (1973):2326-2328
- Bowker, W. F., "Experimentation on Humans and Gifts of Tissue: Articles 20-23 of the Civil Code", McGill Law Journal 19 (1973):161
- Boyce, R. M., and Osborn, R. W., "Therapeutic Abortion in a Canadian City", Canadian Medical Association Journal 103 (1970):310-327
- Boydell, C.L., and Grindstaff, C.F., "Public Attitudes Toward Legal Sanctions for Drug and Abortion Offences", Canadian Journal of Criminology and Correction 13 (1971):208-232
- British Columbia, Royal Commission on Family and Children's Law, Twelfth Report, The Medical Consent of Minors, August 1974

- Brody, H.; Meikle, S.; and Gerritse, R., "Therapeutic Abortion: A Prospective Study", American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 109 (1971):347-353
- Burt, J. J., and Brower, L. A., Education for Sexuality: Concepts and Programs for Training (Toronto: W. B. Saunders, 1970)
- Callahan, D., ed., The Catholic Case for Contraception (Toronto: Collier-Macmillan, 1969)
- Canada, Department of National Health and Welfare, Family Planning Division, A Guide to Family Planning Grants (Ottawa, 1974)
- \_\_\_\_\_, National Conference on Family Planning, 1972 (Ottawa, 1972)
- Canada, Department of National Health and Welfare, Health Programs Branch, Health Economics and Statistics Division, Pilot Survey of Hospital Therapeutic Abortion Committees, British Columbia, 1971-1972 (Ottawa, 1974)
- Canada, Department of National Health and Welfare, Research and Statistics Directorate, Review of Abortion Legislation and Experience in Selected Countries (Ottawa, 1970)
- Canada, Department of National Health and Welfare, "Oral Contraceptives", Rx Bulletin, 1970, No. 10
- Canada, Dominion Bureau of Statistics, Health and Welfare Division, Special Statement: Therapeutic Abortion in Canada, August 26, 1969, to August 25, 1970 (Ottawa, 1970)
- \_\_\_\_\_, "Therapeutic Abortions in Canada, 1970", DBS News (Ottawa, 1971)
- Canada, International Development Research Centre, "Population and Health Sciences", International Development Research Centre Reports, 1972
- Canada, Royal Commission on the Status of Women in Canada, Report (Information Canada, 1970)
- \_\_\_\_\_, Unpublished Reports (Public Archives Canada, R.G. 33/89, volumes 25-30): Gaudette, M., La stérilisation au Canada: sa légalité (vol. 27)
  - \_\_\_\_\_, La notion d'âge en matière d'infraction d'ordre sexuel (vol. 27)
  - La notion de «mœurs antérieurement chastes» en droit pénal canadien (vol. 27)
  - \_\_\_\_\_, La notion de vagabondage dans le droit pénal canadien (vol. 27)
  - Picard, C., Birth Control and Abortion (vol. 28)
  - Rommer, R., Abortion and the Law (vol. 29)
- Canada, Statistics Canada, Therapeutic Abortions, 1971 (Ottawa: Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce, 1972)
- \_\_\_\_\_, Therapeutic Abortions, 1972 (Ottawa: Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce, 1973)
- \_\_\_\_\_, Therapeutic Abortions, 1973 (Ottawa: Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce, 1974)
- \_\_\_\_\_\_, Therapeutic Abortions, Canada, 1974: Advance Information (Ottawa: Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce, 1975)

- \_\_\_\_\_, Therapeutic Abortions, 1974 (Ottawa: Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce, 1976)
- Canadian Association of Schools of Social Work, Human Sexuality and Fertility Services: Social Policy and Social Work Education (Ottawa, 1973)
- Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, Research Department, Public Opinion in Canada on Certain Aspects of the Law Relating to Abortion: A Fact-finding Survey, December 1970 (Ottawa, 1971)
- "Canadian Medical Association Resolutions on Abortion", Canadian Medical Association Journal 105 (1971):441-444
- "Canadian Public Health Association, Council Policy Position on Abortion", Canadian Journal of Public Health 63 (1972):370-371
- "The Care and Treatment of Therapeutic Abortion Patients in Canadian Hospitals, January 1 to September 20, 1971", Hospital Administration in Canada 14 (1972):25-31
- Carr, D.H., "Chromosome Studies in Selected Spontaneous Abortions: Conception After Oral Contraceptives", Canadian Medical Association Journal 103 (1970):343-348
- Catholic Hospital Association of Canada, Survey of Medico-Moral Committees Established in Catholic Hospitals Across Canada (Ottawa, 1972)
- \_\_\_\_\_, Medical-Moral Guide (Ottawa, 1970)
- ——, "Response to the Canadian Medical Association's Statement on Abortion", Catholic Hospital 3 (1975):13-14
- Cherniak, D., and Feingold, A., Birth Control Handbook (Montreal: McGill University Student Society, 1970)
- Cheung, K.W., "The Abortion Decision—A Qualified Constitutional Right in the United States: Whither Canada?", Canadian Bar Review 51 (1973):643
- Christensen, J. C., "Saline Abortion: A Study of Female Behaviour in a Crisis Situation" (M.Sc. thesis, McGill University, Montreal, 1972)
- Claman, D. A.; Wakeford, J. R.; Turner, J. M. M.; and Hayden, B., "Impact on Hospital Practice of Liberalizing Abortions and Female Sterilizations", Canadian Medical Association Journal 105 (1971):35-41, 83
- Claman, D. A.; Williams, B. J.; and Wogan, L., "Reaction of Unmarried Girls to Pregnancy", Canadian Medical Association Journal 101(1969):328-334
- Coffey, P. G., "Therapeutic Abortion", Advocate (Vancouver Bar Association) 31 (1973):99
- Cohen, M.; Rapson, L.; and Watters, W. W., Survey of Hospital Abortion Committees in Canada (Toronto: Doctors for Repeal of the Abortion Law, 1975)
- Collins, J. A. "Outpatient Management of First Trimester Therapeutic Abortions With and Without Tubal Ligation", Canadian Medical Association Journal 106 (1972):1077-1080
- Collins, L. D., "The Legal Aspects of Abortion", Canadian Journal of Public Health 66 (1975):234-236
- Conseil des affaires sociales et de la famille, Dossier sur l'avortement (Québec: l'éditeur officiel du Québec, 1973)

- Corneau, A.-G. «Un comité d'avortement thérapeutique: légalement constitué?», Hôpital d'aujourd'hui 17, No. 10 (1971):15-19
- Crépeau, P.-A., «Le consentement du mineur en matière de soins et traitements médicaux ou chirurgicaux selon le droit civil canadien», Canadian Bar Review 52(1974):247
- Daley, T. T., "The Rights of the Unborn—A CAS Looks at Abortion", Canadian Welfare 48 (1972):19-21
- DeJager, N. S. T., "Attempted Abortion by the Use of Bishydroxycoumarin", Canadian Medical Association Journal 107 (1972):50-53
- de Valk, A., Abortion Politics in Canada: Several Arguments (Saskatoon: The Chelsea Bulletin, 1971)
- ——, Morality and Law in Canadian Politics: The Abortion Controversy (Montreal: Palm Publishers, 1974)
- de Veber, L. L., "Point of View: Canadian Physicians for Life and the Abortion Issue", University of Western Ontario Medical Journal 46 (1975):5
- Diner, M. C., "Survey of Ottawa Area General Practitioners and Obstetrician-Gynaecologists on Abortion", Canadian Journal of Public Health 65 (1974):351-358
- Dodds, D. J., "Vasectomy: Performing the Operation and Counselling Your Anxious Patient", Canadian Family Physician 17 (1971):39-41, 89
- Domnick, K. M., "Male Involvement in Contraception" (Master's thesis, University of Toronto, Toronto, 1975)
- Doyle, R., "Family Planning is for Somebody Else", Canadian Welfare 48 (1972):12-13, 18
- Elford, R. W., "Therapeutic Abortion", Canadian Medical Association Journal 105 (1971):638-639
- Emson, H. E., "The Age of Consent", Canadian Medical Association Journal 109 (1973):687-688
- «Étude sur l'avortement provoqué», Bulletin pour l'infirmière catholique du Canada 38 (1971):141-146
- Fleming, J. G., The Law of Torts, 4th ed. (1971)
- Fontaine, J. G. "Work of the Therapeutic Abortion Committee at Notre-Dame Hospital", Union Médicale du Canada 102 (1973):2328-2330
- Fortier, L., «Le Droit à la vie... doit être laissé à chacun», Canadian Bar Association Journal (N.S.) 3, No. 4 (1972):23
- ——, "Needed: A Change in Attitudes Towards Elective Sterilization", Canadian Nurse 69 (1973):21-23
- , «Panorama de l'avortement à travers le temps», Union Médicale du Canada 98 (1969):1534-1539
- Friedenberg, E. Z., "Evolution of Canadian Justice: The Morgentaler Case", *The Canadian Forum* (June 1975):28-30
- Gagnon, L. R., «Face à l'avortement», Bulletin pour l'infirmière catholique du Canada 40 (1973):89-100

- Garrett, N., Nurse Education for Family Planning (Ottawa: Canadian National Conference on Family Planning, 1972)
- Geekie, D. A., "Abortion: A Review of CMA Policy and Positions", Canadian Medical Association Journal 111 (1974):1-4
- Gélinas, G., «L'avortement thérapeutique», Bulletin pour l'infirmière catholique du Canada 35 (1968):123-128
- Gendron, L., Contraception (Montreal: Harvest House, 1971)
- Gosse, R., "Consent for Medical Treatment: A Minor Digression", University of British Columbia Law Review 9 (1974):56
- Grauer, H., "A Study of Contraception as Related to Multiple Unwanted Pregnancies", Canadian Medical Association Journal 111 (1974): 1083-1084, 1087
- Green, L. M., "Perspectives on the Attitudes of Health Professionals Towards Issues of Population and Family Planning" (M.Sc. thesis, University of Toronto, 1976)
- Greenglass, E., After Abortion (Toronto: Longman Canada, 1976)
- -----, "Therapeutic Abortion and Its Psychological Implications: The Canadian Experience", Canadian Medical Association Journal 113 (1975):754-757
- Grindstaff, C. F., ed., Population Issues in Canada (Toronto: Holt, Rinehart & Winston of Canada, 1971)
- Grindstaff, C. F., and Ebanks, G. E., "Male Sterilization in Canada", in Social Process and Institution: The Canadian Case, eds. J. E. Gallagher and R. Lambert (Toronto: Holt, Rinehart & Winston of Canada, 1971)
- Guyatt, D., "Adolescent Pregnancy: A Study of Pregnant Teenagers in a Suburban Community in Ontario" (D.S.W. thesis, University of Toronto, 1976)
- Hackett, J. D., and Barza, D., "The Clinical Management of the Unwed Mother", Laval Médical 12 (1971):84-90
- Hannah, W., "The Family Doctor's Role in Preventing Unwanted Pregnancies", Canadian Family Physician 18 (1972):70-72
- Harrison, C. P., "Abortion and the Law", Canadian Medical Association Journal 98 (1968):973
- ------, "The Doctor and the Patient Under Legalized Abortion", Canadian Medical Association Journal 97 (1967):249-51
- , "Therapeutic Abortion", Canadian Medical Association Journal 102 (1970):1209-1211
- Heath, D. S., "Psychiatry and Abortion", Canadian Psychiatric Association Journal 16 (1971):55-63
- Henripin, J., Trends and Factors of Fertility in Canada (Ottawa: Statistics Canada, 1972)
- Henripin, J., and Legare, J., "Recent Trends in Canadian Fertility", The Canadian Review of Sociology and Anthropology 8 (1971):106-118
- Hepworth, H. P., Family Planning and Abortion Services and Family Life Education Programs (Ottawa: The Canadian Council on Social Development, 1975)

- Hirsch, S., "Observations in Illegitimacy", in Social Problems: A Canadian Profile, ed. R. Laskin (Toronto: McGraw-Hill, 1964)
- Hodge, K. E., "Therapeutic Abortion and Ultrasound", Canadian Medical Association Journal 105 (1971):1021
- Holt, S., Sex and the Teenage Revolution (Toronto: McClelland and Stewart, 1967)
- Howells, J., "Extended Birth Control: Abortion on Request", Canada's Mental Health 18 (1970):3-8
- Hunter, M. E., "Applications for Abortion in a Community Hospital", Canadian Medical Association Journal 111 (1974):1088, 1089, 1092
- Isaacs, N. F., "Abortion and the Just Society", Thémis 5 (1970):25
- Isaac, N., «L'aspect légal», Infirmière canadienne 14 (June 1972):27-29
- Jobin, M., «L'avortement: toujours un problème», Québec Médical 12:7(1972):1-2
- Kalbach, W. E., Impact of Immigration of Canada's Population (1961 Census)
- Kalbach, W. E., and McVey, W. W., The Demographic Bases of Canadian Society (Toronto: McGraw-Hill, 1971)
- Kerr, M. G., "Current Birth Control Methods", Canadian Family Physician 17 (1971):37-41
- Knapp, R. D., "Abortion, a Threat to the Medical Profession", Canadian Family Physician 17 (1971):51-53
- Kremer, E. J., and Synan, E. A., eds., Death Before Birth: Canada and the Abortion Question (Toronto: Griffin House, 1974)
- Krever, H., "Minors and Consent for Medical Treatment", lecture, University of Toronto, March 18, 1974
- Krotki, K., and Lapierre, E., «La fécondité au Canada selon la religion, l'origine ethnique et l'état matrimonial», Population 23 (1968):815-834
- La ligue des droits de l'homme, La société québécoise face à l'avortement (Montréal: Éditions Leméac, 1974)
- Lavis, D., "Ontario: The Pill and the Birth Rate, 1960-1967" (Master's thesis, University of Western Ontario, 1970)
- Lederman, J. J., "The Doctor, Abortion and the Law—a Medico-Legal Dilemma", Canadian Bar Journal 6 (1963):136
- Lederman, J. J., and Parker, G. E., "Therapeutic Abortion and the Canadian Criminal Code", Criminal Law Quarterly 6 (1963):36
- Le livre blanc de l'avortement (Club de l'OBS, 1971)
- Lipper, I.; Cvejic, H.; Benjamin, P.; and Kinch, R. A., "Abortion and the Pregnant Teenager", Canadian Medical Association Journal 109 (1973):852-856
- Livingstone, E. S. "Vasectomy: A Review of 3200 Operations", Canadian Medical Association Journal 105 (1971):1065
- London Board of Education, Family Planning: A Curriculum (London, Ontario: 1973)
- MacKenzie, C. J. G., "The Vancouver Family Planning Clinic: A Comparison of Two Years' Experience", Canadian Journal of Public Health 59 (1968):257-265

- , Teaching Population Dynamics, Conception Control, Human Sexuality and Related Subjects in Canadian Medical Schools (Ottawa: Canadian National Conference on Family Planning, 1972)
- MacKenzie, C. J. G.; Evans, G. P.; and Peck, J. G., "The Vancouver Family Planning Clinic: A Cost Study", Canadian Journal of Public Health 58 (1967):53-60
- MacKenzie, P., "Before and After Therapeutic Abortion", Canadian Medical Association Journal 111 (1974):667-671
- Mahon, R., «L'avortement volontaire», Bulletin pour l'infirmière catholique du Canada 37 (1970):219-225
- Maki, M., et al., «Soins infirmiers dans les cas d'avortement», L'infirmière canadienne 61 (1965):646-647
- Mann, W. E., "Sex at York University", in Social Deviance in Canada, ed. W. E. Mann (Toronto: Copp Clark, 1971)
- Marcotte, M., «L'avortement, la morale et la loi», Bulletin pour l'infirmière catholique du Canada 35 (1968): 53-58; 101-121
- \_\_\_\_\_, L'avortement libre (Montréal: Édition Bellarmin, 1973)
- Marko, J., "Investigation of Infertility", Canadian Family Physician 16 (1970):54-57
- Marsden, L., Population Probe: Canada (Toronto: Copp Clark, 1972)
- Mayrand, A., L'inviolabilité de la personne humaine (Montréal: Wilson & Lafleur, 1975)
- Maxwell, I.D., "Medico-legal Inquiries: Therapeutic Abortion and Sterilization", Nova Scotia Medical Bulletin 46 (1967):116
- McHarg, L., "Affect Changes Pre and Post Abortion" (M. Ed. thesis, McGill University, Montreal, 1973)
- McKay, R.L., "Expressed Needs of Women Having Abortions" (M.Sc. thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, 1974)
- Meikle, S., and Gerritse, R., "A Comparison of Husband-Wife Responses to Pregnancy", Journal of Psychology 83 (1973):17-23
- Miller, J., and Poland, B., "The Value of Human Abortuses in the Surveillance of Developmental Abnormalities", Canadian Medical Association Journal 103 (1970):501-505
- Milton, I.C. "Contraceptive Practices Past and Present", The Canadian Nurse 63 (1967):29-31
- Mitton, D.M., and Macleod, S.C., "Recent Advances in the Management of Infertility in Women", Canadian Family Physician 16 (1970): 51-56
- Mongeau, S., "The Abortion Problem" McGill Medical Journal 39 (1971): 81-85
- Mongeau, S., and Cloutier, R., L'avortement (Montréal: Les Editions du Jour, 1968)
- Morgentaler, H., "Report on 5,641 Outpatient Abortions by Vacuum Suction Curettage", Canadian Medical Association Journal 109 (1973): 1202-1205
- Mountain, E., Teaching Family Planning in Canadian Schools of Nursing (Ottawa: Canadian National Conference on Family Planning, 1972)

- Mulvaney, J.N., "Voluntary Sterilization: A Lawyer's Perspective", Medical Aspects of Human Sexuality 3 (1972): 37-40
- National Canadian Conference on Abortion, A Selected Annotated Bibliography on Abortion, S. N. Wojcichowsky, ed. (Toronto, St. Michael's College, 1972)
- Neubardt, S., Contraception (Toronto: Pocket Books, 1968)
- "Nurses Have Right to Refuse to Perform Abortions—CNA", Hospital Administration in Canada 14 (1972):13
- Ontario Association of Professional Social Workers, Committee on Sexuality and Fertility, Fertility and Sexuality, Annual Report, 1974/1975 (Toronto)
- Ortho Pharmaceutial (Canada) Limited, An Exploration of the Limitations of Contraception, proceedings of a conference (Toronto, 1975)
- Page, H.G., "Changes in the Fertility Pattern in Quebec", Canadian Journal of Public Health 58 (1967):197-203
- Palerme, G.R., and Nash, L.D., «Avortement habituel», L'infirmière canadienne 61 (1965):644-645
- Palko, M.E.; Lennox, R.H.; and McQuarrie, C.R., "Current Status of Family Planning in Canada", Canadian Journal of Public Health 62 (1971):509-519
- Parakulam, G., "Wives Who Have Unwanted Children" (M.A. thesis, University of Western Ontario, 1970)
- Parker, G.E., "Bill C-150: Abortion Reform (1969)", Criminal Law Quarterly 11:267
- Patriarche, E.M., "The Victoria Family Life Education Program", Canadian Family Physician 18 (1972):72-75
- Paupst, J.C., The Pill: A True Perspective (Toronto: Clarke Irwin, 1973)
- Pelrine, E.W., Morgentaler, the Doctor Who Couldn't Turn Away (Toronto: Gage Publishing, 1975)
- ----, Abortion in Canada (Toronto: New Press, 1971)
- Philippe, P., «Les mesures de la consultation eugénique», Union Médicale du Canada 103 (1974): 1100-1107
- Philippe, P., and D'ésilets-Boulay, M., «Genetic Epidemiology of Intra-Uterine Mortality: Results of an Analysis in a Rural Population in Quebec», *Union Médicale du Canada* 104 (1975): 763-767
- Poland, B.J., "Teenagers, Illicit Drugs and Pregnancy", Canadian Medical Association Journal 107 (1972):955-958
- Poland, B.J., and Ash, K.A., "The Influence of Recent Use of an Oral Contraceptive on Early Intrauterine Development", American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 116 (1973):1138-1142
- Poszonyi, J., A Longitudinal Study of Unmarried Mothers Who Kept Their First Born Children (Ottawa: Department of National Health and Welfare, 1973)
- Potts, D.M., "Liberalization of Abortions and Female Sterilizations", Canadian Medical Association Journal 105 (1971):901
- Proulx, A., «Position catholique face à l'avortement», Hôpital Catholique 2, No.6 (1971):1

- Prosser, W., Handbook of the Law of Torts, 4th ed. (St. Paul: West Publishing, 1971)
- Ptolemy, C.G., "Family Planning Interpretation: The Nurse's Role", Canadian Journal of Public Health 60 (1969):402-408
- Resch, C., and Robinson, S.C., "Therapeutic Abortion and its Complications in Halifax, Nova Scotia", *The Nova Scotia Medical Bulletin* 52 (1973):67-70
- Rinfret, M., et al., 100 femmes devant l'avortement (Montréal: Centre de Planning Familial du Québec, 1972)
- Rioux J., and Collins, J., "Abortion: Attitude and Methods", in A Practical Manual on Reproduction (Québec: Les Presses de l'Université Laval, 1973)
- "The Risks of Abortion", Canadian Medical Association Journal 106 (1972):295-298
- Rogers, J.M., and Adams, D.W., "Therapeutic Abortion: A Multidisciplined Approach to Patient Care from Social Work Perspective", Canadian Journal of Public Health 64 (1973):254-259
- Ross, S.A., "Abortion: The Attitudes of Married Women" (M.A. thesis, University of Western Ontario, London, 1968)
- Roulston, T.M., "Abortion: Causes and Management", Canadian Nurse 61 (1965):808-810
- Rowe, J.F., "Pregnancy Loss Among British Columbia Mothers", Canadian Journal of Public Health 64 (1973):25-35
- Rozovsky, L.E., "Canadian Law vs. Catholic Hospitals", Dimensions in Health Services 51 (1974):12, 14, 16
- , "Morgentaler vs. The Queen", Dimensions of Health Services 52 (1975):8-9
- \_\_\_\_\_, "Legal Abortions in Canada", Canadian Hospital 108 (1971):39-41
- Saint-Blanquat, H. de, «Contraception, avortement, démographie», Revue pour l'infirmière en assistance sociale 22 (1972):107-115
- Salmond, Sir J., The Law of Torts, 15th ed. (1969).
- Saunders, T.C., "Therapeutic Abortion", Canadian Medical Association Journal 105 (1971):638-639
- Schlesinger, B., ed., Family Planning: A Source Book (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1974)
- , "Social Work Education and Family Planning", The Social Worker 41 (1973):93-99
- Schwenger, C.W., "Abortion in Canada as a Public Health Problem and as a Community Health Measure", Canadian Journal of Public Health 64 (1973):223-229
- Seguy, B., «Les avortements provoqués», Revue pour l'infirmière en assistance sociale 19 (1969):1073-1078
- Serenda, M.M., "Abortion: Where Is It At?" Canadian Bar Association Journal (N.S.) 3, No. 3 (1972):12
- Sisler, G.C., "The Psychiatrist and Therapeutic Abortion", Canadian Psychiatric Association Journal 16 (1971):275-277
- Skegg, P.D.G., "Consent to Medical Procedures on Minors", Modern Law Review 36 (1973): 370

- Smiley, C.W., "Sterilization and Therapeutic Abortion Counselling for the Mentally Retarded", Canadian Psychiatric Association Journal 19 (1974):65-68
- Smith, M., "A Young Pregnant Girl Tells Her Story", Canadian Nurse 71 (1975):31-35
- Smith, D.F., "Therapeutic Abortion-Should the Law be Changed?", Nova Scotia Medical Bulletin 46 (1967):118-120
- Smith, K.D., and Wineberg, H.S., "A Survey of Therapeutic Abortion Committees", Criminal Law Quarterly 12(1970):279-306
- Smith, S.L., "Canadian Doctors: Victims of the Abortion Laws", Canadian Family Physician 16 (1970):58-61
- Smyth, H.S., Biblical Allusions to Life Before Birth (London: Christian Medical Fellowship Publication, 1975)
- Spivak, M., "Therapeutic Abortion—A 12 Year Review at the Toronto General Hospital, 1954-1965", American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 97 (1964):316-323
- "Statement of the Canadian Bishops on Abortion", Canadian Hospital 2 (1971): 1, 8
- Starkman, B., "The Control of Life: Unexamined Law and the Life Worth Living", Osgoode Hall Law Journal 11 (1973):175
- Stephenson, B., "Abortion: An Open Letter", Canadian Medical Association Journal 112 (1975): 492, 494, 497
- Stone, S., and Scott, K., "The Unwanted Pregnancy", Canadian Medical Association Journal 111 (1974):1093-1097
- Sullivan, G., and Watt, S., "Legalized Abortion: Myths and Misconceptions", The Social Worker 43 (1975):78-86
- Summerhill, L., The Story of Birthright: The Alternative to Abortion (Kenosha, Wisconsin: Prow Books, 1973)
- Szasz, G., "Sex Education of the Family Physician", Canadian Family Physician 18 (1972):48-50
- "Therapeutic Abortion", Canadian Medical Association Journal 105 (1971):638-639
- Thomas, W.D.S., "Abortion Deaths in British Columbia: 1955 to 1968", British Columbia Medical Journal 12 (1970):111-112
- Thomas, W.D.S., and Yuen, B.H., "An Analysis of Maternal Deaths in British Columbia: 1963 to 1970", Canadian Medical Association Journal 110 (1974):783-784
- Tierney, B., "Abortion: Where It's At, Where It's Going (1972)", Canadian Bar Association Journal (N.S.) 3, No. 2 (1972):26
- Tittley, J.R., «Le médecin catholique et l'avortement», Bulletin pour l'infirmière catholique du Canada 35 (1968):67-70
- Todd, I.A.D., "Vasectomy", Canadian Nurse 67 (1971):20-23
- Toronto, Board of Education, Human Sexuality: Family Planning, Birth Control, Abortion (Toronto, 1972)

- Toronto, Mayor's Task Force on the Status of Women in Toronto, Steering Committee, Report on Abortion Counselling Services Currently Operating in Metropolitan Toronto (Toronto, 1974)
- Tyson, J.E.A., and Washburn, H.H., "Canadian County-Sponsored Family Planning: A Second Survey", Obstetrics and Gynecology 35 (1970):377-380
- "United Church Advocates Abortion Law Change", Canadian Hospital 48 (1971):10
- "Uphold Nurses' Right to Refuse to Take Part in Abortion Cases", Hospital Administration of Canada 13, No. 10 (1971):13
- Veevers, J., "The Liberalization of the Canadian Abortion Laws", in C. L. Boydell, ed., Critical Issues in Canadian Society (Toronto: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1971), pp. 33-39
- Verdoux, Ch., «Avortement ou contraception», Revue pour l'infirmière 22 (1972):117-121
- Wadlington, W., "Minors and Health Care: The Age of Consent", Osgoode Hall Law Journal 11 (1973):115
- Waisglass, B. R., "The Sexual Behaviour of 'Street People' in Ottawa: A Report of a Survey Conducted in the Summer of 1971", University of Ottawa Medical Journal 2:9
- Warnes, H., "Delayed After-Effects of Medically Induced Abortion", Canadian Psychiatric Association Journal 16 (1971):537-541
- Watters, W.W., Compulsory Parenthood: The Truth About Abortion (Toronto: McClelland and Stewart, 1976)
- Watts, J.M.E., "An Exploratory Study to Identify Preconception Contraceptive Patterns of Abortion Patients" (M.S.N. thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, 1974)
- Werman, D., and Spencer, R.F., "Some Problems of Evaluation of Women for Therapeutic Abortion", Canadian Psychiatric Association Journal 17 (1972):249-251
- Wilson, E., "The Organization and Function of Therapeutic Abortion Committees", Canadian Hospital 48 (1971):38-40
- Wilson, R.; Moss, G.W.O.; Laugharne, E.G.; and Read, E.M., "Family Planning in a Co-ordinated Hospital and Community Health Setting", Canadian Journal of Public Health 58 (1967): 527-534
- Wolfish, M.G., "Adolescent Sexuality: Counselling, Contraception, Pregnancy", Clinical Pediatrics 12 (1973):226-231
- Young, G.S., "Family Life Services in Catholic Hospitals in Ontario" (Master's thesis, University of Toronto, Toronto, 1975)
- Yuzpe, A.A.; Allen, H.H.; and Collins, J.A., "Total Sterilization: Methodology, Post-Operative Management and Follow-up of 2,934 Cases", Canadian Medical Association Journal 107 (1972):115-117

# Appendix 3

### THE ABORTION LAW

Criminal Code, Revised Statutes of Canada 1970, Chapter c-34. Section 251.

- 251. (1) Every one who, with intent to procure the miscarriage of a female person, whether or not she is pregnant, uses any means for the purpose of carrying out his intention is guilty of an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for life.
- (2) Every female person who, being pregnant, with intent to procure her own miscarriage, uses any means or permits any means to be used for the purpose of carrying out her intention is guilty of an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for two years.
  - (3) In this section, "means" includes
    - (a) the administration of a drug or other noxious thing,
    - (b) the use of an instrument, and
    - (c) manipulation of any kind.
  - (4) Subsections (1) and (2) do not apply to
    - (a) a qualified medical practitioner, other than a member of a therapeutic abortion committee for any hospital, who in good faith uses in an accredited or approved hospital any means for the purpose of carrying out his intention to procure the miscarriage of a female person, or
    - (b) a female person who, being pregnant, permits a qualified medical practitoner to use in an accredited or approved hospital any means described in paragraph (a) for the purpose of carrying out her intention to procure her own miscarriage,
- if, before the use of those means, the therapeutic abortion committee for that accredited or approved hospital, by a majority of the members of the committee and at a meeting of the committee at which the case of such female person has been reviewed.
  - (c) has by certificate in writing stated that in its opinion the continuation of the pregnancy of such female person would or would be likely to endanger her life or health, and

- (d) has caused a copy of such certificate to be given to the qualified medical practitioner.
- (5) The Minister of Health of a province may by order
  - (a) require a therapeutic abortion committee for any hospital in that province, or any member thereof, to furnish to him a copy of any certificate described in paragraph (4) (c) issued by that committee, together with such other information relating to the circumstances surrounding the issue of that certificate as he may require, or
  - (b) require a medical practitioner who, in that province, has procured the miscarriage of any female person named in a certificate described in paragraph (4) (c), to furnish to him a copy of that certificate, together with such other information relating to the procuring of the miscarriage as he may require.
- (6) For the purposes of subsections (4) and (5) and this subsection

"accredited hospital" means a hospital accredited by the Canadian Council on Hospital Accreditation in which diagnostic services and medical, surgical and obstetrical treatment are provided;

"approved hospital" means a hospital in a province approved for the purposes of this section by the Minister of Health of that province;

"board" means the board of governors, management or directors, or the trustees, commission or other person or group of persons having the control and management of an accredited or approved hospital;

#### "Minister of Health" means

- (a) in the Provinces of Ontario, Quebec, New Brunswick, Manitoba, Alberta, Newfoundland and Prince Edward Island, the Minister of Health.
- (b) in the Province of British Columbia, the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance,
- (c) in the Provinces of Nova Scotia and Saskatchewan, the Minister of Public Health, and
- (d) in the Yukon Territory and the Northwest Territories, the Minister of National Health and Welfare;

"qualified medical practitioner" means a person entitled to engage in the practice of medicine under the laws of the province in which the hospital referred to in subsection (4) is situated;

"therapeutic abortion committee" for any hospital means a committee, comprised of not less than three members each of whom is a qualified medical

practitioner, appointed by the board of that hospital for the purpose of considering and determining questions relating to terminations of pregnancy within that hospital.

(7) Nothing in subsection (4) shall be construed as making unnecessary the obtaining of any authorization or consent that is or may be required, otherwise than under this Act, before any means are used for the purpose of carrying out an intention to procure the miscarriage of a female person. 1953-54, c. 51, s. 237; 1968-69, c. 38, s. 18.