A. Response to the Guidelines

It has been well over a year since the Draft Proposal for Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines was released at the end of January 2005. There have been many developments since then. For starters, the Draft Proposal has already achieved one of its goals: the rekindling of serious debate about the law of spousal support.

Awareness of the Advisory Guidelines is steadily increasing among lawyers, judges, and the public at large. Over 50,000 copies of the Draft Proposal were downloaded from the federal Justice web site in the first year. Since the release of the Draft Proposal we have traveled across the country talking to groups of lawyers and judges to provide information about the contents of the Draft Proposal, to clear up misunderstandings and answer questions, and to solicit responses.

There are now over 140 judicial decisions from across the country in which the Advisory Guidelines have been cited and considered. Included in this collection are strong endorsements of the Advisory Guidelines by two appeal courts--the British Columbia and New Brunswick Courts of Appeal. In its ground-breaking decision in Yemchuk v. Yemchuk, [2005] B.C.J. No. 1748, 2005 BCCA 406, released in late August of 2005, the B.C. Court of Appeal approved of the Advisory Guidelines “as a useful tool to assist judges in assessing the quantum and duration of spousal support”.

But decided cases are only the tip of the iceberg, as few spousal support cases go to trial. Even more significantly, we have learned in our travels across the country that the Advisory Guidelines are being widely used in discussions with clients, in negotiations with other lawyers, and in settlement conferences. The development of computer software to perform the calculations under the formulas has facilitated the use of the Advisory Guidelines by lawyers.

We have accomplished what we hoped for in the period since the release of the Draft Proposal. We are now at a point where there is sufficient familiarity and experience with the Advisory Guidelines that we can move into the next phase of the project—one of seeking informed feedback in a structured way with a view to making revisions to the Draft Proposal. We expect to release a “final” version of the Advisory Guidelines in the fall of 2007.

We have drafted a new document to structure that feedback process—“Issues for Discussion: Revising the Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines”, what we call the “Issues Paper”. A draft of the “Issues Paper” is being released at the National Family Law Program in Kananaskis in July 2006. The “Issues Paper” draws together many of our reflections on the experience with the Advisory Guidelines to date and should be read in conjunction with this update paper.
On the basis of the responses we have had to date, we can say that, in general, the Advisory Guidelines have had a very warm reception from lawyers, mediators and judges, as people appreciate the benefit of greater consistency and predictability. Again and again, we hear that it is helpful to have a range to know that one’s claim, offer, settlement or decision is “in the ballpark”.

B. Criticisms of the Guidelines

The Advisory Guidelines have been criticized. It is important to distinguish different kinds of criticisms.

Some criticisms are quickly dealt with: they are based on misunderstandings about the Draft Proposal and quickly disappear with a more accurate understanding of the scheme. Much of our time in presentations to lawyers and judges is still spent on correcting misunderstandings and pointing out misapplications of the Advisory Guidelines. Other criticisms do flag problems and concerns with specific parts of Draft Proposal that will be very helpful as we look to revisions and improvements to the Advisory Guidelines over the coming year.

These sorts of criticisms will not be dealt with here. The misunderstandings of the Draft Proposal and the issues that have emerged for possible revision are discussed in detail in the “Issues Paper” and we refer you there.

There have also been more fundamental criticisms leading some to reject the Advisory Guidelines outright. Some critics remain fundamentally opposed to the concept of any “guidelines” for spousal support, viewing the nature of the decision-making in spousal support cases as necessarily discretionary and individualized. Other critics are troubled by the informal, advisory status of the guidelines, seeing them as an illegitimate attempt to change the law outside of the legislative process. These criticisms have found judicial expression; see, for example, the decision of Justice Trussler in V.S. v. A.K., [2005] A.J. No. 1357, 2005 ABQB 754 (Alta.Q.B) and that of Justice Julien in D.S. c. M.S., [2006] J.Q. no. 506, 2006 QCCS 334 (C.S).\(^1\) The criticisms voiced by Justice Julien were echoed at the appellate level by Quebec Court of Appeal in its recent (June 2006) decision in G.V. v. C.G., [2006] J.Q. no. 5231.

These decisions do not, however, represent the dominant view of the Advisory Guidelines that has emerged as the proposed Guidelines have become better understood. Even the Quebec Court of Appeal judgment in G.V. v. C.G., for example, can be read not as an outright rejection of the Advisory Guidelines but rather as a determination that they were misapplied by the trial judge on the facts of the case—that they were not used in the way the B.C. Court of Appeal used them in Yemchuk. The Quebec Court of Appeal did not disagree with Yemchuk, but merely distinguished it on the basis that Yemchuk did not endorse an “automatic” [translation] application of the Advisory Guidelines by a trial judge.

It is important, nonetheless, to briefly respond to the two main criticisms which have been leveled against the Advisory Guidelines: their rigidity and their illegitimacy.

(a) **Too Rigid: The “Cookie-Cutter” Approach to Spousal Support**

In *V.S. v. A.K.*, Justice Trussler chooses the image of the “cookie cutter” to convey her concern about the Advisory Guidelines being “too rigid”:

The Guidelines are a cause for concern. There is no doubt that they are useful for a judge who does not wish to make a thorough and careful analysis of each case and wants a quick answer. However, it is not the role of judges to opt out for an easy answer. Rather judges are bound by the *Divorce Act* and the case law which require judges to do individual justice in each case and not look for a “cookie cutter” answer.

She rejects the “cookie cutter” approach in favour of one based upon “individual justice”:

The stated purpose of the Guidelines is to bring more certainty and predictability to the determination of spousal support. As a result individual justice is sacrificed for consistency. Every case is different on its facts. There are often many variables. Flexibility and discretion are needed for individual circumstances.

In the same vein, in *G.V. v. C.G.*, the Quebec Court of Appeal describes Justice Julien’s concerns about the Advisory Guidelines as substituting “recipes” [translation] and “mathematical formulas” [translation] for the difficult analysis required by the *Divorce Act* and the Supreme Court of Canada. Reference is made to Justice L’Heureux-Dubé’s comments in *Moge* describing the “complex and … difficult analysis” required in spousal support determinations and her insistence that “[t]here are no easy recipes, nor are there neat compartments on which to rely”.

Criticisms of the Advisory Guidelines as “too rigid” often assume a more rigid scheme than the one we have actually proposed. There is a tendency, on the part of some, to lump all schemes of spousal support guidelines together, without attention to the scheme of advisory guidelines set out in the *Draft Proposal*. As well, to the extent that the criticisms are directed to the specific scheme set out in the *Draft Proposal*, they focus upon the formulas to the exclusion of the rest of the Advisory Guidelines.

These criticisms fail to recognize that there are critical steps in applying the Advisory Guidelines before the formulas can be applied: application, entitlement, determination of income, ceilings and floors. And there are critical steps after: location within the ranges, restructuring, exceptions, variation and review. By these steps, there remains room for the exercise of judgment on the facts of an individual case, facts other than those built into the formulas.

Criticisms of the Advisory Guidelines as “too rigid” may also embody a fear that they will be applied in a rigid and inflexible fashion, whatever the intentions of the authors. Nothing in the case law or experience so far suggests that judges are applying the Advisory Guidelines in a rigid fashion. Lawyers who come to court armed only with the ranges generated by the formulas, expecting that the Advisory Guidelines will be used in this fashion, are at serious risk of having their arguments ignored.

Those who oppose any form of guidelines for spousal support and who stress the unique nature of every case ignore the fact that there are many typical cases with very similar facts. They also undervalue the importance of consistency. What comes to mind is Ralph Waldo Emerson’s famous quote: “a foolish consistency is the hobgoblin of small minds”. In the law of spousal support, a little consistency would not be “foolish”. But this is not consistency for its
own sake. It’s about a fundamental principle of law: equal treatment, the similar treatment of similar cases. These formulas generate outcomes across a wide range of cases in a consistent, principled fashion, serving as a healthy check upon one’s “gut feeling” or budget-based result.

(b) An Illegitimate Change in the Law: Inconsistent with the Divorce Act and its Language

Criticisms of the Advisory Guidelines as “too rigid” are often wove n together with the argument that any guidelines are inconsistent with the discretionary approach mandated by the Divorce Act which requires consideration of numerous factors and objectives. And, so the argument goes, the Divorce Act is “the law”, in contrast to the Advisory Guidelines which are not. As Justice Trussler put it in V.S. v. A.K.:

The provisions of the Divorce Act as interpreted by the Supreme Court of Canada are the law in this country with respect to spousal support. The Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines, January 2005, are the work of two university professors … assisted by a small committee. Those with strong views to the contrary were not involved, nor was there widespread discussion of the guidelines prior to their publication. They have not been enacted by the Parliament of Canada or any Provincial Legislature nor are they the subject of any governmental regulation.

This criticism presents the Advisory Guidelines as a new scheme for determining spousal support that is inconsistent with the Divorce Act and its language. It is true that the formulas at the core of the Advisory Guidelines scheme can easily be taken, at first glance, as an entirely new scheme of income-sharing that has been superimposed on the Divorce Act. But an understanding of the intentions informing the project and the way in which the Advisory Guidelines were developed counters this first impression.

The Advisory Guidelines were intended to reflect current law, not to change it. The formulas were developed to embody, or act as “proxy measures” of, the principles and factors which structure the current law of spousal support. We have summarized each formula in a phrase, one that captures the current law on amount and duration in the relevant cases: “merger over time” for the without child support formula and “parental partnership” for the with child support formula. These phrases do not represent new theories of spousal support, just shorthand labels for the existing law. The without child support formula reflects the mix of compensatory and non-compensatory thinking found in Moge and Bracklow, which interpreted the objectives of s. 15.2(6) of the Divorce Act. The with child support formula is profoundly compensatory, reflecting the analysis of Moge, which in turn emphasized paragraphs (a) and (b) of s. 15.2(6).

The formula ranges are intended to capture the dominant ranges of support outcomes under the current law, those clusters you can find in the cases. They are not, contrary to what some believe, just “averages” of all the spousal support cases decided out there. Given the wild scatter of outcomes, that would not be helpful or instructive.

Judgment had to be exercised by us to identify the dominant patterns in the current law. Negotiated settlements don’t always track the decided cases and here we had the benefit of the expert advice of the Advisory Working Group on Family Law. Some cases contain exceptional facts, that would take them out of any formula. We had to classify categories of cases, starting with the big divide in the case law between cases with and without dependent children. In some sub-sets of cases, we had to identify emerging trends in the law, e.g., short marriages with young
children. The formulas are the product of a comprehensive process of review and testing before the release of the Draft Proposal by the federal Department of Justice.

The British Columbia Court of Appeal in Yemchuk described the Advisory Guidelines in terms similar to a compilation of precedent:

It should also be stressed that the Advisory Guidelines are intended to reflect the current law, rather than to change it. They were drafted by the authors after extensive analyses of the authorities regarding spousal support across the country, particularly the Moge and Bracklow decisions and those following thereafter. … While decisions can undoubtedly be found in which the result would not accord with the Advisory Guidelines, I am satisfied that their intention and general effect is to build upon the law as it exists, rather than to present an entirely new approach to the issue of spousal support… They do not operate to displace the courts' reliance on decided authorities (to the extent that relevant authorities are forthcoming) but to supplement them.

Finally, the formulas are not the Guidelines, a point which brings us again to the previous criticism. Using the exceptions, both those identified in the Draft Proposal and those which are driven by the facts of atypical cases, it is possible to depart from the outcome suggested by the formulas if it would be at odds with the objectives of the Divorce Act. Indeed, reasoned explanations of why the outcomes under the formulas are at odds with the Divorce Act will serve to stimulate a renewed focus on the legislation and its objectives.

We also need to recognize that some who criticize the Advisory Guidelines as being inconsistent with the current law simply don’t like the current state of spousal support law. They may, for example prefer a stricter compensatory approach, as if Bracklow never happened, or a pre-Moge approach that placed heavy emphasis on achieving a clean break. The open-ended discretion under the current law allows every lawyer and every judge to insert his or her personal theory of spousal support into the amount and duration of that support. Guidelines, even Advisory Guidelines, serve to reveal and hence constrain these outcomes at odds with the principles and dominant patterns of the current law.

(c) Too Little Guidance

Before we leave the criticisms of the Advisory Guidelines, we should briefly mention one that comes from the opposite end of the spectrum. While some are concerned that the Advisory Guidelines will convert the determination of spousal support into a mechanistic exercise leading to unfair results on the facts of particular cases, others have been disappointed that the Advisory Guidelines do not go far enough in providing guidance.

Some criticize the project for the amount of discretion that still remains. Others also criticize the Advisory Guidelines for not providing “principled” answers to many of the “hard questions” in spousal support law. Some of the “hard questions” identified are: entitlement, how to determine the appropriate level of self-sufficiency in a particular case, the impact of post-separation increases in the payor’s income, and the impact of remarriage and second families. Again, this is not a reform exercise. The Advisory Guidelines identify these hard issues and often provide some assistance in their resolution, but there is no consensus in the current law and these hard issues have to be decided by the courts in the absence of specific legislation.
A related concern is that the ranges under the Advisory Guidelines are too wide, leaving too much room for discretion. But again, the ranges were built to reflect current practice and to accommodate provincial and regional variations in support outcomes.

Changes in practice over time—the development of more consensus on hard issues and more consistency in outcomes—may be reflected in revisions to the Advisory Guidelines over time.

C. The Courtroom Status of the Guidelines?

Many judicial decisions have considered the Advisory Guidelines. What is their status in the courtroom? The Advisory Guidelines are not “law” and will not be legislated. But neither are they “evidence” or “expert evidence” and there is no need to “prove” the document.

The Draft Proposal is really part of legal argument and reasoning. The Draft Proposal can be cited like any other article, text or government document. This approach has been adopted by the B.C. Court of Appeal in the Yemchuk case and by Justice Martinson in W. v. W., [2005] B.C.J. No. 1481, 2005 BCSC 1010 (B.C.S.C.). As Justice Prowse stated in Yemchuk:

They [the Advisory Guidelines] do not operate to displace the courts’ reliance on decided authorities (to the extent that relevant authorities are forthcoming) but to supplement them. In that regard, they do not constitute evidence, but are properly considered as part of counsels’ submissions.

For the advocate, the Advisory Guidelines build upon the existing use of software calculations of net income and support scenarios, already presented by lawyers and accepted by judges. The Guidelines’ ranges can best be presented as part of the brief or argument: first you argue the statute and broad principles, then a few similar cases, then the usual budget, expense and ability to pay arguments, capped off by a presentation of these ranges. The ranges can be argued to reflect the dominant ranges of the existing case law on amount and duration, as explained above. The facts of the individual case, analyzed in light of the Divorce Act objectives and factors, should be used to make arguments about placement with the range and exceptions.

The need for lawyers to do more than bring the Guideline numbers to court was brought home in Morash v. Morash, [2005] S.J. No. 618, 2005 SKQB 411 (Sask.Q.B.) in the colorful comments of Justice Wilkinson:

While [the Advisory Guidelines] have variously been referred to as a useful tool, a cross-check, a barometer, or measuring stick, what they are not is an encouragement to avoid the factual and legal analysis of each case within the framework of the Divorce Act’s spousal support objectives. … If I were informed that fast-flowing rivers in Canada can range in depth from two to twelve feet, it would not tempt me to wade into unknown waters armed simply with that knowledge. Having a range of spousal support obligations advocated by the Advisory Guidelines, without in-depth information about the marriage, provides useful information but no inducement to act upon it.

For the judge, the Advisory Guidelines are part of legal reasoning and the Draft Proposal can be used as one more piece of useful information in the determination of the amount and duration of spousal support. The Advisory Guidelines have been variously described in the cases as a “cross-check”, a “check”, a “litmus test”, a “bench mark”, a “useful tool”, a “starting point”.

D. A Review of the Case Law: The Highlights

As of June 20, 2006 there were 138 judicial decisions in which the Advisory Guidelines have been considered. These cases are summarized in the three appendices to this paper. Cases continue to appear at the rate of 2 or 3 a week.

Appendix I is a comprehensive list organizing the cases according to level of court (trial or appellate), date of release and the applicable formula (with or without child support). Appendix II is a comprehensive list that organizes the cases by province. Appendix III is a selective list of cases organized by issue.

Watch for new cases in our case law updates, which are typically revised on a monthly basis and posted on QuickLaw, WestlaweCARSWELL, the CBA National Family Law Section site, Judicom for judges and (soon) the University of Toronto Faculty of Law website.

There are now decisions considering the Advisory Guidelines from every province. Ontario has generated the highest number of decisions (41), with British Columbia a close second (38). A significant number of cases have also come from Alberta (14), Newfoundland and Labrador (12) and Nova Scotia (12). The cases include not only trial decisions, but also six appellate level decisions: four from the British Columbia Court of Appeal, and one from each of the New Brunswick and Quebec Courts of Appeal. Below we will discuss some of the more important decisions

Not all of 138 decisions we have listed are consistent with the Advisory Guidelines. The criteria for inclusion in our list is simply that the Advisory Guidelines have been referred to or considered. As we noted above, this list includes a small number of decisions which have been very critical of the Advisory Guidelines. The list also includes a number of cases where the Advisory Guidelines, and more specifically the ranges generated by the formulas, were considered, but the result in the case was not consistent with those ranges. Overall, the results in approximately 70% of the cases are consistent with the formula ranges for amount.

There are several reasons why the results in the cases do not always fall within the Guidelines ranges. First, in some cases that rejected the formula range, the order itself actually fell within the range, as the lawyers or the court had erred in stating the proper range. The erroneous numbers reflected inaccurate determinations of income or mistaken calculations. Second, the monthly amount of support may have been outside the formula range, but the combination of amount and duration actually fell comfortably within the global range permitted by “restructuring”, had that concept been considered. Third, the case clearly fell within one of the “exceptions” that would explain a departure from the ranges, but neither counsel nor court appear to have considered the exceptions. Fourth, some of the litigated cases reflect weird and wonderful facts that make them truly “atypical”. That’s why these cases end up before the courts.

In general, what does a review of the case law show?

• Under the without child support formula, we see a very good fit between the formula ranges and the outcomes in cases of long marriages. For medium length marriages
without children there is also a fairly good fit, once restructuring is taken into account (although often it is not).

- In cases with dependent children, the amounts of child support ordered are fairly consistently within the ranges for amount generated by the *with child support* barring exceptional circumstances such as debt or low incomes.
- Under both formulas we are seeing a few problems with short marriages: under the *without child support* formula in terms of both amount and duration, and under the *with child support* formula in terms of duration, although some of these cases fall into exceptions.
- Many judges (and we suspect lawyers as well) are willing to consider and apply the Advisory Guidelines ranges for amount, but ignore the *Draft Proposal* with respect to duration.
- The Advisory Guidelines tend to be understood as only the formulas, with little attention paid to the important issues that come before and after the formulas, such as entitlement, restructuring, and exceptions. Often missing as well is an analysis of the factors that determine where to set amount (and if relevant duration) within the range.

Now for the highlights of the case law, beginning with the appellate decisions.

(a) British Columbia Court of Appeal

The single most important decision to date remains that of the British Columbia Court of Appeal in *Yemchuk v. Yemchuk*, [2005] B.C.J. No. 1748, released in August of 2005, which, as noted above, endorsed the Advisory Guidelines as a “useful tool” in determining the amount and duration of spousal support. The case is also a good example of several important issues that arise under the Advisory Guidelines. In *Yemchuk* the B.C. Court of Appeal ended up deciding the quantum of support on a slightly unusual set of facts. The Yemchuks had been married for 35 years, had one grown child, the husband was 63 years old, and the wife 61. The retired husband received pension income of $37,600 and sought spousal support from his employed wife, who earned $75,000. The trial judge found no entitlement. The Court of Appeal reversed and found entitlement on both compensatory and non-compensatory grounds. The husband had taken early retirement from his job in order to accommodate his wife’s employment transfer to Winnipeg. *Yemchuk* nicely illustrates the need to engage in a thorough analysis of entitlement as a threshold issue before any consideration of the Advisory Guidelines. The analysis of entitlement is important not only as a threshold matter to determine if any spousal support will be paid, but also in shaping the exercise of discretion under the various steps of the Advisory Guidelines, such as determining placement within the range and exceptions. Mr. Yemchuk only asked for support until his wife retired and their pensions were divided.

Prowse J.A. used the *without child support* formula range of $1,190 to $1,580 per month, in deciding to order $1,100 per month to Mr. Yemchuk. The Court explicitly discussed placement with the range: the lower end of the range was chosen to adjust for the wife’s employment expenses and deductions. The Court of Appeal stated that the Advisory Guidelines “are intended to reflect the current law rather than to change it”, “to build upon the law as it exists”. The Court described “the move away from a budget-laden analysis” as “appealing”.

In an October decision, the B.C. Court of Appeal again considered the Advisory Guidelines, in *Tedham v. Tedham*, [2005] B.C.J. No. 2186, overturning the trial judge’s diminishing and terminating spousal support order ($6,000 for a year, then $4,000, then $2,000, then zero). The Court of Appeal maintained the trial judge’s initial amount of $6,000 a month, after considering the *without child support* formula range. The Court removed the three-year time limit too, given the 16-year traditional marriage. The Court did not mention that Ms. Tedham’s age and years of marriage came very close to the “rule of 65” that would generate an indefinite order under the Guidelines formula for duration. Nor did the Court consider the possible application of the “custodial payor” formula, which could have been applied as the father was supporting the children directly (but the parties had agreed to “deem” the children, 20 and 21, independent).

There have been two subsequent decisions in which the B.C. Court of Appeal considered the Advisory Guidelines. In *Kopelow v. Warkentin*, [2005] B.C.J. No. 2412 the Guidelines were considered in the context of a challenge to a marriage agreement which left the husband with most of the property. The case involved a 13 year relationship with two children; the wife, who was 55, was earning $30,000 year, while the husband was earning $177,000. The wife was found to be entitled to spousal support, and the range under the *with child support* formula ($3,037-$4,015) was considered by the Court of Appeal in determining that $3,500 per month was the appropriate amount. With spousal support in this amount, the Court of Appeal found that the marriage agreement was not unfair.

Finally in *Toth v. Kun*, [2006] B.C.J. No. 739 the Guidelines were considered in the context of an application by the husband to vary support because of his retirement and the drop in his income (from $70,000 to $42,000). The case involved a 10 year marriage with no children; the wife was over 20 years younger than the husband, but she had health problems. The trial judge reduced support from $2,400 to $1,500 per month on an indefinite basis. The Court of Appeal found that to be too onerous, and instead ordered time-limited step-down support: $1,200/mo. to Sept. 2006, then $1,000/mo. to Sept. 2009, with the result that support would be paid for a total duration of 6 ½ years. The amount of support was set higher than the range suggested by the Guidelines under the *without child support* formula ($525-$700 for 5 to 10 years) because of the wife’s health and limited language skills. The Court did not, however, consider restructuring, which would have shown their award to be within the global range generated by the formula. In the end, *Toth* offers a nice example of a result consistent with the time limits suggested by the *without child support* formula in medium duration marriages.

**(b) New Brunswick Court of Appeal**

In April of this year the New Brunswick Court of Appeal became the second appellate court to approve of the Advisory Guidelines with its decision in *S.C. v. J.C.*, [2006] N.B.J. No. 186. In this case the trial judge had actually considered the Advisory Guidelines in determining the amount of support in the context of a 25 year traditional marriage. The husband was an army officer earning $100,000 a year. The factual wrinkle in the case was that the wife, who was 40 years old at the time of separation, had, in the 5 years since separation, retrained and found contract employment earning $46,764 year, thus raising the “hard” issue of when a former spouse has become “self-sufficient”. After considering the Guidelines range of $1,625 - $2,208; a month, the trial judge ordered spousal support of $1,625 a month for 5 years, rejecting the wife’s claim for an amount higher in the range ($1,800) and for an indefinite duration.
Justice Larlee, writing for the Court of Appeal, dismissed the wife’s appeal. She approved of the Advisory Guidelines in the following terms:

The guidelines have been referred to in many ways: a check, a cross-check, a litmus test, a useful tool and a starting point. But it is my view that whichever term one likes to employ, their use, through the available software, will help in the long run to bring consistency and predictability to spousal support awards. Not only will they foster settlement, they will also allow spouses to anticipate their support responsibilities at the time of separation.

The Court adopted the reasoning in *Yemchuk* on the issue of the Guidelines’ consistency with current law.

On the issue of the time-limit imposed by the trial judge, Justice Larlee recognized that there is almost a presumption of indefinite support in a long traditional marriage and that a review was generally favoured over a time limit in such cases. However, she relied on deference to the trial judge’s determination that a time limit was warranted on the facts, noting that the wife was young, had no dependents, was capable and had been quickly able to reintegrate into the work force, had found steady employment, and that 5 years was a longer term than that imposed in some cases in which time limits had been upheld in long marriages. The appropriateness of the time limit on the facts of this case is obviously open to debate--touching on the “hard” issue in the current law of the meaning of “self-sufficiency”. However, the result in the case does bring home the point that even after a long traditional marriage there may be a finding at some point down the road that the recipient spouse has become self-sufficient and that entitlement to support has disappeared. Even an indefinite order does not mean permanent support without reduction or even termination at some point in the future.

(c) Quebec Court of Appeal

In *G.V. v. C.G.*, [2006] J.Q. no. 5231, released in June of 2006, the Quebec Court of Appeal became the third appellate court to consider the Advisory Guidelines. As with *S.C. v. J.C.*, this case also involved an appeal of a decision in which the trial judge had used the Guidelines. The case involved a 32-year marriage with three children, two of whom were independent and the youngest of whom resided with the husband. The wife, who was 55, was earning $50,000 while the husband earned $227,000. The wife paid child support of $15,948 per year. The trial judge applied the Advisory Guidelines; using the range of $4,500 to $6,000 per month under the custodial payor formula, she ordered support of $4,500 per month, at the low end of the range, on an indefinite basis.

The Court of Appeal allowed the husband’s appeal and reduced spousal support to $2,705 per month after engaging in a detailed analysis of the wife’s budget. The trial judge was found to have erred in relying as she did upon the Advisory Guidelines rather than engaging in a detailed individual analysis.

The decision contains no ruling in principle rejecting the use of the Advisory Guidelines, with Justice Forget stating that [TRANSLATION] « the dossier as it is and the brief pleadings of counsel on this aspect do not permit us, in my opinion, to pronounce a judgment of principle upon the utilisation of the Advisory Guidelines. »The Court did refer to the criticisms of the
Guidelines contained in the judgments of Justices Julien and Gendreau (discussed above.) and the “important” concerns raised by Justice Julien about « recipes »and formulas being used to avoid the difficult individual analysis required by the Divorce Act. The Quebec Court did not disagree with Yemchuk, but emphasized that the B.C. appeal court had not endorsed an “automatic” application of the Guidelines without an individual analysis.

We are left with a judgment that echoes the standard criticisms of the Advisory Guidelines, but does not reject the Guidelines outright. Trial judges should not default to the Guidelines, suggests the Quebec appeal court, but must look at all the facts of the particular case.

(d) Trial Judgments

Here we will identify a few particularly helpful decisions under each of the two formulas.

(i) The Without Child Support Formula

One case under the without child support formula which should definitely be read as a general primer on the Guidelines is McCulloch v. Bawtinheimer, [2006] A.J. No. 361 (Q.B.) (Sullivan J.) The case provides an excellent overview of the Advisory Guidelines and a detailed explanation of the various steps in the scheme that need to be considered both before and after the formulas. The result in the case, which involved a six year relationship between “adult interdependent partners” as defined under provincial legislation, was consistent with the without child support formula after an explicit use of restructuring and findings that the compensatory and debt payment exceptions were inapplicable.


(ii) The With Child Support Formula

Three leading cases should also be noted under the with child support formula. First would be W. v. W., [2005] B.C.J. No. 1481 (S.C.), a decision of Justice Martinson which

---

2 The Court of Appeal erroneously states that these critical decisions were not listed in our paper “The Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines 14 Months Later” distributed at the National Judicial Institute Appellate Court seminar held in Montreal, April 11, 2006. The “14 Months Later” paper, which was dated March 20, 2006 and which, as one of regular updates had been widely distributed beyond the appellate court seminar, did list Justice Julien’s decision in S.(D.) v. Sc.(Ma.), No. 500-12-267344-038, 27 janvier 2006. The decisions of Justice Gendreau were unknown to us at the time the “14 Months Later” paper was prepared and were added in the next update, “The Spousal Support Guidelines 16 Months Later”, dated May 31, 2006.

3 This decision, released on June 21, 2006, is “hot off the press” and is not included in the appendices.
includes an extensive and illuminating discussion of the Guidelines. This decision was quoted and approved by the B.C. Court of Appeal in *Yemchuk*. Another careful decision is that of Justice Handrigan in *Fewer v. Fewer*, [2005] N.J. No. 303 (N.L.S.C.), who used the ChildView software to obtain the range in a Newfoundland case with lower incomes. In *Kerr v. Kerr*, [2005] O.J. No. 1966 (S.C.J.), Blishen J. of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice used this formula to decide temporary spousal support, in a case with 5 children and some s. 7 expenses.

Finally *Puddifant v. Puddifant*, [2005] N.S.J. No. 558, 2005 NSSC 340 (S.C.F.D.) (Gass J.) involved an application of the custodial payor formula in the context of a 12 year marriage where the wife, the non-custodial payor, suffered from mental illness and was in receipt of disability payments. The husband brought a variation application 6 years after the separation. The result in the case--an order that support be paid for a further 3 years-- was consistent with the time limits generated by the custodial formula. The case also offers a good discussion of the disability exception.
THE ADVISORY GUIDELINES 17 MONTHS LATER
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CASE SUMMARIES

A. Appellate Decisions

Married 35 years, one grown child, husband 63 at trial, wife 61.
Family assets divided equally, trial judge found no entitlement to spousal support
Entitlement found on appeal, both compensatory and non-compensatory grounds
Engineer husband retired early in 1997, as wife transferred to Manitoba in federal government
No issue as to duration, as husband only claiming support until wife retired at 65
Husband’s income $37,600, wife makes $75,000
Guidelines range under without child support formula: $1,190 to $1,580
Guidelines “a useful tool to assist judges”, “intended to reflect the current law” citing _W. v. W._
Extensive discussion of Guidelines issues, not evidence but part of counsels’ submissions
Support fixed at $1,100, to reflect wife’s “expenses relating to employment (including clothing, transportation expenses and significant compulsory employee deductions)”

Married 16 years, wife now 52 (47 at separation), husband now 47, traditional marriage
Two children, 20 and 21, “deemed independent”, direct support from husband
Wife earns $25,000 part-time retail, income imputed of $30,000
Husband estimated to earn $343,000 in computer software sales
Payment by husband of $95,850 to reapportion property
Was paying $1,589 child support and $4,000 spousal to wife
Chambers judge ordered dimishing time-limited support for 3 more years: $6,000/mo. year 1, $4,000 year 2, $2,000 year 3, then zero, to encourage self-sufficiency
No time limit, only partial compensation, indefinite order substituted, subject to review if husband’s medical condition (legal blindness) interferes with income
Amount fixed at $6,000, Guidelines range stated as $6,300 to $8,500 for 8 to 16 years
Lower than range because of reapportionment order
(Without child support range: $6,260-$8,347, if incomes as stated)
[Custodial payor formula range (no child support from wife): $4,712-$6,283]

Married 12 years (plus one year cohab), 2 children 15 and 13, with wife
Wife earns $30,000, now 55 (49 at separation), husband earns $177,000
Wife challenging marriage agreement property division, 78 per cent to husband
Child support $1,995, wife also entitled to spousal support
Range $3,037-$4,015, $3,500/mo. ordered
With these support amounts, marriage agreement not unfair

Married 10 years, no children, husband 64, wife 41
Interim support $2,300/mo., varied to $2,400 in April 2003
Wife health problems, but will recover, poor English
Husband earned $70,000, but now retired, only $42,000
At trial: support varied to $1,500, indefinite
On appeal: too onerous, so time limited, step-down
$1,200/mo. to Sept. 2006, then $1,000/mo. to Sept. 2009, support paid 6 ½ years
Range $525-$700, 5 to 10 years [within global range on restructuring]

Married 25 years, two adult children, wife 42 (40 separation), husband 45, traditional marriage
Husband army officer, earns $100,000
Wife working in Kingston, Ont., on contract with Health Ministry, earns $46,764; interim support $1,850
Trial judge ordered $1,625/mo., using Advisory Guidelines, for 5 years [range was $1,625 - $2,208; wife wanted $1,800]
Wife appealed amount at low end of range, sought indefinite duration, and questioned trial judge’s determination of her income
Appeal dismissed, Guidelines approved, help to bring consistency and predictability
Almost a presumption of indefinite support in long traditional marriage; review generally favoured over time limit in such cases
But deference to trial judge: wife young, no dependents, capable, steady employment
Wife quickly able to reintegrate into work force, 5 years a longer term

Married 32 years, 3 children, one (18) now with husband, custodial payor
Wife 55, earning $50,000, husband earns $227,000
Child support $15,948, grossed-up to $33,000
Trial judge applied Advisory Guidelines: range $4,500 to $6,000; low end of range ordered, $4,500 indefinite
Appeal allowed; spousal support reduced to $2,705 per month based upon budgetary analysis
Trial judge erred by not engaging in a detailed individual analysis
Court states that [TRANSLATION] « the dossier as it is and the brief pleadings of counsel on this aspect do not permit us, in my opinion, to pronounce a judgment of principle upon the utilisation of the Advisory Guidelines. »
Court refers to important criticisms of Advisory Guidelines found in judgments of Justices Julien and Gendreau [see cases below] and concerns about « recipes »and formulas being used to avoid the difficult individual analysis required.

B. The Without Child Support Formula

Leading Decisions

Interim spousal support, 28 year marriage, 3 adult children, wife 51
Husband makes at least $150,000, wife $9,300 disability
Without child support formula explicitly used
Range $4,397-$5,863. $5,000 ordered.
General reference to use of guidelines as “cross-check”

Guidelines argued by wife’s lawyer, husband’s income $1,266,000
29 year marriage, 4 grown children, income imputed to wife
Discussion of guidelines, but this above $350,000 ceiling, $9,900 ordered

Married 29 years, 4 adult children, wife 45 at separation
Wife has health problems, can’t work, no income
Husband works as truck driver, $28,439
Interim support $1,344, husband also pays $135/mo. for wife’s health insurance
Range $889-$1185 (or $754-$1050 deducting health ins.)
Exception for payor income $20-$30,000 noted, concerns re ability to pay
Support of $700 ordered

Together 11 years (married 4), no children, husband seeks interim spousal support
Husband receives WCB $11,858 non-taxable, grossed up to $13,525
Wife earns $18,557, also paying family debts
Below “floor” of $20,000, no ability to pay, no support
(Range by formula: $69-$92)
Together 6 years, “adult interdependent partners”
Husband earns $103,000, pays $15,600/yr. in prior spousal support (exception)
Wife earns $27,216, gross income difference $60,184
Range $451-$602, for 3-6 years, global amount $16,250-$43,332
Detailed explanation of Guidelines and restructuring
No compensatory exception, no debt payment exception
Orders $2,000/mo. for 9 mos., $1,000/mo. for 9 mos., $500 for 7 mos., total $29,500

**Other Trial Decisions [beginning with most recent]**

Together 18 years (10 married), wife 61, husband 75, each 4 grown children
Equal division of house
Wife receives CPP $3,780/yr., increased to $12,780 for interest income
Husband receives pension income $37,575
Range $760-$1,013, but spousal support of $500/mo. ordered
(Range $552-$736 if wife’s income is $12,780)
Husband left with house expenses and mortgage to pay equalisation
Spousal support lower to maintain comparable standards of living (?)

Married 37 years, 3 grown children, husband 60, wife 59 (56 at separation)
Husband owns service station, leasing it for $30,000/yr.
Wife working part-time for daughter, earns $12-$12,500/yr.
Guidelines considered, spousal support of $600/mo. ordered, “slightly less”
(But range $562-$750, indefinite)

26 year marriage; parties married in Peru, 2 grown children
Interim spousal support of $1000/mo
Husband earns $58,000; income imputed to be $60,000; paying $500 per month on mutual debt of $50,000 and income tax debt of $150 per month; willing to pay $600 per month spousal
Wife, with limited English language skills and poor health, on social assistance; had worked full-time as daycare worker ten years until injured in 2003; income $6120 on social assistance but court imputes income of $9,000 based on part-time work at $9/hr
SSAG range of $1594 to $2125 considered; spousal support set at $1200/mo (i.e. below range) reflecting husband’s debt payments and wife’s potential to increase earning capacity
Order gives wife 1/3 of husband’s disposable income, husband 1/3, and 1/3 for debt.

Married 18 years, 2 children, last marrying July 2006, child support to end then, wife 48
Spousal support paid for one year, 1998-99, $600/mo.
Wife agreed to no support in 1999, but reserved right to claim
Wife now earns $40,500, husband earns $53,500
No non-compensatory claim, so compensatory only
Range stated as $304 to $405, for 9 to 18 years, but wife claiming 9 years after separation
$300/mo. ordered for one year

Married 27 years (plus some prior cohabitation), 4 adult children, wife 48 at separation
Husband earns $54,307, logging
Wife returned to work, now employed on 1-year contract, $21,575
Spousal support of $1,200/mo. ordered in 2005 when wife earning $9296, now being reviewed
Range $1,029 to $1,370, spousal support ordered at $1,000/mo., indefinite, no review

Married 25 years, 2 adult children, wife 52, husband 58, separated 2002
Husband earns $53,000, paying family debts
Wife earns $12,000, caring for her mother, receiving payment, husband says impute $22,000
Range: $1,200 to $1,600 ($12,000), $900 to $1,200 ($22,000)
Spousal support of $1,200 ordered, indefinite

Together 11 years (married 7 ½), husband adopted mother’s one child, now 20
2002 separation agreement: $650 spousal support plus child support, wife then 43
Husband remarried, he earns $95,765, new wife earns $40,000, her 2 children
Wife trained as hair stylist, hand injury, now retail sales, earns $21,027
Range $1,028-$1,370, 5.5 to 11 years
Spousal support increased to $1,000/mo., for another 4 years (total 8)

Married 23 years, 3 adult children (2 living with wife), wife 53 (46 at separation)
Variation application, 2003 order $1,375/mo., plus $200/mo./child in university
2003: husband earning $67,500, wife student income $9,800
Husband now earns $72,500 for Ministry of Forests, remarried, U.S. wife no income
Wife got B.A., but then part-time employment, earns $28,000
Order varied to $1,200/mo. considering original order, Guidelines as “cross-check”
(Range $1,280-$1,706 indefinite)

ISO enforcement case, 18-year-old German order made in 1987
17-year marriage, reference to 17 year maximum duration under Guidelines
Against public policy to enforce in Canada

Married 32 ½ years, 1 child now 30, wife homemaker for 20 years
Wife working as part-time cashier, now cook in restaurant, earns $16,000
Husband earns $55,695 as bus driver
Range $1,219-$1,625, husband claims debt exception as paying $632/mo.
Interim spousal support of $1,400/mo., husband pays debt, wife pays mortgage
Leaves wife with monthly cash flow of $200 less than husband

Married 26 years, 3 adult children, 2003 order for $920 spousal support
Wife disabled, CPP Disability, $9,670/yr.
Husband accountant in NWT, earns $75,000, interim variation
Budgets analyzed, support increased to $2,300/mo., indefinite
“Comforted” that within range, $2,031 to $2,708

Married 22 years, husband 44, wife 42, 2 children 22 and 18
One child home with mother, retroactive child support only
Reapportionment 60/40 to wife, so lower end of spousal support range
Husband drafts person, earns $54,000, wife no income, but $15,000 imputed
Range low to medium $1,485-$1,733, or $1,073-$1,251 if wife earns $15,000
Order for $1,100, indefinite

Length of marriage not stated
Husband truck driver, earns $43,293
Wife earned $12,900, but laid off and no income
Guidelines rejected, $806.58 ordered as spousal support
2004 divorce, $1,313/mo. spousal support
Husband earned $64,826 then, but reduced to $44,000 for pension division
Husband laid off, income now $28,287, wife disabled receives $1,484/yr.
Guidelines range correctly stated by wife as $837-$1,116
Guidelines rejected, spousal support of $900/mo. ordered

Married 22 months, cohabited 2 years, husband 77, wife 48 (45 at separation)
Interim support $3,000/mo., wife seeking $4,000/mo.
Husband got wife to quit job, paid her $3,300/mo. from his company
Wife earned $30,000 before marriage
Husband’s income not disclosed, but assets $12.7 million, ability to pay
Husband argues Advisory Guidelines, criticisms of Guidelines, rejected
Support of $3,000/mo. ordered, until Sept. 2006 (43 months paid)
(Husband’s income above ceiling, but if $600,000, range would be $3,000-$4,000)

Married 31 years, 2 children 26 and 24, younger (some disability) with father
Husband earns $78,000, wife earns $5,000 part-time, traditional marriage
Interim interim support $1,000/mo.
Interim spousal support of $1,700/mo., rejects Guidelines amounts
Wife sought $2,800, states range as $2,900-$3,900
[But range appears to be $2,281-$3,041, without adjustment for son in father’s care]

Together 22 years, no children, wife 50 (47 at separation), husband 48
No unjust enrichment, house in both names, sold and proceeds divided equally
Wife not worked since 1996, fibromyalgia, separated 2003, now student
Grade 12 equivalency, finished in 2008, then intention to do 3-year program
Husband married, 2 step-children, wife earns $47,000 as teacher
Husband earns $75,209, interim support $2,400/mo.
Guidelines range $2,004-$2,673, husband offers $1,800
Order for $2,250, review in 2008

Married 18 years, 3 children with wife, adults now (25, 24, 20)
Wife 50 (39 at separation), husband 53
Husband machinist, working in NWT, $100,000
Husband remarried, new wife not working, her 2 children (21/17)
Wife disabled (back), agreed to lump sum support of $20,000 and dismissal in 1994
Now on CPP Disability $8,600/year
Miglin analysis, 2nd stage, variation
Guidelines of “limited use”, range $2,057-$2,742, but prior agreement
$1,200 per month ordered, review in 2 years

Married 38 years, wife 57, husband 62, 2 adult children
Wife on CPP Disability, $6,960/yr., many medical problems, seeks $1,000/mo.
Husband retired from Armed Forces, $26,846, lives with new partner in her house
Recently resigned as rural mail carrier ($18,711/yr.)
$625 ordered, indefinite (range $621-$828)

Husband’s notice of appeal struck
Judge indicated would be guided by Advisory Guidelines, hearing adjourned
Parties returned with settlement, husband now appealing consent order
Trial judge could not have “misapplied” Guidelines

Married 27 years, wife 51 (49 at separation), husband 52, no children
Husband earns $32,500 as janitor
Wife receives $8,124 on CPP Disability
Range $775-$1,007, wife seeks $1,000, but lower incomes involved
Interim support of $600 ordered, based upon Mackinnon paper, 40% NDI to wife

Married 4 years, no children, wife 45 (43 at separation), husband 56
Husband disclosed, but then self-represented and no show at trial
Husband earns $45,000, wife $1,000/yr. (?), wife seeks indefinite support
Range $300-$325, for 52 months, $16,900 maximum
Husband paid $7,800 already interim, plus $600 arrears owing
Order $500/mo., review in one year, issues re wife’s employment efforts

Married 22 years, 7 children, separated 1983 when wife 47, husband 49
1983 separation agreement: child support $650, spousal support $650
1987 divorce order: support $750, then $650 spousal only after last child 19
Spousal support varied down to $225 in 1992, now husband applies to terminate
Wife lived on social assistance and support, now OAS/FIS $12,238
Husband earning $42,015, remarried 1987, wife earning $11,648, 16-year-old son
Change in circumstances, but no variation, $225/mo. continued plus $100 on arrears
Amount less than ranges under formula
(Range would be $819 to $1,092, indefinite)
(Or range could be $637 to $850, if husband’s income reduced for subsequent child)

Married 24 years, wife 48 (47 separation), husband 50, 2 children out of home
Husband claims spousal support
Husband earns $50,000 in construction, building own house, cash jobs, in-kind bonuses
Wife earns $87,000 as lab tech, blip in overtime, $60,000 without overtime
No entitlement to spousal support, no requirement of equalization under Guidelines
(Range $312 to $416, indefinite)

Married 33 years, children ?, income issues
Husband receiving WSIB grossed up to $40,000
No imputing income for failure to appeal unfavourable decision
No income imputed from alleged marijuana grow-op
Wife makes $14,300 from CPP disability, part-time work, investment income
Range stated by husband’s lawyer as $555 to $740, rejected as too low
Spousal support of $1,000 per mo. ordered, to equalize incomes
(Range actually $803 to $1,070, indefinite)

Married 32 years, 3 adult children, traditional marriage, wife 61 (59 separation)
Equal division of sizeable property
Interim spousal support since 2003 of $4,500/mo.
Range stated as $5,405 to $7,207
$5,500 ordered, indefinite, review at husband’s retirement

Together 14 months, married 8 months, husband 57, wife 46
Husband earns $154,885, wife nothing
Wife left job in Washington State, earning $47,000 U.S., unable to work in Canada
Husband wanted executive assistant and wife
Interim spousal support of $3,500/mo. plus rent-free in house (husband pays $2,200/mo.)
Wife relies upon “compensatory exception” in Guidelines

Toews v. Toews, 2005 CarswellAlta 1885 (Q.B.)(Cairns J.)
Married 34 years, 2 adult children, wife 58 (55 separation), husband 58
Husband runs air charter business, earns $100,000
Wife at home, did bookkeeping in business, now no income
Interim spousal support $1,200 plus home expenses (2002), $4,800/mo (2004)
Equal division of property
Spousal support of $3,500 indefinite, “well within the range”
(Range $3,125 to $4,166 indefinite)

Married 26 years, 2 children adults, wife 48 (46 separation), husband 50
Interim spousal support $1,500/mo.
Wife earns $18,050 driving school bus, etc.
Husband truck driver, income imputed, could work more, earn $60,000
Adopts ChequeMate mid-point, $1,531/mo., indefinite
(Range $1,311 to $1,748 indefinite)

Together 16 years (married 12)
Wife receives $46,190 from CPP and disability pension, cancer after separation
Husband earns $38,554 plus $20,500 imputed return on assets, for $59,054
Top end of range stated as $182, orders $200 indefinite (range $138 to $171)

Married 29 years, 2 adult children
1998 separation, spousal support $2,600, then $1,800 in 1999, then suspended
2002 order for $1,000/mo., terminating review order for 24 months
Wife earns $32,850 at WeightWatchers and dog sitting
Husband earns $50,000, disabled wife receives $6,000/year
Wife states range as $594 to $792 indefinite (range seems to be $536 to $714)
Spousal support continued, at $500/mo. indefinite

Married 24 ½ years, 3 grown children living with father, wife 46 (44 at separation), husband 52
Husband earns $63,000 as deputy sheriff, will retire at 57
Wife retrained as radiographer, recently started job at $55,000, student loans of $16,000
2003 do-it-yourself agreement valid, wife waives pension and spousal support claims
Pension waiver not “unfair”, Miglin applied, wife seeks $600/mo.
Advisory Guidelines considered, $250/mo. for 3 years, to assist wife to pay off loans
(Range $250-$333, indefinite)

Married 35 years, traditional marriage, 3 grown children, both spouses 55
Husband earns $80,000 as heavy equipment operator, wife not employed outside home
Husband paying debts $1,820/mo., mostly matrimonial debts (about $1,500/mo.)
Indefinite order, range stated as $2,331 to $3,107
Debt payments deducted from husband’s income
Spousal support of $1,600/mo. equalizes incomes after adjustment for debt payments

Together 7 years, married 4, no children
1998 separation, interim order for $1,200, then 2001 order for $840/mo.
Husband brain injured, receives CPP and WSIB (not-taxable), gross income $39,084  
Wife also brain injury (1982), other health problems, unemployable, no income  
Application by husband to terminate, by wife to increase, spousal support  
Support continued, not reduced from $840/mo.  
Ordinarily would terminate after 7 years, following Bracklow  
But not here as wife totally disabled and incident of violence by husband  
Advisory Guidelines not sufficient reason to vary downwards  
(Range would be $342 to $456, for 3.5 to 7 years)

Together 9 years (married 8), no children of marriage, wife 40 (39 at separation, husband 44  
Wife earns $17,000 in Victoria, one son of previous relationship  
Husband moved to Switzerland, earns $141,000 to $166,000  
Husband pays child support of $1,450 for a previous child  
Wife claims $4,500 interim spousal support, to equalize incomes  
Husband says $1,400-$1,800 based on Guidelines  
Entitlement, based on need and possibly compensation (left to trial)  
Quantum of interim support should bear some relationship to final order  
Interim support of $2,000/mo. ordered  
(Range if husband’s prior child support deducted and $166,000 income: $1,328-$1,770)  
(Range without deduction, $166,000: $1,676-$2,235)

Application to vary, 2004 order $1,800 spousal support, no details of marriage  
Husband’s income reduced from $80,000 to $43,710 upon retirement  
Wife no income, applications to CPP and LTD plan for disability  
Guidelines considered to reduce support to $1,275/mo.

Married 32 years, 2 adult children, wife 51  
Wife housecleaner part-time, earns $5,400  
Husband machine operator, earns $30,636, new partner  
Support fixed at $840/mo., 40% of gross income difference  
Based on husband’s low income, his payment of medical/drugs for wife, new partner  
(Range: $789-$1,051)

Together 19 years (married 6?), wife 36  
Husband earns $58,000, wife earns $13,000 maximum cleaning  
Wife entitled to non-compensatory support  
Low end of range would be $1,067 (high end $1,425)  
Wife seeks $1,000, granted  
Wife’s school program finished in 2-3 years, but no time limit, indefinite

Married 14 years, 2 children 24 and 22, with mother, not “children of marriage”  
Separation in June 1992, wife 39 then (52 now), relationship continued after separation  
Property reapportioned, home 72/28 to wife, husband’s pension 30% to wife  
No retroactive spousal or child support, previous agreements  
Wife earns $31,090, husband earned $86,262 in 2003 (2004 unusually high)  
Guidelines mentioned, spousal support of $1,000/mo., indefinite  
(Range by Divorcemate: $966-$1,287)

Married 34 years, 2 children  
Husband earns $420,000 from businesses, wife $10,800 in interest income  
Husband’s income fixed at “ceiling” of $250,000, wife imputed income of $50,000
Support of $7,000/mo., indefinite (40 per cent of gross income difference)

Married 35 years, 2 adult children, husband 57, wife 55
Husband earns $131,000, wife $51,000
Range $2,500-$3,333 (37.5 to 50 per cent), indefinite
Order for $3,000, to cease when husband retires and pension divided
To continue at 45 per cent of gross income difference

Married 24 years, 4 children, youngest unemployed and with mother
Wife earns $14,195 as bartender, husband earns $72,779 (est.)
2001 agreement: $669 child support, $1,331 spousal support, $2,000 “cap”
Range $1,831 to $2,491 if 2004 incomes used
Or $1,344 to $1,792 if estimated lower incomes for 2005 used
Order of $2,000 maintained on interim basis until trial

Married 22 years, 1 child 20 and independent
Wife says husband’s 2004 income $347,000, income at least $139,000
Wife’s income $101,800, says husband, at least $92,200
Order of $1,500 interim, “guided by” Guidelines
[Range estimated as $1,292 to $1,733]

Married 31 years, 1 child now 27
Wife homemaker, now educational assistant, earns $20,674
Husband earns $73,263, made voluntary non-deductible payments of $1,441/mo.
Range stated as $2,037 to $2,717, order for $2,250 interim

Married 17 years, wife 54 (49 at separation)
Entitled on compensatory (many moves and lost job) and non-compensatory grounds
Wife earns $13,000, working 35 hours per week
Husband earned $85,667 in 2004
Interim voluntary support of $1,500
Range $1,544 to $2,059, indefinite (rule of 65)
Husband’s new partner makes $56,000, reason to go higher than range
Order for $2,200, indefinite, plus $424/mo. for 10 years for equalization payment

Married plus cohabited 23 months, husband and wife both 48
Voluntary support of $5,400 total over 4 months, then $2,000 interim for 15 mos.
Wife disabled, CPP plus business $16,000
Husband earns $71,000 plus
Maximum guidelines range $224 for 23 months, or $5,152, vs. $35,400 paid
Husband paid enough, support terminated

Together/married for 10 years
Husband workers compensation $28,295 tax-free
Wife accident benefits and business $11,830 tax-free
Wife seeks $299 mid-point of range $256-$341
[Range $295-$393 if incomes properly grossed up]
Guidelines rejected and lower amount of $75/mo. ordered

Married 9 years, no children, wife 59 and husband 46 at separation, wife now 63
Husband earns $32,500 as machinist, but “self-employed”, so taxed on $10,000
Wife makes $10,000-$13,000 at deli
Guidelines range stated as $208-$278, “too low”, $500/mo. ordered, indefinite
(If husband’s income grossed up for tax position, range would be $367-$489)

Married 11 ½ years, wife 42 and husband 33 at separation
Wife disabled, husband paid $1,200 every 2 weeks under 2002 separation agreement
Now $1,264 with COLA plus drug coverage
Husband earned $89,500 in 2002, then $115,000 in 2004
Changed to less stressful job paying $90,700, application to vary to reduce
Husband paying unconsolidated debts, new common-law wife not working
Guideline ranges ($1,565-$2,086) no application because of agreement
No variation clause, no Miglin, no change in circumstances

Interim support, adjourned to trial, Guidelines don’t apply to entitlement
Married 25 years, parented sister’s children (now grown up)
Wife teacher, earns $80,000, assets of $359,000 plus pension and RRSPs
Husband engineer earns $200,000 (closer to $80,000 at separation), assets of $251,000

Interim support, married 8 years (plus cohabitation), no children
Husband 47, some medical problems, no income
Wife earns $54,000, range stated to be $888-$1184
Carr cited, $1,200 ordered,”at higher end”, encouraged to seek employment

Together 13 years, 12 married, no children, wife 47 at separation
Wife health problems, irritable bowel syndrome, depression, etc., unable to work
Husband corporal in Armed Forces, earns $57,300, cohabiting with partner and her 2 children
Range reported as $1,188-$1,584 (but actually $931-$1,241)
$1,000 per month, for 10 years (11 in total), ordered

Married 30 years, 4 grown children, wife homemaker, 50 at separation
Husband makes $101,000, wife earns $25,000 from own business
Variation, $500 ordered previously, on assumption husband paying $405,000 debt
Range $2,375-$3,166, used as “cross-check”
$2,000 ordered monthly, plus $65,000 retroactive lump sum

Married over 20 years (?), 1 child not “of marriage” in 4 mos., wife 49 at separation
Equal division of family assets, husband doctor makes $247,000
Wife no income, and no efforts
Range $6,175-$8,233 (stated to be $7,103-$9,470, higher than existing awards)
Much discussion of “income equalization”
$5,000 ordered, indefinite, review in 3 years

[appealed; see above under appellate decisions : S.C. v. J.C., [2006] N.B.J. No. 186]

Married 27 years, 2 grown children, husband $31,500, wife $12,000
Range $608-$815. $680, indefinite, ordered.
**C. The With Child Support Formula**

**Leading Decisions**

Together 24 years (22 married), both in 40’s, professionals, 2 children with mother  
Husband makes $125,000, wife makes $56,728  
Child support $1,470  
Range $745-$1,585, spousal support of $1,500 ordered, indefinite  
Extensive discussion of Guidelines, “consistent with the law in British Columbia”

Married 16 ½ years, wife 44 at separation, husband 38, 1 child (15) with wife  
Husband carpenter earns $35,893, wife works part-time at Walmart, earns $14,031
Child support $291
Guidelines range calculated by ChildView, zero to $224
$180 ordered, considering length of marriage, wife home for marriage, income difference
Duration fixed at 16½ years from separation, subject to variation

Married 16 years, 5 children with mother, husband $95,014, wife no income
Child support $2,085, plus some s. 7 expenses
Formula range stated as $794-$1,189, temporary spousal support of $1,000 ordered.

Married 12 years, 1 child 16 with husband, wife 42 (33 separation), husband 46
Wife mental illness, CPP disability and investments, $14,918, no child support
Husband in Armed Forces, retired 2004, new partner nurse
Husband receives $37,823, but $4,667 his share of divided pension, so $33,156
Husband applies to terminate $600/mo. spousal support
Reduced to $300/mo., disability exception considered, support for 3 more years (total 12)
(Range $198 to $264 under custodial payor, $253 to $337 if no pension deduction)

Other Trial Decisions [beginning with most recent]

Interim order
Married 9 or 10 years (date of separation in dispute); 2 children with wife
Wife has exclusive possession of matrimonial home
Husband income set at $1,296,430/yr (average of past 3 yrs)
Order for interim child support under guidelines of $15,291/mo
Wife submits advisory guideline range is $10,000 - $20,000/ mo (no details on calculation) and seeks lower end of range at $10,000/mo; no discussion of “ceiling” of $350,000.
Order for interim spousal support of $9,000/mo
[range if husband’s income at ceiling of $350,000: $7,667 - $9,219/mo]

Together 6 years (married 4); 1 child with wife; separation 2001
Interim order in 2003: child support $417/mo; spousal $1000/mo
Husband’s income $69,708/yr; child support $605/mo
Wife health issues and unable to work
Range under guidelines $1,377 - $1754/mo indefinite and subject to review when child is 12; but spousal support of $900/mo ordered subject to review when child turns 13
Order to leave husband with NDI of $2,852/mo and wife with $1857/mo; wife’s needs based on budget found to be at least $1830/mo; wife has obligation to become self-sufficient

Married 12 years; 2 children
Husband’s income between $54,000 and $55,000/yr; child support $750/mo
Wife earning $27,000/yr (in home daycare), some health issues
Seeking $300/mo spousal (giving her 58.2% NDI)
No spousal support awarded; reference to consistency with Spousal Support Guidelines [range zero to zero] With no spousal support wife stated to be at 54.8% NDI and husband 45.2% NDI [DivorceMate actually shows wife at 57% with no spousal]

Divorced 2002; one child now 11; 2002 spousal support order of $500/mo based on imputed income of $30,000 to wife and husband’s income of $56,000/yr
Variation application; husband’s income increased to $83,187/yr; wife now earning $24,351/yr
Child support increased to $660/mo
Spousal support increased to $750/mo; court declines to increase support as per “Check Mate” and Divorcemat calculations provided by counsel (no details given); concern’s wife’s income understated

[Ranges: using husband’s 2002 income ($56,000) and $30,000 (imputed) for wife: zero to $334; using husband’s 2006 income ($83,187) and $30,000 (imputed) for wife: $740 - $1,337 using actual 2006 incomes for both (husband $83,187, wife $24,351): $916 - $1540]

**Philip v. Philip,** 2006 CarswellOnt 1591 (Ont. S.C.J.) (Henderson J.)

Together 10 years (married 8); wife 2 children from previous marriage (only 1 remaining child of marriage) and 1 child of their own

Husband’s income $58,000/yr; child support for 2 children $799/mo, but deducts $200 CPP benefits older child receives as a result of death of her father

Wife’s income $20,200/yr ($15,100 employment and $5,100 CPP survivor benefits)

Spousal support of $650/mo; court “considered” many factors, including Advisory Guidelines and Check Mate calculations

[range assuming child support of $599: $227 - $646]


Married 21 years, separated in 1994; wife homemaker

4 children, with wife; 2 now grown

Husband earns $56,289/yr; wife retrained and earns $18,975/yr; wife in common law relationship

1996 order combined child and spousal support $3000/mo; 2002 order for $341/mo spousal support and $569/mo child support

Husband seeks variation of child support on basis of shared custody because children spending more time with him; does not meet s. 9 threshold of 40%.

Child support: table amount of $731/mo plus proportionate sharing of s.7 expenses in unspecified amount

No termination of spousal support; continued at $341/mo; fits within Advisory Guideline range stated as $202 - $591.

**Crewe v. Crewe,** 2006 CarswellOnt 772 (Ont.S.C.J.) (Sills J.)

Interim order, 1 child (3) with mother

Husband earns $67,185, child support $554/mo.

Wife student loans and bursaries, issue re inclusion in income

Wife seeks $1,564/mo. based on Guidelines

(Range estimated $1,220-$1,564, if her income $2,000/yr.)

Interim spousal support of $1,000/mo.


Married 6 years, together 7; 2 children (4 and 1 ½) with wife

Equal division of assets

Husband’s income $24,000; child support $378 as of May 1

Wife not employed

Advisory Guidelines range stated as $209-$349 [actually $147 to $284 based on May 1 changes to CSG]; wife seeks $600 per month

Court orders $100 per month given husband’s limited income and inability to pay even limited amount suggested by Guidelines

No reference to “floor” and discretion between payor incomes of $20,000 to $30,000


Together 6 years (married 5), 2 children (ages 3 and 1) with wife

Application to vary 2005 consent order for child and spousal support

Father employed in U.S., income in SCan set at $160,893 resulting in child support of $2,118

Mother registered nurse, moved back from U.S. after separation; presently earning $20,000 from 2 part-time jobs

Uses SSAG range of $2,568 to $3,411 spousal support (giving wife 53.7% to 58.2% NDI); duration indefinite but ceases when youngest child turns 18

Spousal support set at lower end of range ($2,568) because wife’s income will increase as children get older and should be allowed to do so within reason without support being affected.

Review after 5 years at instance of either party
Together 11 years (married 10 ½), 3 children 6, 5 and 5 with wife, husband 42, wife 44
Husband earns $47,838 at CN Rail in Ontario
Child support $899 plus s. 7(1)(f) expense contribution of $41
Interim spousal support paid for 2 years of $800, then $453/mo.
Wife at home during marriage, now earns $5,592 in retail part-time
Increasing to $10,296 in fall 2006 and $15,440 in fall 2007
Spousal support $600/mo. to Sept. 2006, $300 to Sept. 2007, then terminates
Wife argued for indefinite support until children finish high school
SSAG ranges (not taking into account s. 7 expenses, using Childview) if wife’s income $5,592: $23 to $260; if wife’s income $10,296: 0 to $71
Guidelines a useful cross-check but not law and don’t take into account Divorce Act factors and objectives

Married 25 years, daughter 19 in college living with husband, no child support by wife
Daughter 26 employed, 21-month-old child, living with wife
Wife earns $37,389 in Costco photo lab, husband earns $87,860 in sales
No interim spousal support, submissions under Advisory Guidelines “considered”
[Range under custodial payor formula: $1,055-$1,406 assuming zero child support]
Successful appeal of interim decision, unreported, Leask J., June 15, 2006, interim support of $700/mo ordered; less than SSAG because husband paying joint line of credit of $250/mo

Child 9 with wife, interim child support $625 plus $58 for child care
Husband earns $73,262, wife earns $29,289
Interim spousal support: wife seeks $750, husband offers $200/mo.
Guidelines range stated as $900 to $1,100 by court, but husband paying debts
Interim spousal support reduced to $700/mo.

Together 8 years (married 3 plus), child 5 with wife, husband 47, wife 48
Husband bipolar disorder, CPP disability, $11,520, no child support (table $111)
Wife earns $75,000, child care $200-$250/mo.
Interim spousal support of $750 paid for past 2 years
Custodial payor range rejected as “insufficient”, support ordered at $1,000 for 3 years
[Range estimated $473 to $630, for 4 to 8 years, order within global range by restructuring]

Married 28 years, 1 child 16 with husband
Wife earns $39,819, child support $342 plus $68 for Catholic school fees
Husband earns $63,000
Range $600 to $800, equalize standards of living, $700/mo., indefinite

(Master Keighley)
Married 22 years, wife 55 (53 at separation), husband 49, 1 child 16 with wife
Husband Canada Post supervisor, earns $54,000
Wife accountant/business, motor vehicle accident, income reduced, $16,250
Child support $469, interim spousal support $700/mo, within Guidelines range
(Range estimated at $316-$779)

Married 26 years (plus 1 cohab, traditional marriage, wife 52 (49 at separation)
4 children (22, 19, 18, 15), 3 in university, all 4 with mother
Husband RCMP sergeant, earns $98,800
Wife no income, former teacher, many moves with husband, no career
Child support $1,804, spousal support $1,700, indefinite, review in 3 years
Guidelines range $1,574-$2,021, order here also leaves 57% family NDI to wife

(Legere-Sers J.)
Married 13 years, 4 children (24, 22, 20, 18), separated 1993, wife 53 now (43 then)
Husband doctor, earns $294,735, remarried
Wife no income
Husband supported all four children, paying child and spousal totalling $52,000/yr.
Advisory Guidelines suggest 13 year maximum duration, hybrid formula
(Range $4,000 to $5,330, but self-sufficiency issues)
Support for 3 more years: $3,000/mo. 2006; $2,500/mo. 2007; $2,000/mo. 2008

Married 11 years, both 40, 1 child 11
Reapportionment of condominium 100% to wife
Husband engineering business, income $95,000
Child support $729, interim spousal support was $1,500/mo.
Wife doing Ph.D., one more year, 18 months time limited spousal support
$2,500 per month, considered Advisory Guidelines, leaves each with 50% NDI
Wife working in 2006, likely earning $32,400, seeks $1,000/mo. spousal support
Wife says range $562-$1,311, husband says $399-$857, $750 ordered

Variation of interim order, 3 children, now only 2 dependent, incomes increased
Husband now earns $89,388, wife earns $21,300
Child support $1,128 plus $2,000/yr. for s. 7 education expenses
Advisory Guidelines considered, for order of $1,400/mo.
(Range estimated: $1,061-$1,645)

Married 26 years, 2 children 18 (university) and 14 (high school), traditional marriage
Wife earns $23,300 as cashier, husband earns $99,500 CGA with Health Corp.
Child support: $728 table for younger
Husband pays $464 for older, based on budget after deduction of RESP
Guidelines range stated as $1,570-$2,256, “not totally realistic”
(Range actually $1,297-$1,890)
Husband offers $1,000/mo., informal interim amount $900
Order for $1,200 indefinite ($1,000 adjusted up for tax)

Married 21 years (25 years together), 3 children 20, 18 and 14
Youngest child with wife, other 2 in college/university
Child support $1,314 (3) plus 70% of $8,000 university expenses
Husband federal civil servant $78,236
Property divided, house reapportioned 60/40 to wife
Wife earned $34,500 in 2004, 2005 health problems, surgery
Wife working in 2006, likely earning $32,400, seeks $1,000/mo. spousal support
Wife says range $562-$1,311, husband says $399-$857, $750 ordered

Married 23 years, 3 children 10, 19 and 13, with wife, child support $2,507/mo.
Husband earns $172,000, wife no income, $30,000 imputed
Range $2,627-$3,441, interim spousal support $3,250

One child, 7, with wife, child support $648
Husband $81,000, wife $25,000 imputed, range $1,078-$1,684
Interim spousal support $1,200

*Verscheure v. Verscheure*, 2006 CarswellOnt 832 (S.C.J.) (Marshman J.)
Together 11 years (10 married), 2 children
Wife little employment effort in 5 years, no income
Husband earns $240,060
Child support $2,698 til Sept./05, then children with husband
Spousal support paid for 5 years already
Custodial payor range $2,469-$3,292
Interim order $3,750 for 6 months, $3,000 for 6 mos., then $2,500 til trial

Married 8 years, 2 children 8 and 4, shared custody
Husband earns $197,500
Child support agreed, 2/3 of table amount $1,466
Wife living with new partner, no income
Interim spousal $6,650/mo., husband’s income reduced by 47% since
Husband proposes $3,525, range $4,541-$5,458, order for low end, $4,700

Married 22 years (plus one year cohabitation), husband and wife 43 (41 at separation)
3 children: 22, 20, 14, 2 youngest with wife
Husband Armed Forces, earns $63,948
Child support $846 (2) for 7 mos., then $527 (1)
Wife taking residential care course for 7 mos. at community college
Spousal support indefinite, review in 4 years when last child finishes high school
Wife earns $12,480 part-time, then $27,967 full-time after course finished
Range calculated by “arithmetic”, uses “difference” in net incomes
Order for $604, then $378/mo.
(Range for full-time: $624-$1,030 (2 children, part-time); $321-$813 (1, full-time))

Married 10 years, 2 children 10 and 7 with husband
Husband earns $95,626
Wife no income, previously earned $22,620, that amount imputed
Range $660-$880, “too low”, orders interim support of $1,000/mo.
(But wife not paying child support, table amount on imputed income would have been $318)
(Custodial payor range if wife’s income taken as zero: $874-$1,165)

Married 22 years, wife 43, husband 49
3 children, one with wife (age 14), 2 with husband (21/18)
Husband receives $50,700 workers’ compensation and CPP Disability
CPP payments to children too, husband paying debts
Wife no income, in mortgage-free family home
Counsel agree Advisory Guidelines “not of assistance”
Spousal support $850/mo. (Range: $776-$966)

Married 19 years, husband 42, wife 41 (40 separation)
4 children, 19, 19, 17, 15, with husband
Wife in Winnipeg with her parents, receiving $8,890/yr. social assistance
Husband earns $57,876 as manager of early childhood centre
Property divided, but home and substantial debts to husband
Custodial payor range, $836-$1,115, 9.5 to 19 years
Wife’s social assistance not to be included in her income
Compensatory claim after traditional marriage, wife with health problems, unemployed
Mid-point of range, $975/mo., review in 2 years as to wife’s self-sufficiency efforts
No reduction for debts, as considered in property division

Together 5 years (4 married), 2 children 8 and 6 (special needs), wife 32 (27 separation)
Husband earns $43,500 seasonal
Child support $579/mo.
No undue hardship for access costs to St. John’s, $2,650/yr. or $220/mo.
Wife student, on social assistance, seeks spousal support 4 years after separation
Range stated as $329 to $546, but no spousal support ordered because of access costs
Duration not an issue, but likely time limit attached

Together 18 years (married 13), 4 children age 7 to 18, with wife, wife 37
Husband works in family company, minority shareholder, earns $152,542
Farm losses deducted at interim stage, bonuses to be issue at trial
Child support $2,683/mo., no undue hardship from debt
Range $2,124 to $2,943, interim support of $2,000 ordered
Husband paying $5,100 per month to purchase shares (debt exception?)

Married 7 years (together 9), 1 child 16, separated 1996
Child moved from mother to father in 2002
Husband owns fast food franchises, now remarried, earns $235,000
Wife worked as chef, earned $18-$20,000, could earn $30,000, no child support
Husband paid spousal support $3,500/mo for 9 years
Time limits in Guidelines considered, 6 more months of $3,500/mo.
(Range $2,306 to $3,075, 4.5 to 9 years)

Married 20 years, 2 children 18 and 16 (plus 1 independent), wife 47 (45 separation)
Husband 49, earns $111,502 as business executive
Wife self-employed writer, earns $7,500, could earn $12,000
Child support $1,336
Spousal support range $2,103 to $2,627, $2,300 ordered, indefinite

Married 22 years, twin girls 18, with husband in home, traditional marriage
Husband earns $54,303 for Nfld. Broadcasting
Wife moved back with mother, earns $6,883, no child support
Range $914 to $1,218, husband paying debts and expenses on home til sold
Interim spousal support $900/mo.

1 young child with mother, but liberal access
Wife earns $20,650, husband earns $104,340
Child support $387, Advisory Guidelines argued
Interim spousal support of $1,500/mo.

Interim interim decision, 2 teenagers
Husband earns $127,286, wife $66,640
Guidelines used, spousal support of $1,000 ordered
No child support stated, but table $1,524/mo.
(Range $214 to $1,177)
Married 25 years, 2 children 22 and 18, students, wife 53 (48 at separation), husband 56
Husband earns $310,000 as anaesthetist, pays $60,000 for all children’s expenses
Wife trained as podiatrist, once earned $43,000, but little now
Separated 2000, wife cashed in RRSPs of $108,000, received $20,000 interim lump sum
Then interim spousal support of $2,500 for 19 months
Advisory Guidelines “not helpful” “in complex circumstances of this case”
Spousal support of $6,000/mo. ordered, for 5 years (i.e. 10 years total) while rebuild practice
(Custodial payor formula range, if $40,000 imputed to wife: $5,363 to $7,150)

Together 17 years (15 married), 2 children 18 and 15, equal shared custody
Husband’s income $244,000
Interim child support $2,170, spousal support $2,500
Child support $1,500, plus 100% s. 7 expenses (vs. table amount for 1, $1,891; for 2, $2,978)
Older child in university, summer employment with father, part-time job
Entitlement to spousal support, wife at home later in marriage, health issues
Wife previously loan office and assistant bank manager, failed businesses after separation
Claim for $5,000 to $6,000 based upon Guidelines
Budget of $7,835, cut down to $3,200 and spousal support of $3,200 awarded
(Range, at full table amount for 2: $5,695-$6,773)

Together 24 years (married 7), 2 children 16 and 13, with wife
Master ordered interim child support $750, spousal support $500, spousal appealed
Wife earns $25,000, claims husband earns $68,589
Husband claims on appeal that earns only $50,000
Husband’s income fixed at $60,000, Advisory Guidelines range stated as $213-$721
Interim spousal support reduced to $300
(Range at $60,000: $29-$543)

Together 6 years (married 3), 1 child 8 months, with wife
Husband earns $70,000, child support $572, plus $75/week child care
Wife 25 years old, student, only income Ontario Student Assistance Program ($9,800?)
Range stated as $975-$1500, $1,200 ordered on interim basis

Together 7 years, married 4, 2 children 9 and 6 (mild cerebral palsy), with wife
Husband lives with partner and her two children (she earns $29,200)
Husband construction worker, income issues, income $41,243
Wife finished business course, thinks job possible at $26,000
Child support $551, plus 50% s. 7 expenses
Interim spousal support $700 when no income
Entitlement, but no ability to pay using Guidelines and ChildView
(If wife earns $26,000, zero support, wife has 50% INDI)

Together 16 years (married 13), wife 49 at separation, 2 children
Husband earns $106,000, cohabiting, wife earns $29,000 as care aide (casual)
Reapportionment of equity in matrimonial home to wife, $49,000
Child support $1,282
Range $1,400-$2,050 indefinite
Compensatory claim, but reduced for reapportionment
Support of $1,700 mid-range, indefinite
Married 12 years, one child age 9
Husband earns $39,012, child support $339/mo.
Wife has no income, but could earn $12,000 annually starting January 2006
Guidelines extensively criticized at paras. 17 to 25, not used
Hearing adjourned for detailed evidence of needs, ability to pay, other factors
[Range: $706-$885 if zero income for wife, $122-$370 if $12,000]

Married 10 years, wife 54 (52 at separation), one child 16 with father
Romantic relationship for 6 years before marriage, lived in same house
while wife still married to first husband
But not count as cohabitation for pension division
Wife homemaker, pension income from divided pensions of $6,540/yr.
Husband earns $80,762 from job and pensions, promised to take care of wife
Guidelines rejected, no time limits, “significant dependency”, larger amount
Indefinite order, $2,100 per month
[Custodial payor range: for 10 years, $755-$1,007, 5 to 10 years
for 16 years, $1,208-$1,611, indefinite as rule of 65 applies, disability exception?]

Married 13 years, wife 45 at separation, 1 child of marriage, 16, with wife
Husband’s 2 children of previous marriage now 25 and 20, wife as stepmother
Interim orders: child support $703, spousal $1,000
Wife teacher’s assistant, earns $24,000
Husband crane operator, earned $103,882 in 2004, says $92,300 in 2005
Wife sought $2,000/mo., indefinite
Time limit preferred and Guidelines considered, 8 more years to be paid (11 years in all)
At higher income, range would be $1,365 - $2,025
Order for $1,000 as income used for range too high and “other discrepancies”
Income sharing at those ranges also beyond reasonable expectations of parties
(Range at $92,300, adjusted down for his prior child support obligation, $494-$1085)

E.C. v. N.B., unreported decision (Que.S.C.)(Marcelin J.)
Husband in Connecticut, did not appear, earns $131,900 Cdn.
Wife on social assistance with 3 children, 2 in school, 1 pre-school, 1 with special needs
Wife also cared for husband’s 2 children of first marriage
Child support $1,772/mo.
Advisory Guidelines considered, along with needs and means, spousal support of $1,050

[appealed; see G.V. v. C.G., [2006] J.Q. no. 5231 (Que. C.A.) under appellate decisions, above]

Together 16 years (married 13), 2 children 19 and 13, oldest not “child of marriage”
Child with wife, wife 45 at trial (39 at separation)
Wife cashier at Walmart, health problems, earns $20,401
Husband Canada Post, health problems, earns $44,904
Child support $387 (1), husband paid all matrimonial debts
Range $111 - $293, request for $200 by wife
$150 ordered, due to husband’s payment of debts, expenses, equalization payment

M.A.C. c. S.H., No. 700-12-034289-041, August 26, 2005 (Que.S.C.) (Wery J.)
Married 19 years, 4 children, now 2 with mother
Husband works construction, income attributed at $60,000
Wife cohabited for past year, husband cohabiting more recently
Child support $975, spousal support at $830 mid-point of range, indefinite
Married 23 years, 2 children 25 and 22, wife 43 at separation (50 now)
1998 separation agreement: $210 spousal, $390 child (1)
Wife disabled since 1984, arthritis, CPP disability
Child support from Sept. 2003 to Dec. 2005 graduation, table plus s. 7 expenses
Husband’s 2005 income $65,209 (3 year average), construction, $62,132 in 2004
*With child support* range presented as $997 - $1,357 at $62,132
*(Without child support* range $1,292 - $1,723 at $62,132, $1,381 - $1,841 at $65,209)*
$1,200 ordered

Together 4 ½ years, 1 child 6 with wife, wife 36 at separation
Husband now disabled, off job, but no evidence, 2004 income $65,318
Child support $545, plus $332 for s. 7 expenses
Wife clerical job $12,486, now cohabiting, seeks spousal for past 18 months
Unable to use Guidelines because of changing incomes (?), $600/mo. ordered
Child and spousal support used to offset husband’s equity in home

Interim support, together 30 years, married 28 years, 2 children 18 and 15 with wife
Husband earns $92,495, no deduction for loss on hobby business
Child support $1,208 plus s. 7 expenses for tuition and books at community college
Wife earns $39,500 as clerk, works 87% due to medical problems
$1,000/mo. at “upper end” of Guidelines range (estimated at $580-$1163)

Married 19 years, 3 children with wife
Proceeds of sale of matrimonial home reapportioned 55/45 to wife
Husband earned $68,590, now working part-time for $37,700 and pursuing pilot’s licence
Wife earns $6,000 as substitute teacher
Full income imputed to husband, child support $1,182
Range: $606-$919, $650 ordered, indefinite, review in 24 months (wife sought lower end)

Married 21 years, children 21 and 15, with wife, wife 43 at separation
1999 settlement: child support $1,300, spousal $3,000 and $24,000 lump sum
Husband health problems, working part-time, earns $58,164 ($108,000 before)
Wife many health problems, university and teacher’s degree not reasonable plan, no income
Child support reduced to $795
Guidelines “in the range of $1,400/month” (Range by Divorcemate: $1,049-$1,287)
Spousal support of $2,000 ordered, reviewed in 18 months and $25,000 then imputed to wife

Together 15 years (married 12), wife 36 at separation
2 children, 12 and 8, younger with learning disability and in private school
Equal division of family assets
Child support $2,286 plus 80/20 split of s. 7 expenses ($25,694/year)
Husband makes $207,432 in family business
Wife returned to film school, paid by husband, could earn $30-$40,000 as animator
Guidelines “not law”, spousal support of $2,500 ordered
(Range by Divorcemate: $3,941-$4,897 if wife no income, $3,432-$4,539 if $30,000)

Together 21 years (married 19), wife 41 at separation
Split custody: 19 year old with wife, 17 year old with husband
Husband in Armed Forces, makes $56,000, cohabiting with partner and her 4 children
Wife personal care worker, makes $22,200
Child support: $455 - $160 = $295, plus $2000/year for university expenses
Spousal support $300/mo., for 5 years, “generally in accord with Advisory Guidelines”
(Range by Divorcemate: $488-$834)

Married 16 years, 4 children, 1 still dependent, with wife, wife 47 at separation
Husband owns car dealership, makes $150,000
Child support $1,108
Wife’s income $51,000 ($36,000 on investments, plus $15,000 imputed minimum wage)
Range $1,597-$2,680, spousal support of $2,000 ordered
Roughly equal standards of living, wife’s lower housing costs

Together 5 years (married 4), 1 child 5 years old with cerebral palsy
Husband earns $153,000 Cdn equivalent, in U.S. computer business
Child support $1,122, plus $843/year for s. 7 expenses
Range $3,732-$4,507, “simply not realistic”, uses budget analysis
Spousal support of $2,000/mo. plus taxes ordered (approx. $2,416)

Married 14 years, 2 children, 16 and 14, with mother
Interim order: child support $1,049; spousal support $850
Husband’s income $90,900 at Inco, wife $34,500 in retail
Order for child support $1,146 and spousal support $1,000
Range $611-$1,237

Long traditional marriage, wife 55, one child in university and living at home
Income imputed to lawyer husband in Saskatchewan, $80,000
Child support $609, no s. 7 expenses, as student earned $15,000
Formula calculated by arithmetic, 43% INDI as $1,685, indefinite
Restructured to lump sum, 10 years support, discount rate, tax, to $102,100
(Range by Divorcemate: $1,891-$2,290, hybrid university formula: $1,650-$2,200)

Married 22 years, 2 children with wife 17 and 16
Husband earns $49,239 as farmer, wife earns $15,300
Child support $650
Formula calculated by arithmetic, range zero to $228, $350 ordered
(Range by Divorcemate: zero to $295)

Married 4 years, 2 children 10 and 3, with wife
Husband makes $83,945, wife $10,210 part-time
Child support $1,013 plus $163 for day care
Formula calculated by arithmetic, range reported as $650-$925
Spousal of $400 ordered, as requested by wife, review in October 2007
(Range by Divorcemate: $839-$1,416)

Together 9 years (married 7), one child age 8, wife 32 at separation
Husband $57,000 in military, wife $5,700 employment insurance
Both formulas applied, with and without child support, as “check”
Formula calculated by arithmetic, low end of range reported as $616. $616 ordered.
(Range by Divorcemate: $793-$1,133)
Together 12 years (married 11), custody in dispute, 2 children placed in husband’s custody
Husband makes $50,000, wife now cohabiting and no income
Wife seeks $500 per month for 14 months as spousal support
Wife’s request less than guidelines, so support ordered as requested.
(Hybrid formula: $554-$738, for 6-12 years)

Married 15 years, 2 children 14 and 12, wife 36 at separation
2001 order: $1,031 child support, $1,500 spousal support
2 children now with father
Wife makes $14,000, i.e. child support of $211
Wife still to receive $1,500 spousal, indefinite
Discussion of guidelines, but unable to do calculations without software
(Hybrid formula: $894-$1,193 for 7.5 to 15 years)

Married 23-plus years, 3 children, 1 left with wife
Husband makes $50,000, wife laid off, $10,000 imputed
No child support, shared custody agreement
Guidelines cited in discussion on entitlement
$800 interim spousal support ordered
(Range by Divorcemate: $1,150-$1,533 indefinite)

Application to vary, husband’s income reduced, wife’s increased
Child support adjusted, but spousal left unchanged at $1,500 per mo.
Support still within range computed using guidelines (no details given)
Appendix II
CASE SUMMARIES BY PROVINCE
[Updated to June 20, 2006]

Alberta

(i) The Without Child Support Formula

Together 6 years, “adult interdependent partners”
Husband earns $103,000, pays $15,600/yr. in prior spousal support (exception)
Wife earns $27,216, gross income difference $60,184
Range $451-$602, for 3-6 years, global amount $16,250-$43,332
Detailed explanation of Guidelines and restructuring
No compensatory exception, no debt payment exception
Orders $2,000/mo. for 9 mos., $1,000/mo. for 9 mos., $500 for 7 mos., total $29,500

Toews v. Toews, 2005 CarswellAlta 1885 (Q.B.)(Cairns J.)
Married 34 years, 2 adult children, wife 58 (55 separation), husband 58
Husband runs air charter business, earns $100,000
Wife at home, did bookkeeping in business, now no income
Interim spousal support $1,200 plus home expenses (2002), $4,800/mo. (2004)
Equal division of property
Spousal support of $1,200/mo. indefinite, “well within the range”
(Range $1,125 to $4,166 indefinite)

Married 35 years, traditional marriage, 3 grown children, both spouses 55
Husband earns $80,000 as heavy equipment operator, wife not employed outside home
Husband paying debts $1,820/mo., mostly matrimonial debts (about $1,500/mo.)
Indefinite order, range stated as $2,331 to $3,107
Debt payments deducted from husband’s income
Spousal support of $1,600/mo. equalizes incomes after adjustment for debt payments

Married 35 years, 2 adult children, husband 57, wife 55
Husband earns $131,000, wife $51,000
Range $2,500-$3,333 (37.5 to 50 per cent), indefinite
Order for $3,000, to cease when husband retires and pension divided
To continue at 45 per cent of gross income difference

Interim support, adjourned to trial, Guidelines don’t apply to entitlement
Married 25 years, parented sister’s children (now grown up)
Wife teacher, earns $80,000, assets of $359,000 plus pension and RRSPs
Husband engineer earns $200,000 (closer to $80,000 at separation), assets of $251,000

Married 8 years, no children
Husband union representative, earns $93,000
Wife previously earned $36-$60,000, temporarily unemployed
Order for $1,500 per month, review in 6 months
Range stated to be $795-$1,060, but doubts about “real merit” of guidelines
Correct range $930-$1,240

Married 30 years, 2 adult children, wife 49 at separation
Interim order by consent for $2,200 per month, then reduced to $1,100
Wife earns $33,500 as clerk
Husband’s income as heavy equipment operator fluctuates, $80,000 estimated
Guidelines “a useful tool”
Range: $1,453-$1,937. $1450 ordered, indefinite, review in 2 years

Guidelines argued by wife’s lawyer, husband’s income $1,266,000
29 year marriage, 4 grown children, income imputed to wife
Discussion of guidelines, but this above $350,000 ceiling, $9,900 ordered

Interim spousal support, 28 year marriage, 3 adult children, wife 51
Husband makes at least $150,000, wife $9,300 disability
Without child support formula explicitly used
Range $4,397-$5,863. $5,000 ordered.
General reference to use of guidelines as “cross-check”

(ii) The With Child Support Formula

Married 25 years, 2 children 22 and 18, students, wife 53 (48 at separation), husband 56
Husband earns $310,000 as anaesthetist, pays $60,000 for all children’s expenses
Wife trained as podiatrist, once earned $43,000, but little now
Separated 2000, wife cashed in RRSPs of $108,000, received $20,000 interim lump sum
Then interim spousal support of $2,500 for 19 months
Advisory Guidelines “not helpful” “in complex circumstances of this case”
Spousal support of $6,000/mo. ordered, for 5 years (i.e. 10 years total) while rebuild practice
(Custodial payor formula range, if $40,000 imputed to wife: $5,363 to $7,150)

Together 17 years (15 married), 2 children 18 and 15, equal shared custody
Husband’s income $244,000
Interim child support $2,170, spousal support $2,500
Child support $1,500, plus 100% s. 7 expenses (vs. table amount for 1, $1,891; for 2, $2,978)
Older child in university, summer employment with father, part-time job
Entitlement to spousal support, wife at home later in marriage, health issues
Wife previously loan office and assistant bank manager, failed businesses after separation
Claim for $5,000 to $6,000 based upon Guidelines
Budget of $7,835, cut down to $3,200 and spousal support of $3,200 awarded
(Range, at full table amount for 2: $5,695-$6,773)

Married 12 years, one child age 9
Husband earns $39,012, child support $339/mo.
Wife has no income, but could earn $12,000 annually starting January 2006
Guidelines extensively criticized at paras. 17 to 25, not used
Hearing adjourned for detailed evidence of needs, ability to pay, other factors
[Range: $706-$885 if zero income for wife, $122-$370 if $12,000]

Married 13 years, wife 45 at separation, 1 child of marriage, 16, with wife
Husband’s 2 children of previous marriage now 25 and 20, wife as stepmother
Interim orders: child support $703, spousal $1,000
Wife teacher’s assistant, earns $24,000
Husband crane operator, earned $103,882 in 2004, says $92,300 in 2005
Wife sought $2,000/mo., indefinite
Time limit preferred and Guidelines considered, 8 more years to be paid (11 years in all)
At higher income, range would be $1,365 - $2,025
Order for $1,000 as income used for range too high and “other discrepancies”
Income sharing at those ranges also beyond reasonable expectations of parties
(Range at $92,300, adjusted down for his prior child support obligation, $494-$1085)

Interim support, together 30 years, married 28 years, 2 children 18 and 15 with wife
Husband earns $92,495, no deduction for loss on hobby business
Child support $1,208 plus s. 7 expenses for tuition and books at community college
Wife earns $39,500 as clerk, works 87% due to medical problems
$1,000/mo. at “upper end” of Guidelines range (estimated at $580-$1163)
British Columbia

(i) Appellate Decisions

Married 35 years, one grown child, husband 63 at trial, wife 61.
Family assets divided equally, trial judge found no entitlement to spousal support
Entitlement found on appeal, both compensatory and non-compensatory grounds
Engineer husband retired early in 1997, as wife transferred to Manitoba in federal government
No issue as to duration, as husband only claiming support until wife retired at 65
Husband ‘s income $37,600, wife makes $75,000
Guidelines range under without child support formula: $1,190 to $1,580
Guidelines “a useful tool to assist judges”, “intended to reflect the current law” citing W. v. W.
Extensive discussion of Guidelines issues, not evidence but part of counsels’ submissions
Support fixed at $1,100, to reflect wife’s “expenses relating to employment (including clothing, transportation expenses and significant compulsory employee deductions)”

Married 16 years, wife now 52 (47 at separation), husband now 47, traditional marriage
Two children, 20 and 21, “deemed independent”, direct support from husband
Wife earns $25,000 part-time retail, income imputed of $30,000
Husband estimated to earn $343,000 in computer software sales
Payment by husband of $95,850 to reapportion property
Was paying $1,589 child support and $4,000 spousal to wife
Chambers judge ordered diminishing time-limited support for 3 more years: $6,000/mo. year 1, $4,000 year 2, $2,000 year 3, then zero, to encourage self-sufficiency
No time limit, only partial compensation, indefinite order substituted, subject to review if husband’s medical condition (legal blindness) interferes with income
Amount fixed at $6,000, Guidelines range stated as $6,300 to $8,500 for 8 to 16 years
Lower than range because of reapportionment order
(Without child support range: $6,260-$8,347, if incomes as stated)
[Custodial payor formula range (no child support from wife): $4,712-$6,283]

Married 12 years (plus one year cohab), 2 children 15 and 13, with wife
Wife earns $30,000, now 55 (49 at separation), husband earns $177,000
Wife challenging marriage agreement property division, 78 per cent to husband
Child support $1,995, wife also entitled to spousal support
Range $3,037-$4,015, $3,500/mo. ordered
With these support amounts, marriage agreement not unfair

Married 10 years, no children, husband 64, wife 41
Interim support $2,300/mo., varied to $2,400 in April 2003
Wife health problems, but will recover, poor English
Husband earned $70,000, but now retired, only $42,000
At trial: support varied to $1,500, indefinite
On appeal: too onerous, so time limited, step-down
$1,200/mo. to Sept. 2006, then $1,000/mo. to Sept. 2009, support paid 6 ½ years
Range $525-$700, 5 to 10 years [within global range on restructuring]

(ii) The Without Child Support Formula

Together 18 years (10 married), wife 61, husband 75, each 4 grown children
Equal division of house
Wife receives CPP $3,780/yr., increased to $12,780 for interest income
Husband receives pension income $37,575
Range $760-$1,013, but spousal support of $500/mo. ordered
(Range $552-$736 if wife’s income is $12,780)
Husband left with house expenses and mortgage to pay equalisation
Spousal support lower to maintain comparable standards of living (?)

26 year marriage; parties married in Peru, 2 grown children
Interim spousal support of $1000/mo
Husband earns $58,000; income imputed to be $60,000; paying $500 per month on mutual debt of $50,000 and income tax debt of $150 per month; willing to pay $600 per month spousal
Wife, with limited English language skills and poor health, on social assistance; had worked full-time as daycare worker ten years until injured in 2003; income $6120 on social assistance but court imputes income of $9,000 based on part-time work at $9/hr
SSAG range of $1594 to $2125 considered; spousal support set at $1200/mo (i.e. below range) reflecting husband’s debt payments and wife’s potential to increase earning capacity
Order gives wife 1/3 of husband’s disposable income, husband 1/3, and 1/3 for debt.

Married 27 years (plus some prior cohabitation), 4 adult children, wife 48 at separation
Husband earns $54,307, logging
Wife returned to work, now employed on 1-year contract, $21,575
Spousal support of $1,200/mo. ordered in 2005, now being reviewed
Range $1,029 to $1,370, spousal support ordered at $1,000/mo., indefinite, no review

Married 25 years, 2 adult children, wife 52, husband 58, separated 2002
Husband earns $53,000, paying family debts
Wife earns $12,000, caring for her mother, receiving payment, husband says impute $22,000
Range: $1,200 to $1,600 ($12,000), $900 to $1,200 ($22,000)
Spousal support of $1,200 ordered, indefinite

Together 11 years (married 7 ½), husband adopted mother’s one child, now 20
2002 separation agreement: $650 spousal support plus child support, wife then 43
Husband remarried, he earns $95,765, new wife earns $40,000, her 2 children
Wife trained as hair stylist, hand injury, now retail sales, earns $21,027
Range $1,028-$1,370, 5.5 to 11 years
Spousal support increased to $1,000/mo., for another 4 years (total 8)

Married 23 years, 3 adult children (2 living with wife), wife 53 (46 at separation)
Variation application, 2003 order $1,375/mo., plus $200/mo./child in university
2003: husband earning $67,500, wife student income $9,800
Husband now earns $72,500 for Ministry of Forests, remarried, U.S. wife no income
Wife got B.A., but then part-time employment, earns $28,000
Order varied to $1,200/mo. considering original order, Guidelines as “cross-check”
(Range $1,280-$1,706 indefinite)

Married 22 years, husband 44, wife 42, 2 children 22 and 18
One child home with mother, retroactive child support only
Reapportionment 60/40 to wife, so lower end of spousal support range
Husband draftsman, earns $54,000, wife no income, but $15,000 imputed
Range low to medium $1,485-$1,733, or $1,073-$1,251 if wife earns $15,000
Order for $1,100, indefinite

Married 18 years, 3 children with wife, adults now (25, 24, 20)
Wife 50 (39 at separation), husband 53
Husband machinist, working in NWT, $100,000
Husband remarried, new wife not working, her 2 children (21/17)
Wife disabled (back), agreed to lump sum support of $20,000 and dismissal in 1994
Now on CPP Disability $8,600/year
*Miglin* analysis, 2nd stage, variation
Guidelines of “limited use”, range $2,057-$2,742, but prior agreement
$1,200 per month ordered, review in 2 years

Married 24 years, wife 48 (47 separation), husband 50, 2 children out of home
Husband claims spousal support
Husband earns $50,000 in construction, building own house, cash jobs, in-kind bonuses
Wife earns $87,000 as lab tech, blip in overtime, $60,000 without overtime
No entitlement to spousal support, no requirement of equalization under Guidelines
(Range $312 to $416, indefinite)

Married 24 ½ years, 3 grown children living with father, wife 46 (44 at separation), husband 52
Husband earns $63,000 as deputy sheriff, will retire at 57
Wife retrained as radiographer, recently started job at $55,000, student loans of $16,000
2003 do-it-yourself agreement valid, wife waives pension and spousal support claims
Pension waiver not “unfair”, *Miglin* applied, wife seeks $600/mo.
Advisory Guidelines considered, $250/mo. for 3 years, to assist wife to pay off loans
(Range $250-$333, indefinite)

Together 14 months, married 8 months, husband 57, wife 46
Husband earns $154,885, wife nothing
Wife left job in Washington State, earning $47,000 U.S., unable to work in Canada
Husband wanted executive assistant and wife
Interim spousal support of $3,500/mo. plus rent-free in house (husband pays $2,200/mo.)
Wife relies upon “compensatory exception” in Guidelines

Together 9 years (married 8), no children of marriage, wife 40 (39 at separation), husband 44
Wife earns $17,000 in Victoria, one son of previous relationship
Husband moved to Switzerland, earns $141,000 to $166,000
Husband pays child support of $1,450 for a previous child
Wife claims $4,500 interim spousal support, to equalize incomes
Husband says $1,400-$1,800 based on Guidelines
Entitlement, based on need and possibly compensation (left to trial)
Quantum of interim support should bear some relationship to final order
Interim support of $2,000/mo. ordered
(Range if husband’s prior child support deducted and $166,000 income: $1,328-$1,770)
(Range without deduction, $166,000: $1,676-$2,235)

Married 14 years, 2 children 24 and 22, with mother, not “children of marriage”
Separation in June 1992, wife 39 then (52 now), relationship continued after separation
Property reapportioned, home 72/28 to wife, husband’s pension 30% to wife
No retroactive spousal or child support, previous agreements
Wife earns $31,090, husband earned $86,262 in 2003 (2004 unusually high)
Guidelines mentioned, spousal support of $1,000/mo., indefinite
(Range by Divorcemate: $966-$1,287)

Interim support, married 8 years (plus cohabitation), no children
Husband 47, some medical problems, no income
Wife earns $54,000, range stated to be $888-$1184
*Carr* cited, $1,200 ordered,”at higher end”, encouraged to seek employment
Married 30 years, 4 grown children, wife homemaker, 50 at separation
Husband makes $101,000, wife earns $25,000 from own business
Variation, $500 ordered previously, on assumption husband paying $405,000 debt
Range $2,375-$3,166, used as “cross-check”
$2,000 ordered monthly, plus $65,000 retroactive lump sum

Married over 20 years (?), 1 child not “of marriage” in 4 mos., wife 49 at separation
Equal division of family assets, husband doctor makes $247,000
Wife no income, and no efforts
Range $6,175-$8,233 (stated to be $7,103-$9,470, higher than existing awards)
Much discussion of “income equalization”
$5,000 ordered, indefinite, review in 3 years

(ii) The With Child Support Formula

Married 6 years, together 7; 2 children (4 and 1 ½) with wife
Equal division of assets
Husband’s income $24,000; child support $378 as of May 1
Wife not employed
Advisory Guidelines range stated as $209-$349 [actually $147 to $284 based on May 1 changes to CSG]; wife seeks
$600 per month
Court orders $100 per month given husband’s limited income and inability to pay even limited amount suggested by
Guidelines
No reference to “floor” and discretion between payor incomes of $20,000 to $30,000

Married 25 years, daughter 19 in college living with husband, no child support by wife
Daughter 26 employed, 21-month-old child, living with wife
Wife earns $37,389 in Costco photo lab, husband earns $87,860 in sales
No interim spousal support, submissions under Advisory Guidelines “considered”
[Range under custodial payor formula: $1,055-$1,406 assuming zero child support]
Successful appeal of interim decision, unreported, Leask J., June 15, 2006, interim support of $700/mo ordered; less
than SSAG because husband paying joint line of credit of $250/mo

Married 28 years, 1 child 16 with husband
Wife earns $39,819, child support $342 plus $68 for Catholic school fees
Husband earns $63,000
Range $600 to $800, equalize standards of living, $700/mo., indefinite

(Master Keighley)
Married 22 years, wife 55 (53 at separation), husband 49, 1 child 16 with wife
Husband Canada Post supervisor, earns $54,000
Wife accountant/business, motor vehicle accident, income reduced, $16,250
Child support $469, interim spousal support $700/mo, within Guidelines range
(Range estimated at $316-$779)

Married 11 years, both 40, 1 child 11
Reapportionment of condominium 100% to wife
Husband engineering business, income $95,000
Child support $729, interim spousal support was $1,500/mo.
Wife doing Ph.D., one more year, 18 months time limited spousal support
$2,500 per month, considered Advisory Guidelines, leaves each with 50% NDI
Wife working in 2006, likely earning $32,400, seeks $1,000/mo. spousal support
Wife says range $562-$1,311, husband says $399-$857, $750 ordered

Married 21 years (25 years together), 3 children 20, 18 and 14
Youngest child with wife, other 2 in college/university
Child support $1,314 (3) plus 70% of $8,000 university expenses
Husband federal civil servant $78,236
Property divided, house reapportioned 60/40 to wife
Wife earned $34,500 in 2004, 2005 health problems, surgery
Wife working in 2006, likely earning $32,400, seeks $1,000/mo. spousal support
Wife says range $562-$1,311, husband says $399-$857, $750 ordered

Married 23 years, 3 children 10, 19 and 13, with wife, child support $2,507/mo.
Husband earns $172,000, wife no income, $30,000 imputed
Range $2,627-$3,441, interim spousal support $3,250

Married 8 years, 2 children 8 and 4, shared custody
Husband earns $197,500
Child support agreed, 2/3 of table amount $1,466
Wife living with new partner, no income
Interim spousal $6,650/mo., husband’s income reduced by 47% since
Husband proposes $3,525, range $4,541-$5,458, order for low end, $4,700

Married 22 years (plus one year cohabitation), husband and wife 43 (41 at separation)
3 children: 22, 20, 14, 2 youngest with wife
Husband Armed Forces, earns $63,948
Child support $846 (2) for 7 mos., then $527 (1)
Wife taking residential care course for 7 mos. at community college
Spousal support indefinite, review in 4 years when last child finishes high school
Wife earns $12,480 part-time, then $27,967 full-time after course finished
Range calculated by “arithmetic”, uses “difference” in net incomes
Order for $604, then $378/mo.
(Range for full-time: $624-$1,030 (2 children, part-time); $321-$813 (1, full-time))

Married 19 years, husband 42, wife 41 (40 separation)
4 children, 19, 19, 17, 15, with husband
Wife in Winnipeg with her parents, receiving $8,890/yr. social assistance
Husband earns $57,876 as manager of early childhood centre
Property divided, but home and substantial debts to husband
Custodial payor range, $836-$1,115, 9.5 to 19 years
Wife’s social assistance not to be included in her income
Compensatory claim after traditional marriage, wife with health problems, unemployed
Mid-point of range, $975/mo., review in 2 years as to wife’s self-sufficiency efforts
No reduction for debts, as considered in property division

Together 18 years (married 13), 4 children age 7 to 18, with wife, wife 37
Husband works in family company, minority shareholder, earns $152,542
Farm losses deducted at interim stage, bonuses to be issue at trial
Child support $2,683/mo., no undue hardship from debt
Range $2,124 to $2,943, interim support of $2,000 ordered
Husband paying $5,100 per month to purchase shares (debt exception?)

Together 24 years (married 7), 2 children 16 and 13, with wife
Master ordered interim child support $750, spousal support $500, spousal appealed
Wife earns $25,000, claims husband earns $68,589
Husband claims on appeal that earns only $50,000
Husband’s income fixed at $60,000, Advisory Guidelines range stated as $213-$721
Interim spousal support reduced to $300
(Range at $60,000: $29-$543)

Married 20 years, 2 children 18 and 16 (plus 1 independent), wife 47 (45 separation)
Husband 49, earns $111,502 as business executive
Wife self-employed writer, earns $7,500, could earn $12,000
Child support $1,336
Spousal support range $2,103 to $2,627, $2,300 ordered, indefinite

Together 16 years (married 13), wife 49 at separation, 2 children
Husband earns $106,000, cohabiting, wife earns $29,000 as care aide (casual)
Reapportionment of equity in matrimonial home to wife, $49,000
Child support $1,282
Range $1,400-$2,050 indefinite
Compensatory claim, but reduced for reapportionment
Support of $1,700 mid-range, indefinite

Married 19 years, 3 children with wife
Proceeds of sale of matrimonial home reapportioned 55/45 to wife
Husband earned $68,590, now working part-time for $37,700 and pursuing pilot’s licence
Wife earns $6,000 as substitute teacher
Full income imputed to husband, child support $1,182
Range: $606-$919, $650 ordered, indefinite, review in 24 months (wife sought lower end)

Married 21 years, children 21 and 15, with wife, wife 43 at separation
1999 settlement: child support $1,300, spousal $3,000 and $24,000 lump sum
Husband health problems, working part-time, earns $58,164 ($108,000 before)
Wife many health problems, university and teacher’s degree not reasonable plan, no income
Child support reduced to $795
Guidelines “in the range of $1,400/month” (Range by Divorcemate: $1,049-$1,287)
Spousal support of $2,000 ordered, reviewed in 18 months and $25,000 then imputed to wife

Together 24 years (22 married), both in 40’s, professionals, 2 children with mother
Husband makes $125,000, wife makes $56,728
Child support $1,470
Range $745-$1,585, spousal support of $1,500 ordered, indefinite
Extensive discussion of Guidelines, “consistent with the law in British Columbia”

Together 15 years (married 12), wife 36 at separation
2 children, 12 and 8, younger with learning disability and in private school
Equal division of family assets
Child support $2,286 plus 80/20 split of s. 7 expenses ($25,694/year)
Husband makes $207,432 in family business
Wife returned to film school, paid by husband, could earn $30-$40,000 as animator
Guidelines “not law”, spousal support of $2,500 ordered
(Range by Divorcemate: $3,941-$4,897 if wife no income, $3,432-$4,539 if $30,000)
Manitoba

(i) The Without Child Support Formula

Married 4 years, no children, wife 45 (43 at separation), husband 56
Husband disclosed, but then self-represented and no show at trial
Husband earns $45,000, wife $1,000/yr. (?), wife seeks indefinite support
Range $300-$325, for 52 months, $16,900 maximum
Husband paid $7,800 already interim, plus $600 arrears owing
Order $500/mo., review in one year, issues re wife’s employment efforts

(ii) The With Child Support Formula

Married 7 years (together 9), 1 child 16, separated 1996
Child moved from mother to father in 2002
Husband owns fast food franchises, now remarried, earns $235,000
Wife worked as chef, earned $18-$20,000, could earn $30,000, no child support
Husband paid spousal support $3,500/mo for 9 years
Time limits in Guidelines considered, 6 more months of $3,500/mo.
(Range $2,306 to $3,075, 4.5 to 9 years)

Together 5 years (married 4), 1 child 5 years old with cerebral palsy
Husband earns $153,000 Cdn equivalent, in U.S. computer business
Child support $1,122, plus $843/year for s. 7 expenses
Range $3,732-$4,507, “simply not realistic”, uses budget analysis
Spousal support of $2,000/mo. plus taxes ordered (approx. $2,416)
New Brunswick

(i) Appellate decisions

Married 25 years, two adult children, wife 42 (40 separation), husband 45, traditional marriage
Husband army officer, earns $100,000
Wife working in Kingston, Ont., on contract with Health Ministry, earns $46,764; interim support $1,850
Trial judge ordered $1,625/mo., using Advisory Guidelines, for 5 years [range was $1,625 - $2,208; wife wanted $2,800]
Appeal dismissed, Guidelines approved, help to bring consistency and predictability
Trial judge used low end of range, income issues raised by wife
Almost a presumption of indefinite support in long traditional marriage; review generally favoured over time limit in such cases
But deference to trial judge: wife young, no dependents, capable, steady employment
Wife quickly able to reintegrate into work force, 5 years a longer term

(ii) The Without Child Support Formula

Married 32 years, 3 adult children, traditional marriage, wife 61 (59 separation)
Equal division of sizeable property
Interim spousal support since 2003 of $4,500/mo.
Range stated as $5,405 to $7,207
$5,500 ordered, indefinite, review at husband’s retirement

[upheld on appeal; see above: S.C. v. J.C., [2006] N.B.J. No. 186]

(iii) The With Child Support Formula

Married 23 years, 2 children 25 and 22, wife 43 at separation (50 now)
1998 separation agreement: $210 spousal, $390 child (1)
Wife disabled since 1984, arthritis, CPP disability
Child support from Sept. 2003 to Dec. 2005 graduation, table plus s. 7 expenses
Husband’s 2005 income $65,209 (3 year average), construction, $62,132 in 2004
With child support range presented as $997 - $1,357 at $62,132
(Without child support range $1,292 - $1,723 at $62,132, $1,381 - $1,841 at $65,209)
$1,200 ordered
Newfoundland and Labrador

(i) The Without Child Support Formula

Married 26 years, 3 adult children, 2003 order for $920 spousal support
Wife disabled, CPP Disability, $9,670/yr.
Husband accountant in NWT, earns $75,000, interim variation
Budgets analyzed, support increased to $2,300/mo., indefinite
“Comforted” that within range, $2,031 to $2,708

Husband’s notice of appeal struck
Judge indicated would be guided by Advisory Guidelines, hearing adjourned
Parties returned with settlement, husband now appealing consent order
Trial judge could not have “misapplied” Guidelines

Married 22 years, 7 children, separated 1983 when wife 47, husband 49
1983 separation agreement: child support $650, spousal support $650
1987 divorce order: support $750, then $650 spousal only after last child 19
Spousal support varied down to $225 in 1992, now husband applies to terminate
Wife lived on social assistance and support, now OAS/FIS $12,238
Husband earning $42,015, remarried 1987, wife earning $11,648, 16-year-old son
Change in circumstances, but no variation, $225/mo. continued plus $100 on arrears
Amount less than ranges under formula
(Range would be $819 to $1,092, indefinite)
(Or range could be $637 to $850, if husband’s income reduced for subsequent child)

Married 27 years, 2 grown children, husband $31,500, wife $12,000
Range $608-$815.  $680, indefinite, ordered.

(ii) The With Child Support Formula

Married 21 years, separated in 1994; wife homemaker
4 children, with wife; 2 now grown
Husband earns $56,289/yr; wife retrained and earns $18,975/yr; wife in common law relationship
1996 order combined child and spousal support $3000/mo; 2002 order for $341/mo spousal support and $569/mo child support
Husband seeks variation of child support on basis of shared custody because children spending more time with him;
does not meet s. 9 threshold of 40%;
Child support: table amount of $731/mo plus proportionate sharing of s.7 expenses in unspecified amount
No termination of spousal support; continued at $341/mo; fits within Advisory Guideline range stated as $202 - $591.

Married 26 years (plus 1 cohab, traditional marriage, wife 52 (49 at separation)
4 children (22, 19, 18, 15), 3 in university, all 4 with mother
Husband RCMP sergeant, earns $98,800
Wife no income, former teacher, many moves with husband, no career
Child support $1,804, spousal support $1,700, indefinite, review in 3 years
Guidelines range $1,574-$2,021, order here also leaves 57% family NDI to wife

Married 26 years, 2 children 18 (university) and 14 (high school), traditional marriage
Wife earns $23,300 as cashier, husband earns $99,500 CGA with Health Corp.
Child support: $728 table for younger
Husband pays $464 for older, based on budget after deduction of RESP
Guidelines range stated as $1,570-$2,256, “not totally realistic”
(Range actually $1,297-$1,890)
Husband offers $1,000/mo., informal interim amount $900
Order for $1,200 indefinite ($1,000 adjusted up for tax)

Together 5 years (4 married), 2 children 8 and 6 (special needs), wife 32 (27 separation)
Husband earns $43,500 seasonal
Child support $579/mo.
No undue hardship for access costs to St. John’s, $2,650/yr. or $220/mo.
Wife student, on social assistance, seeks spousal support 4 years after separation
Range stated as $329 to $546, but no spousal support ordered because of access costs
Duration not an issue, but likely time limit attached

Married 22 years, twin girls 18, with husband in home, traditional marriage
Husband earns $54,303 for Nfld. Broadcasting
Wife moved back with mother, earns $6,883, no child support
Range $914 to $1,218, husband paying debts and expenses on home til sold
Interim spousal support $900/mo.

Together 7 years, married 4, 2 children 9 and 6 (mild cerebral palsy), with wife
Husband lives with partner and her two children (she earns $29,200)
Husband construction worker, income issues, income $41,243
Wife finished business course, thinks job possible at $26,000
Child support $551, plus 50% s. 7 expenses
Interim spousal support $700 when no income
Entitlement, but no ability to pay using Guidelines and ChildView
(If wife earns $26,000, zero support, wife has 50% INDI)

Married 16 ½ years, wife 44 at separation, husband 38, 1 child (15) with wife
Husband carpenter earns $35,893, wife works part-time at Walmart, earns $14,031
Child support $291
Guidelines range calculated by ChildView, zero to $224
$180 ordered, considering length of marriage, wife home for marriage, income difference
Duration fixed at 16 ½ years from separation, subject to variation

Married 4 years, 2 children 10 and 3, with wife
Husband makes $83,945, wife $10,210 part-time
Child support $1,013 plus $163 for day care
Formula calculated by arithmetic, range reported as $650-$925
Spousal of $400 ordered, as requested by wife, review in October 2007
(Range by Divorcemate: $839-$1,416)
Nova Scotia

(i) The Without Child Support Formula

Married 37 years, 3 grown children, husband 60, wife 59 (56 at separation)
Husband owns service station, leasing it for $30,000/yr.
Wife working part-time for daughter, earns $12-$12,500/yr.
Guidelines considered, spousal support of $600/mo. ordered, “slightly less”
(But range $562-$750, indefinite)

Married 18 years, 2 children, last marrying July 2006, child support to end then, wife 48
Spousal support paid for one year, 1998-99, $600/mo.
Wife agreed to no support in 1999, but reserved right to claim
Wife now earns $40,500, husband earns $53,500
No non-compensatory claim, so compensatory only
Range stated as $304 to $405, for 9 to 18 years, but wife claiming 9 years after separation
$300/mo. ordered for one year

Married 32 years, 2 adult children, wife 51
Husband machine operator, earns $30,636, new partner
Support fixed at $840/mo., 40% of gross income difference
Based on husband’s low income, his payment of medical/drugs for wife, new partner
(Range: $789-$1,051)

Together 13 years, 12 married, no children, wife 47 at separation
Wife health problems, irritable bowel syndrome, depression, etc., unable to work
Husband corporal in Armed Forces, earns $57,300, cohabiting with partner and her 2 children
Range reported as $1,188-$1,584 (but actually $931-$1,241)
$1,000 per month, for 10 years (11 in total), ordered

Married 25 years, review of $900 order made in 2003
Husband makes $42,400, wife $9,800 disability plus imputed employment
Both new partners, wife’s deficit $575 (sharing new home)
Range $1,018-$1,358, support reduced to $750

Together 23 years, 19 married, wife 55 at separation
Wife hairdresser, working part-time only $8,000, imputed $30,000 full-time
Husband works overtime, income fixed at $60,000
Range $863-$1,150
Support reduced to $750, as husband larger debt load and equalization payment

(ii) The With Child Support Formula

Together 6 years (married 4); 1 child with wife; separation 2001
Interim order in 2003: child support $417/mo; spousal $1000/mo
Husband’s income $69,708/yr, child support $605/mo
Wife health issues and unable to work
Range under guidelines $1,377 - $1754/mo indefinite and subject to review when child is 12; but spousal support of
$900/mo ordered subject to review when child turns 13
Order to leave husband with NDI of $2,852/mo and wife with $1857/mo; wife’s needs based on budget found to be at least $1830/mo; wife has obligation to become self-sufficient


(Legere-Sers J.)
Married 13 years, 4 children (24, 22, 20, 18), separated 1993, wife 53 now (43 then)
Husband doctor, earns $294,735, remarried
Wife no income
Husband supported all four children, paying child and spousal totalling $52,000/yr.
Advisory Guidelines suggest 13 year maximum duration, hybrid formula
(Range $4,000 to $5,330, but self-sufficiency issues)
Support for 3 more years: $3,000/mo. 2006; $2,500/mo. 2007; $2,000/mo. 2008

Married 12 years, 1 child 16 with husband, wife 42 (33 separation), husband 46
Wife mental illness, CPP disability and investments, $14,918, no child support
Husband in Armed Forces, retired 2004, new partner nurse
Husband receives $37,823, but $4,667 his share of divided pension, so $33,156
Husband applies to terminate $600/mo. spousal support
Reduced to $300/mo., disability exception considered, support for 3 more years (total 12)
(Range $198 to $264 under custodial payor, $253 to $337 if no pension deduction)

Married 10 years, wife 54 (52 at separation), one child 16 with father
Romantic relationship for 6 years before marriage, lived in same house while wife still married to first husband
But not count as cohabitation for pension division
Wife homemaker, pension income from divided pensions of $6,540/yr.
Husband earns $80,762 from job and pensions, promised to take care of wife
Guidelines rejected, no time limits, “significant dependency”, larger amount
Indefinite order, $2,100 per month

_Custodial payor range: for 10 years, $755-$1,007, 5 to 10 years
   for 16 years, $1,208-$1,611, indefinite as rule of 65 applies, disability exception?_

Together 9 years (married 7), one child age 8, wife 32 at separation
Husband $57,000 in military, wife $5,700 employment insurance
Both formulas applied, with and without child support, as “check”
Formula calculated by arithmetic, low end of range reported as $616. $616 ordered.
(Range by Divorcemate: $793-$1,133)

Together 12 years (married 11), custody in dispute, 2 children placed in husband’s custody
Husband makes $50,000, wife now cohabiting and no income
Wife seeks $500 per month for 14 months as spousal support
Wife’s request less than guidelines, so support ordered as requested.
(Hybrid formula: $554-$738, for 6-12 years)
Ontario

(i) The Without Child Support Formula

ISO enforcement case, 18-year-old German order made in 1987
17-year marriage, reference to 17 year maximum duration under Guidelines
Against public policy to enforce in Canada

Together 22 years, no children, wife 50 (47 at separation), husband 48
No unjust enrichment, house in both names, sold and proceeds divided equally
Wife not worked since 1996, fibromyalgia, separated 2003, now student
Grade 12 equivalency, finished in 2008, then intention to do 3-year program
Husband married, 2 step-children, wife earns $47,000 as teacher
Husband earns $75,209, interim support $2,400/mo.
Guidelines range $2,004-$2,673, wife offers $1,800
Order for $2,250, review in 2008

Married 38 years, wife 57, husband 62, 2 adult children
Wife on CPP Disability, $6,960/yr., many medical problems, seeks $1,000/mo.
Husband retired from Armed Forces, $26,846, lives with new partner in her house
Recently resigned as rural mail carrier ($18,711/yr.)
$625 ordered, indefinite (range $621-$828)

Married 27 years, wife 51 (49 at separation), husband 52, no children
Husband earns $32,500 as janitor
Wife receives $8,124 on CPP Disability
Range $775-$1,007, wife seeks $1,000, but lower incomes involved
Interim support of $600 ordered, based upon Mackinnon paper, 40% NDI to wife

Married 33 years, children ?, income issues
Husband receiving WSIB grossed up to $40,000
No imputing income for failure to appeal unfavourable decision
No income imputed from alleged marijuana grow-op
Wife makes $14,300 from CPP disability, part-time work, investment income
Range stated by husband’s lawyer as $555 to $740, rejected as too low
Spousal support of $1,000 per mo. ordered, to equalize incomes
(Range actually $803 to $1,070, indefinite)

Married 26 years, 2 children adults, wife 48 (46 separation), husband 50
Interim spousal support $1,500/mo.
Wife earns $18,050 driving school bus, etc.
Husband truck driver, income imputed, could work more, earn $60,000
Adopts ChequeMate mid-point, $1,531/mo., indefinite
(Range $1,311 to $1,748 indefinite)

Together 16 years (married 12)
Wife receives $46,190 from CPP and disability pension, cancer after separation
Husband earns $38,554 plus $20,500 imputed return on assets, for $59,054
Top end of range stated as $182, orders $200 indefinite (range $138 to $171)

Collin v. Collin, 2005 CarswellOnt 7754 (S.C.J.) (Fragomeni J.)
Married 29 years, 2 adult children
1998 separation, spousal support $2,600, then $1,800 in 1999, then suspended
2002 order for $1,000/mo., terminating review order for 24 months
Wife earns $32,850 at WeightWatchers and dog sitting
Husband earns $50,000, disabled wife receives $6,000/year
Wife states range as $594 to $792 indefinite (range seems to be $536 to $714)
Spousal support continued, at $500/mo. indefinite

Together 7 years, married 4, no children
1998 separation, interim order for $1,200, then 2001 order for $840/mo.
Husband brain injured, receives CPP and WSIB (not-taxable), gross income $39,084
Wife also brain injury (1982), other health problems, unemployable, no income
Application by husband to terminate, by wife to increase, spousal support
Support continued, not reduced from $840/mo.
Ordinarily would terminate after 7 years, following Bracklow
But not here as wife totally disabled and incident of violence by husband
Advisory Guidelines not sufficient reason to vary downwards
(Range would be $342 to $456, for 3.5 to 7 years)

Application to vary, 2004 order $1,800 spousal support, no details of marriage
Husband’s income reduced from $80,000 to $43,710 upon retirement
Wife no income, applications to CPP and LTD plan for disability
Guidelines considered to reduce support to $1,275/mo.

Married 34 years, 2 children
Husband earns $420,000 from businesses, wife $10,800 in interest income
Husband’s income fixed at “ceiling” of $250,000, wife imputed income of $50,000
Support of $7,000/mo., indefinite (40 per cent of gross income difference)

Married 22 years, 1 child 20 and independent
Wife says husband’s 2004 income $347,000, income at least $139,000
Wife’s income $101,800, says husband, at least $92,200
Order of $1,500 interim, “guided by” Guidelines
[Range estimated as $1,292 to $1,733]

Married 17 years, wife 54 (49 at separation)
Entitled on compensatory (many moves and lost job) and non-compensatory grounds
Wife earns $13,000, working 35 hours per week
Husband earned $85,667 in 2004
Interim voluntary support of $1,500
Range $1,544 to $2,059, indefinite (rule of 65)
Husband’s new partner makes $56,000, reason to go higher than range
Order for $2,200, indefinite, plus $424/mo. for 10 years for equalization payment

Married plus cohabited 23 months, husband and wife both 48
Voluntary support of $5,400 total over 4 months, then $2,000 interim for 15 mos.
Wife disabled, CPP plus business $16,000
Husband earns $71,000 plus
Maximum guidelines range $224 for 23 months, or $5,152, vs. $35,400 paid
Husband paid enough, support terminated

Together/married for 10 years
Husband workers compensation $28,295 tax-free
Wife accident benefits and business $11,830 tax-free
Wife seeks $299 mid-point of range $256-$341
[Range $295-$393 if incomes properly grossed up]
Guidelines rejected and lower amount of $75/mo. ordered

Married 9 years, no children, wife 59 and husband 46 at separation, wife now 63
Husband earns $32,500 as machinist, but “self-employed”, so taxed on $10,000
Wife makes $10,000-$13,000 at deli
Guidelines range stated as $208-$278, “too low”, $500/mo. ordered, indefinite
(If husband’s income grossed up for tax position, range would be $367-$489)

Married 11 ½ years, wife 42 and husband 33 at separation
Wife disabled, husband paid $1,200 every 2 weeks under 2002 separation agreement
Now $1,264 with COLA plus drug coverage
Husband earned $89,500 in 2002, then $115,000 in 2004
Changed to less stressful job paying $90,700, application to vary to reduce
Husband paying unconsolidated debts, new common-law wife not working
Guideline ranges ($1,565-$2,086) no application because of agreement
No variation clause, no *Miglin*, no change in circumstances

Married 29 years, 4 adult children, wife 45 at separation
Wife has health problems, can’t work, no income
Husband works as truck driver, $28,439
Interim support $1,344, husband also pays $135/mo. for wife’s health insurance
Range $889-$1185 (or $754-$1050 deducting health ins.)
Exception for payor income $20-$30,000 noted, concerns re ability to pay
Support of $700 ordered

Together 9 years (married 3), wife 38 at separation, husband $72,400
Wife in school til June 2006, husband went bankrupt, left with with debt
$2,000 ordered til June 2006, then $400 til debt paid in full
Guidelines “considered”, but no details (range would have been $815-$1,086)

(ii) The With Child Support Formula

Interim order
Married 9 or 10 years (date of separation in dispute); 2 children with wife
Wife has exclusive possession of matrimonial home
Husband income set at $1,296,430/yr (average of past 3 yrs)
Order for interim child support under guidelines of $15,291/mo
Wife submits advisory guideline range is $10,000 - $20,000/ mo (no details on calculation) and seeks lower end of range at $10,000/mo; no discussion of “ceiling” of $350,000.
Order for interim spousal support of $9,000/mo
[range if husband’s income at ceiling of $350,000: $7,667 - $9,219/mo]

Married 12 years; 2 children
Husband’s income between $54,000 and $55,000/yr; child support $750/mo
Wife earning $27,000/yr (in home daycare), some health issues
Seeking $300/mo spousal (giving her 58.2% NDI)
No spousal support awarded; reference to consistency with Spousal Support Guidelines [range zero to zero] With no spousal support wife stated to be at 54.8% NDI and husband 45.2% NDI [DivorceMate actually shows wife at 57% with no spousal]

Divorced 2002; one child now 11; 2002 spousal support order of $500/mo based on imputed income of $30,000 to wife and husband’s income of $56,000/yr
Variation application; husband’s income increased to $83,187/yr; wife now earning $24,351/yr
Child support increased to $660/mo
Spousal support increased to $750/mo; court declines to increase support as per “Check Mate” and Divorcemate calculations provided by counsel (no details given); concern’s wife’s income understated
[Ranges: using husband’s 2002 income ($56,000) and $30,000 (imputed) for wife: zero to $334;
using husband’s 2006 income ($83,187) and $30,000 (imputed) for wife: $740 - $1,337
using actual 2006 incomes for both (husband $83,187, wife $24,351): $916 - $1,540]

Together 10 years (married 8); wife 2 children from previous marriage (only 1 remaining child of marriage) and 1 child of their own
Husband’s income $58,000/yr; child support for 2 children $799/mo, but deducts $200 CPP benefits older child receives as a result of death of her father
Wife’s income $20,200/yr ($15,100 employment and $5,100 CPP survivor benefits)
Spousal support of $650/mo; court “considered” many factors, including Advisory Guidelines and Check Mate calculations
[Range assuming child support of $599: $227 - $646]

Crewe v. Crewe, 2006 CarswellOnt 772 (Ont.S.C.J.) (Sills J.)
Interim order, 1 child (3) with mother
Husband earns $67,185, child support $554/mo.
Wife student loans and bursaries, issue re inclusion in income
Wife seeks $1,564/mo. based on Guidelines
(Range estimated $1,220-$1,564, if her income $2,000/yr.)
Interim spousal support of $1,000/mo.

Together 6 years (married 5), 2 children (ages 3 and 1) with wife
Application to vary 2005 consent order for child and spousal support
Father employed in U.S., income in $Can set at $160,893 resulting in child support of $2,118
Mother registered nurse, moved back from U.S. after separation; presently earning $20,000 from 2 part-time jobs
Uses SSAG range of $2,568 to $3,411 spousal support (giving wife 53.7% to 58.2% NDI); duration indefinite but ceases when youngest child turns 18
Spousal support set at lower end of range ($2,568) because wife’s income will increase as children get older and should be allowed to do so within reason without support being affected.
Review after 5 years at instance of either party

Together 8 years (married 3 plus), child 5 with wife, husband 47, wife 48
Husband bipolar disorder, CPP disability, $11,520, no child support (table $111)
Wife earns $75,000, child care $200-$250/mo.
Interim spousal support of $750 paid for past 2 years
Custodial payor range rejected as “insufficient”, support ordered at $1,000 for 3 years
[Range estimated $473 to $630, for 4 to 8 years, order within global range by restructuring]

Variation of interim order, 3 children, now only 2 dependent, incomes increased
Husband now earns $89,388, wife earns $21,300
Child support $1,128 plus $2,000/yr. for s. 7 education expenses
Advisory Guidelines considered, for order of $1,400/mo.
(Range estimated: $1,061-$1,645)

One child, 7, with wife, child support $648
Husband $81,000, wife $25,000 imputed, range $1,078-$1,684
Interim spousal support $1,200

Verscheure v. Verscheure, 2006 CarswellOnt 832 (S.C.J.) (Marshman J.)
Together 11 years (10 married), 2 children
Wife little employment effort in 5 years, no income
Husband earns $240,060
Child support $2,698 til Sept./05, then children with husband
Spousal support paid for 5 years already
Custodial payor range $2,469-$3,292
Interim order $3,750 for 6 months, $3,000 for 6 mos., then $2,500 til trial

Married 10 years, 2 children 10 and 7 with husband
Husband earns $95,626
Wife no income, previously earned $22,620, that amount imputed
Range $660-$880, “too low”, orders interim support of $1,000/mo.
(But wife not paying child support, table amount on imputed income would have been $318)
(Custodial payor range if wife’s income taken as zero: $874-$1,165)

Married 22 years, wife 43, husband 49
3 children, one with wife (age 14), 2 with husband (21/18)
Husband receives $50,700 workers’ compensation and CPP Disability
CPP payments to children too, husband paying debts
Wife no income, in mortgage-free family home
Counsel agree Advisory Guidelines “not of assistance”
Spousal support $850/mo. (Range: $776-$966)

Interim interim decision, 2 teenagers
Husband earns $127,286, wife $66,640
Guidelines used, spousal support of $1,000 ordered
No child support stated, but table $1,524/mo.
(Range $214 to $1,177)

Together 6 years (married 3), 1 child 8 months, with wife
Husband earns $70,000, child support $572, plus $75/week child care
Wife 25 years old, student, only income Ontario Student Assistance Program ($9,800?)
Range stated as $975-$1500, $1,200 ordered on interim basis

Together 16 years (married 13), 2 children 19 and 13, oldest not “child of marriage”
Child with wife, wife 45 at trial (39 at separation)
Wife cashier at Walmart, health problems, earns $20,401
Husband Canada Post, health problems, earns $44,904
Child support $387 (1), husband paid all matrimonial debts
Range $111 - $293, request for $200 by wife
$150 ordered, due to husband’s payment of debts, expenses, equalization payment

Together 4 ½ years, 1 child 6 with wife, wife 36 at separation
Husband now disabled, off job, but no evidence, 2004 income $65,318
Child support $545, plus $332 for s. 7 expenses
Wife clerical job $12,486, now cohabiting, seeks spousal for past 18 months
Unable to use Guidelines because of changing incomes (?), $600/mo. ordered
Child and spousal support used to offset husband’s equity in home

Married 16 years, 4 children, 1 still dependent, with wife, wife 47 at separation
Husband owns car dealership, makes $150,000
Child support $1,108
Wife’s income $51,000 ($36,000 on investments, plus $15,000 imputed minimum wage)
Range $1,597-$2,680, spousal support of $2,000 ordered
Roughly equal standards of living, wife’s lower housing costs

Married 14 years, 2 children, 16 and 14, with mother
Interim order: child support $1,049; spousal support $850
Husband’s income $90,900 at Inco, wife $34,500 in retail
Order for child support $1,146 and spousal support $1,000
Range $611-$1,237

Married 16 years, 5 children with mother, husband $95,014, wife no income
Child support $2,085, plus some s. 7 expenses
Formula range stated as $794-$1,189, temporary spousal support of $1,000 ordered.

Married 15 years, 2 children 14 and 12, wife 36 at separation
2001 order: $1,031 child support, $1,500 spousal support
2 children now with father
Wife makes $14,000, i.e. child support of $211
Wife still to receive $1,500 spousal, indefinite
Discussion of guidelines, but unable to do calculations without software
(Hybrid formula: $894-$1,193 for 7.5 to 15 years)

Married 23-plus years, 3 children, 1 left with wife
Husband makes $50,000, wife laid off, $10,000 imputed
No child support, shared custody agreement
Guidelines cited in discussion on entitlement
$800 interim spousal support ordered
(Range by Divorcemate: $1,150-$1,533 indefinite)

Application to vary, husband’s income reduced, wife’s increased
Child support adjusted, but spousal left unchanged at $1,500 per mo.
Support still within range computed using guidelines (no details given)
P.E.I.

(i) The *Without Child Support* Formula

Together 11 years (married 4), no children, husband seeks interim spousal support
Husband receives WCB $11,858 non-taxable, grossed up to $13,525
Wife earns $18,557, also paying family debts
Below “floor” of $20,000, no ability to pay, no support
(Range by formula: $69-$92)

(ii) The *With Child Support* Formula

Long traditional marriage, wife 55, one child in university and living at home
Income imputed to lawyer husband in Saskatchewan, $80,000
Child support $609, no s. 7 expenses, as student earned $15,000
Formula calculated by arithmetic, 43% INDI as $1,685, indefinite
Restructured to lump sum, 10 years support, discount rate, tax, to $102,100
(Range by Divorcemate: $1,891-$2,290, hybrid university formula: $1,650-$2,200)
Quebec

(i) Appellate Decisions

Married 32 years, 3 children, one (18) now with husband, custodial payor
Wife 55, earning $50,000, husband earns $227,000
Child support $15,948, grossed-up to $33,000
Trial judge applied Advisory Guidelines: range $4,500 to $6,000; low end of range ordered, $4,500 indefinite
Appeal allowed; spousal support reduced to $2,705 per month based upon budgetary analysis
Trial judge erred by not engaging in a detailed individual analysis
Court states that [TRANSLATION] « the dossier as it is and the brief pleadings of counsel on this aspect do not permit us, in my opinion, to pronounce a judgment of principle upon the utilisation of the Advisory Guidelines. »
Court refers to important criticisms of Advisory Guidelines found in judgments of Justices Julien and Gendreau [see cases below] and concerns of « recipes » and formulas being used to avoid the difficult individual analysis required.

(ii) The *Without Child Support* Formula

2004 divorce, $1,313/mo. spousal support
Husband earned $64,826 then, but reduced to $44,000 for pension division
Husband laid off, income now $28,287, wife disabled receives $1,484/yr.
Guidelines range correctly stated by wife as $837-$1,116
Guidelines rejected, spousal support of $900/mo. ordered

Length of marriage not stated
Husband truck driver, earns $43,293
Wife earned $12,900, but laid off and no income
Guidelines rejected, $806.58 ordered as spousal support

Married 22 months, cohabited 2 years, husband 77, wife 48 (45 at separation)
Interim support $3,000/mo., wife seeking $4,000/mo.
Husband got wife to quit job, paid her $3,300/mo. from his company
Wife earned $30,000 before marriage
Husband’s income not disclosed, but assets $12.7 million, ability to pay
Husband argues Advisory Guidelines, criticisms of Guidelines, rejected
Support of $3,000/mo. ordered, until Sept. 2006 (43 months paid)
(Husband’s income above ceiling, but if $600,000, range would be $3,000-$4,000)

Married 31 years, 2 children 26 and 24, younger (some disability) with father
Husband earns $78,000, wife earns $5,000 part-time, traditional marriage
Interim interim support $1,000/mo.
Interim spousal support of $1,700/mo., rejects Guidelines amounts
Wife sought $2,800, states range as $2,900-$3,900
[But range appears to be $2,281-$3,041, without adjustment for son in father’s care]

(iii) The *With Child Support* Formula

1 young child with mother, but liberal access
Wife earns $20,650, husband earns $104,340
Child support $387, Advisory Guidelines argued
Interim spousal support of $1,500/mo.

*E.C. v. N.B.*, unreported decision (Que.S.C.) (Marcelin J.)
Husband in Connecticut, did not appear, earns $131,900 Cdn.
Wife on social assistance with 3 children, 2 in school, 1 pre-school, 1 with special needs
Wife also cared for husband’s 2 children of first marriage
Child support $1,772/mo.
Advisory Guidelines considered, along with needs and means, spousal support of $1,050

[decision appealed; see _G.V. v. C.G., [2006] J.Q. no. 5231, above under appellate decisions]
Saskatchewan

(i) The Without Child Support Formula

Married 32 ½ years, 1 child now 30, wife homemaker for 20 years
Wife working as part-time cashier, now cook in restaurant, earns $16,000
Husband earns $55,695 as bus driver
Range $1,219-$1,625, husband claims debt exception as paying $632/mo.
Interim spousal support of $1,400/mo., husband pays debt, wife pays mortgage
Leaves wife with monthly cash flow of $200 less than husband

Together 19 years (married 6?), wife 36
Husband earns $58,000, wife earns $13,000 maximum cleaning
Wife entitled to non-compensatory support
Low end of range would be $1,067 (high end $1,425)
Wife seeks $1,000, granted
Wife’s school program finished in 2-3 years, but no time limit, indefinite

Married 24 years, 4 children, youngest unemployed and with mother
Wife earns $14,195 as bartender, husband earns $72,779 (est.)
2001 agreement: $669 child support, $1,331 spousal support, $2,000 “cap”
Range $1,831 to $2,491 if 2004 incomes used
Or $1,344 to $1,792 if estimated lower incomes for 2005 used
Order of $2,000 maintained on interim basis until trial

Married 31 years, 1 child now 27
Wife homemaker, now educational assistant, earns $20,674
Husband earns $73,279, made voluntary non-deductible payments of $1,441/mo.
Range stated as $2,037 to $2,717, order for $2,250 interim

Married 26 years, wife 47 at separation
2002 order of $1,100, application to vary by husband
Husband’s income reduced by early retirement and new job, to $74,900
Wife’s income reduced by health problems, to $19,000
No change in spousal support, amount in range of $1,046 to $1,395

(ii) The With Child Support Formula

(Ryan-Froslie J.)
Together 11 years (married 10 ½), 3 children 6, 5 and 5 with wife, husband 42, wife 44
Husband earns $47,838 at CN Rail in Ontario
Child support $899 plus s. 7(1)(f) expense contribution of $41
Interim spousal support paid for 2 years of $800, then $453/mo.
Wife at home during marriage, now earns $5,592 in retail part-time
Increasing to $10,296 in fall 2006 and $15,440 in fall 2007
Spousal support $600/mo. to Sept. 2006, $300 to Sept. 2007, then terminates
Wife argued for indefinite support until children finish high school
SSAG ranges (not taking into account s. 7 expenses, using Childview) if wife’s income $5,592: $23 to $260; if
wife’s income $10,296: 0 to $71
Guidelines a useful cross-check but not law and don’t take into account Divorce Act factors and objectives

Child 9 with wife, interim child support $625 plus $58 for child care
Husband earns $73,262, wife earns $29,289
Interim spousal support: wife seeks $750, husband offers $200/mo.
Guidelines range stated as $900 to $1,100 by court, but husband paying debts
Interim spousal support reduced to $700/mo.

Married 22 years, 2 children with wife 17 and 16
Husband earns $49,239 as farmer, wife earns $15,300
Child support $650
Formula calculated by arithmetic, range zero to $228, $350 ordered
(Range by Divorcemate: zero to $295)
Appendix III
CASE LAW REVIEW BY ISSUE
[Select Cases Only]
[Updated to June 20, 2006]

1. Entitlement

(husband takes early retirement to follow wife who relocates for her job; trial judge finds
no entitlement; Court of Appeal engages in extensive discussion of husband’s entitlement
on compensatory grounds before turning guidelines for assistance on amount)

(Husband earns $50,000 in construction; wife earns $87,000 as lab tech, blip in overtime,
$60,000 without overtime; no entitlement to spousal support, no requirement of
equalization under Guidelines)

(no entitlement on interim; wife teacher, earns $80,000, assets of $359,000 plus pension
and RRSPs; husband engineer earns $200,000 (closer to $80,000 at separation), assets of
$251,000)

2. Income Issues

(a) Income—Determining Income and the Need for Accuracy

(range found to be “too low”, but untaxed income not grossed up)

(range found to be “too low”, but range based upon husband’s income arguments
which were not correct)

(b) -Imputing Income to Recipient

(income imputed to wife)

(wife only working part-time; full-time income imputed)

(minimum wage imputed to wife)


(c) Ceiling (High Incomes)

Range not employed:

(guidelines not applicable, husband earns $1.26 million per year).

(husband’s income $420,000 but fixed at “ceiling” of $250,000)
(husband’s income $247,000; award lower than guidelines; much discussion of “income equalization”)

(husband income set at $1,296,430/yr (average of past 3 yrs) on interim application; wife’s lawyer argues guidelines; no discussion of ceiling; award at low end of range using ceiling of $350,000)

($244,000, range rejected, lower amount);

($207,432, lower amount, but self-sufficiency issues too);

($153,000, range “not realistic”).

Range employed:

(husband’s income $172,000);

Verscheure v. Verscheure, 2006 CarswellOnt 832 (S.C.J.) (Marshman J.)
(husband’s income $240,060);

(husband’s income $343,000);

(husband’s income $166,000);

(husband’s income $310,000, Guidelines not used, but amount within range).

(d) Floor (Low Income)

(Husband earns $28,439, exception for payor income $20-$30,000 noted, award below range)

(Husband receives WCB $11,858 non-taxable, grossed up to $13,525; wife earns $18,557, also paying family debts; below “floor” of $20,000, no ability to pay, no support)

(husband earns $32,500 as janitor; wife receives $8,124 on CPP Disability; award below the range)

(Wife earns $5,400; husband earns $30,636, new partner; support at low end of range based on husband’s low income, his payment of medical/drugs for wife, new partner)

(husband’s income $24,000; child support $378; wife not employed; court orders $100 per month given husband’s limited income and inability to pay even limited amount suggested by Guidelines; no reference to “floor” and discretion between payor incomes of $20,000 to $30,000)

3. Short Marriages without children (see also exceptions-compensatory exception— short marriage)
4. Restructuring

(Sullivan J.)
(6 year relationship, no children, explicit use of restructuring by “front-end loading”)

(8 year relationship, range under custodial payor formula found too low, but support award fits within guidelines if restructuring used)

(amount within ranges if restructuring taken into account)

5. Exceptions

(a) Compensatory Exception in Short Marriages

(Sullivan J.)
(6 year relationship; compensatory exception considered but not applicable; use of restructuring)

(14 month relationship; compensatory exception)

(b) Debt

debt exception relied upon in:


see also:

(No reduction for debts, as considered in property division)
(award at lower end of range because of debts)


(husband taking out mortgage on home to pay equalization payment; questionable use of debt exception to lower award)

(c) Disability


(higher amount and longer duration because of disability)


(23 month relationship; husband paid voluntary support beyond guidelines; support terminated)


(12 year relationship; disability exception considered; award in amount somewhat above range but terminated after 12 years)


(Guidelines rejected, no time limits, “significant dependency”, larger amount)


(Togeter 13 years, no children, guidelines followed, 10 year time limit)

(d) Unequal Property

Award lowered because of reapportionment of property(B.C.):


(below range)


(lower end of range)

(e) Prior Support Obligations


(exception not explicitly considered)


(guideline range found too high but no adjustment made for prior support obligations)

(f) interim exception (see “interim support” below)

6. Marriages with Children

(a) Short Marriages with Children


(Together 6 years (married 4); 1 child with wife; spousal support in amount below the range ordered subject to review when child turns 13)


(Together 5 years (4 married), 2 children 8 and 6 (special needs); discussion of time limits rather than indefinite order as appropriate in case of short marriage)


(Together 5 years, 1 child 5 years old with cerebral palsy; amount well below range; guideline amounts “not realistic”; husband higher income)

(b) Shared Custody

(c) Split Custody


(award said to be “generally in accord with Advisory Guidelines” but below range)

(d) Adult Children


(award consistent with hybrid formula although not relied upon)

(e) Custodial Payor Formula


(amount slightly above range for custodial payor formula but disability; within range for duration)


(interim order for zero support overturned; award lower than custodial payor formula because of debt payment)


(range under custodial payor formula said to be too low but award within guidelines using restructuring)


(within range)


(guidelines not applied; award below range)

Verscheure v. Verscheure, 2006 CarswellOnt 832 (S.C.J.) (Marshman J.)


(custodial payor range stated as too low but income imputed to wife; if no income imputed within range)


(mid point in range)


(husband paid support above range for 9 years; support terminated after 6 months)


(interim order; slightly below range; husband paying debts and expenses on home til sold)


(award less than custodial payor formula because wife asks for less)

7. Self Sufficiency


(wife retraining after 25 year marriage; becoming self-sufficient; 5 year time limit rather than indefinite subject to review)


(Spousal support set at lower end of range because wife’s income will increase as children get older and should be allowed to do so within reason without support being affected; review after 5 years at instance of either party)


8. **Duration: Time Limits**


(Wife little employment effort in 5 years, no income; declining balance order)

*8. Duration: Time Limits*


(Together 13 years, no children; wife health problems, unable to work; $1,000 per month spousal support ordered for 10 years (11 in total))


(Married 16 ½ years, 1 child (15) with wife; duration fixed at 16 ½ years from separation, subject to variation)


(Married 12 years, 1 child with husband, wife mental illness, CPP disability, support terminated on variation after 12 years.)


(Together 5 years, 2 children 8 and 6 (special needs), no spousal support ordered because of access costs but if award had been made likely time limit would have been attached)


(together 9yrs, 1 child eventually moves from mother to father; husband paid spousal support for 9 years; time limits in Guidelines considered, 6 more months of support)


(Married 13 years, 1 child with wife; wife seeks indefinite support; time limit preferred and Guidelines considered, 8 more years to be paid (11 years in all))


(Together 11 years husband adopted mother’s one child, now 20; support terminated after 8 years)


(cohabited 23 mos., time limits used).


9. **Review and Variation**

(a) general issues


(review based on increase in wife’s income; guidelines used)


(review; both new partners; original order below range; reduced on review)


(variation, change in incomes, guidelines used as a “cross-check”)


(variation application to terminate support; change in circumstances (husband new child) but no variation; orginal order well below guidelines)


(variation; decrease in incomes of both husband and wife; no change in spousal support, amount in range)

(durational limits under without child support formula used to terminate support on variation application)

(application to vary, husband’s income reduced, wife’s increased; cChild support adjusted, but spousal left unchanged; support still within range computed using guidelines)

**(b) Payor’s post-separation income increases**

(court states not using guidelines ranges but amount within range taking into account income increase)

**(c) Repartnering-**

(husband’s new partner makes $56,000, reason to go higher than range)

(review, both parties re-partnered; original order below range, reduced on review)

**10. Contracts**

(Miglin analysis, 2nd stage, variation; Guidelines of “limited use”)

(guidelines no application because of agreement)

(child and spousal support determined, marriage agreement then not unfair as to property)

**11. Interim Support**

guidelines applied on interim:


Interim exception (debts, etc.):

